

# **Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)** Treatment Guidelines

Downloaded from https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/ on 10/17/2020

Visit <u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</u> to access the most up-to-date guideline.

#### How to Cite the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines. National Institutes of Health. Available at <u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</u>. Accessed [insert date].

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel regularly updates the recommendations in these guidelines as new information on the management of COVID-19 becomes available. The most recent version of the guidelines can be found on the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines website (<u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</u>). Credit NIAID-RML

# Table of Contents

What's New in the Guidelines	4
Introduction	6
Overview of COVID-19: Epidemiology, Clinical Presentation, and Transmission	9
Testing for SARS-CoV-2 Infection	13
Prevention and Prophylaxis of SARS-CoV-2 Infection	16
Clinical Presentation of People with SARS-CoV-2 Infection	20
Care of Critically III Patients With COVID-19	25
General Considerations	27
Infection Control	34
Laboratory Diagnosis	37
Hemodynamics	39
Oxygenation and Ventilation	42
Acute Kidney Injury and Renal Replacement Therapy	48
Pharmacologic Interventions	19
Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation	50
Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19	51
Antiviral Drugs That Are Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19	57
Remdesivir	59
Remdesivir: Selected Clinical Data	33
Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin $\epsilon$	38
Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin: Selected Clinical Data	73
Ivermectin	34
Lopinavir/Ritonavir and Other HIV Protease Inhibitors	37
Lopinavir/Ritonavir: Selected Clinical Data	90
Table 2. Characteristics of Antiviral Agents That Are Under Evaluation for theTreatment of COVID-19	93
Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19	99
Blood-Derived Products Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19	)1
Convalescent Plasma 10	)2
Immunoglobulins: SARS-CoV-2-Specific10	)9
Immunoglobulins: Non-SARS-CoV-2-Specific1	10
Mesenchymal Stem Cells 1 <sup>-</sup>	12
COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines	2

Downloaded from <a href="https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/">https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</a> on 10/17/2020

Immunomodulators Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19
Corticosteroids 116
Interferons (Alpha, Beta)123
Interleukin-1 Inhibitors127
Interleukin-6 Inhibitors130
Kinase Inhibitors: Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors and Janus Kinase Inhibitors 135
Table 3a. Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19:Clinical Data to Date140
Table 3b. Characteristics of Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation forTreatment of COVID-19161
Adjunctive Therapy
Antithrombotic Therapy in Patients with COVID-19
Vitamin C
Vitamin D
Zinc Supplementation and COVID-19 185
Considerations for Certain Concomitant Medications in Patients with COVID-19
COVID-19 and Special Populations
Special Considerations in Pregnancy 193
Special Considerations in Children197
Special Considerations in Adults and Children With Cancer
Special Considerations in Solid Organ Transplant, Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant, and Cellular Therapy Candidates, Donors, and Recipients
Special Considerations in People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus
Appendix A, Table 1. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel Members
Appendix A, Table 2. Panel on COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Financial Disclosure for Companies Related to COVID-19 Treatment or Diagnostics

# What's New in the Guidelines

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

The *Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines* is published in an electronic format that can be updated in step with the rapid pace and growing volume of information regarding the treatment of COVID-19.

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) is committed to updating this document to ensure that health care providers, patients, and policy experts have the most recent information regarding the optimal management of COVID-19 (see the <u>Panel Roster</u> for a list of Panel members).

New Guidelines sections and recommendations and updates to existing Guidelines sections are developed by working groups of Panel members. All recommendations included in the Guidelines are endorsed by a majority of Panel members (see the <u>Introduction</u> for additional details on the Guidelines development process).

Major revisions to the Guidelines within the last month are as follows:

#### October 9, 2020

#### New Sections of the Guidelines

#### Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19

This section provides recommendations for the treatment of COVID-19 based on the severity of disease. It includes recommendations for the use of remdesivir, an antiviral agent that targets severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and dexamethasone, a corticosteroid that reduces inflammation. A new figure (Figure 1) outlines the Panel's recommendations. The Panel also discusses the rationale that led to each recommendation, including theoretical reasons for administering combination therapy in some situations.

#### Special Considerations in People with Human Immunodeficiency Virus

This section discusses the prevention, diagnosis, and management of COVID-19 in people with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The Panel emphasizes that recommendations for the triage, management, and treatment of COVID-19 in people with HIV are the same as those for the general population. The Panel also recommends continuing antiretroviral therapy and prophylaxis for opportunistic infections whenever possible in people with HIV who develop COVID-19, including in those who require hospitalization (AIII).

#### Key Updates to the Guidelines

#### Clinical Presentation of People with SARS-CoV-2 Infection

A new subsection entitled Persistent Symptoms or Illnesses After Recovery from Acute COVID-19 was added to this section to describe the emerging data on these symptoms. The Panel notes that more research is needed to better understand the pathophysiology and clinical course of these post-infection sequelae and to identify management strategies for patients.

#### General Considerations for Critically III Patients with COVID-19

Two new subsections have been added to this section. Sedation Management in Patients with COVID-19 provides guidance to the members of the intensive care unit (ICU) team on following international guidelines for the prevention, detection, and treatment of pain, sedation, and delirium. The other new subsection, Post-Intensive Care Syndrome, describes a spectrum of cognitive, psychiatric, and/or

physical disabilities that affects survivors of critical illness and persists after a patient leaves the ICU.

#### Other Updates to the Guidelines

The following sections have been updated to include new data from clinical trials, observational cohort studies, or case series:

- <u>Convalescent Plasma</u>
- <u>Mesenchymal Stem Cells</u>

### Introduction

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines have been developed to inform clinicians how to care for patients with COVID-19. Because clinical information about the optimal management of COVID-19 is evolving quickly, these Guidelines will be updated frequently as published data and other authoritative information become available.

The recommendations in these Guidelines are based on scientific evidence and expert opinion. Each recommendation includes two ratings: a letter (A, B, or C) that indicates the strength of the recommendation and a Roman numeral (I, II, or III) that indicates the quality of the evidence that supports the recommendation (see Table 1).

#### **Panel Composition**

Members of the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) were appointed by the Panel co-chairs based on their clinical experience and expertise in patient management, translational and clinical science, and/or development of treatment guidelines. Panel members include representatives from federal agencies, health care and academic organizations, and professional societies. Federal agencies and professional societies represented on the Panel include:

- American Association of Critical-Care Nurses
- American Association for Respiratory Care
- American College of Chest Physicians
- American College of Emergency Physicians
- American Society of Hematology
- American Thoracic Society
- Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- Department of Defense
- Department of Veterans Affairs
- Food and Drug Administration
- Infectious Diseases Society of America
- National Institutes of Health
- Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society
- Society of Critical Care Medicine
- Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists

The inclusion of representatives from professional societies does not imply that their societies have endorsed all elements of this document.

The names, affiliations, and financial disclosures of the Panel members and ex officio members, as well as members of the support team, are provided in the Panel Roster and Financial Disclosure sections of the Guidelines.

#### **Development of the Guidelines**

Each section of the Guidelines is developed by a working group of Panel members with expertise in the area addressed in the section. Each working group is responsible for identifying relevant information and published scientific literature and for conducting a systematic, comprehensive review of that information and literature. The working groups propose updates to the Guidelines based on the latest published research findings and evolving clinical information.

New Guidelines sections and recommendations are reviewed and voted on by the voting members of the Panel. To be included in the Guidelines, a recommendation must be endorsed by a majority of Panel members. Updates to existing sections that do not affect the rated recommendations are approved by Panel co-chairs without a Panel vote. Panel members are required to keep all Panel deliberations and unpublished data considered during the development of the Guidelines confidential.

#### Method of Synthesizing Data and Formulating Recommendations

The working groups critically review and synthesize the available data to develop recommendations. Aspects of the data that are considered include, but are not limited to, the source of the data, the type of study (e.g., case series, prospective or retrospective cohorts, randomized controlled trial), the quality and suitability of the methods, the number of participants, and the effect sizes observed. Each recommendation is assigned two ratings according to the scheme presented in Table 1.

#### Table 1. Recommendation Rating Scheme

Strength of Recommendation		Quality of Evidence for Recommendation
Strong recommendation for the statement Moderate recommendation for the statement	I:	One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints
Optional recommendation for the statement	II:	
	III:	Expert opinion

To develop the recommendations in these Guidelines, the Panel uses data from the rapidly growing body of published research on COVID-19. The Panel also relies heavily on experience with other diseases, supplemented with evolving personal clinical experience with COVID-19.

In general, the recommendations in these Guidelines fall into the following categories:

- The Panel recommends using [blank] for the treatment of COVID-19 (rating). Recommendations in this category are based on evidence from clinical trials or large cohort studies that demonstrate clinical or virologic efficacy in patients with COVID-19, with the potential benefits outweighing the potential risks.
- There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of [blank] for the treatment of COVID-19 (no rating). This statement is not a recommendation; it is used in cases when there are insufficient data to make a recommendation.
- The Panel recommends against the use of [blank] for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (rating). This recommendation is for an intervention that has not clearly demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of COVID-19 and/or has potential safety concerns. More clinical trials are needed to further define the role of the intervention.
- The Panel recommends against the use of [blank] for the treatment of COVID-19 (rating). This recommendation is used in cases when the available data clearly show a safety concern and/ or the data show no benefit for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### **Evolving Knowledge on Treatment for COVID-19**

Currently, there are no Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs for COVID-19. However, an array of drugs approved for other indications, as well as multiple investigational agents, are being studied for the treatment of COVID-19 in clinical trials around the globe. These trials can be accessed at *ClinicalTrials.gov*. In addition, providers can access and prescribe investigational drugs or agents that are approved or licensed for other indications through various mechanisms, including Emergency Use Authorizations (EUAs), Emergency Investigational New Drug (EIND) applications, compassionate use or expanded access programs with drug manufacturers, and/or off-label use.

Whenever possible, the Panel recommends that promising, unapproved, or unlicensed treatments for COVID-19 be studied in well-designed, controlled clinical trials. This includes drugs that have been approved or licensed for other indications. The Panel recognizes the critical importance of clinical research in generating evidence to address unanswered questions regarding the safety and efficacy of potential treatments for COVID-19. However, the Panel also realizes that many patients and providers who cannot access such trials are still seeking guidance about whether to use these agents.

A large volume of data and publications from randomized controlled trials, observational cohorts, and case series are emerging at a very rapid pace, some in peer-reviewed journals, others as manuscripts that have not yet been peer reviewed, and, in some cases, press releases. The Panel continuously reviews the available data and assesses their scientific rigor and validity. These sources of data and the experiences of the Panel members are used to determine whether new recommendations or changes to the current recommendations are warranted.

Finally, it is important to stress that the rated treatment recommendations in these Guidelines should not be considered mandates. The choice of what to do or not to do for an individual patient is ultimately decided by the patient and their provider.

# Overview of COVID-19: Epidemiology, Clinical Presentation, and Transmission

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### Epidemiology

The COVID-19 pandemic has exploded since cases were first reported in China in December 2019. As of July 9, 2020, more than 12 million cases of COVID-19—caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection—have been reported globally, including more than 550,000 deaths. Cases have been reported in more than 180 countries, including all 50 states of the United States.<sup>1,2</sup>

Individuals of all ages are at risk for infection and severe disease. However, the probability of serious COVID-19 disease is higher in people aged  $\geq 60$  years, those living in a nursing home or long-term care facility, and those with chronic medical conditions. In a recent analysis of more than 1.3 million laboratory-confirmed cases that were reported in the United States between January and May 2020, 14% of patients required hospitalization, 2% were admitted to the intensive care unit, and 5% died.<sup>3</sup> The percentage of patients who died was 12 times higher (19.5% vs. 1.6%) and the percentage of patients who were hospitalized was six times higher (45.4% vs. 7.6%) in those with reported medical conditions than in those without medical conditions. The mortality rate was highest in those aged >70 years, regardless of chronic medical conditions. Among those with available data on health conditions, 32% had cardiovascular disease, 30% had diabetes, and 18% had chronic lung disease. Other conditions that may lead to a high risk for severe COVID-19 include cancer, kidney disease, obesity, sickle cell disease, transplant recipients, and other immunocompromising conditions.<sup>2,4-9</sup>

Emerging data from the United States suggest that racial and ethnic minorities experience higher rates of COVID-19 and subsequent hospitalization and death.<sup>10-14</sup> However, surveillance data that include race and ethnicity are not available for most reported cases of COVID-19 in the United States.<sup>2,15</sup> Factors that contribute to the increased burden of COVID-19 in these populations may include over-representation in work environments that confer higher risks of exposure to COVID-19, economic inequality (which limits a person's ability to protect against COVID-19 exposure), neighborhood disadvantage,<sup>16</sup> and a lack of access to health care.<sup>15</sup> Structural inequalities in society contribute to health disparities for racial and ethnic minority groups, including higher rates of comorbid conditions (e.g., cardiac disease, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, pulmonary diseases), which further increases the risk for severe illness from COVID-19.<sup>14</sup>

#### **Clinical Presentation**

The estimated incubation period for COVID-19 is up to 14 days from the time of exposure, with a median incubation period of 4 to 5 days.<sup>6,17,18</sup> The spectrum of illness can range from asymptomatic infection to severe pneumonia with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and death. Among 72,314 persons with COVID-19 in China, 81% of cases were reported to be mild (defined in this study as no pneumonia or mild pneumonia), 14% were severe (defined as dyspnea, respiratory frequency  $\geq$ 30 breaths/min, SpO<sub>2</sub>  $\leq$ 93%, PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> <300 mmHg, and/ or lung infiltrates >50% within 24 to 48 hours), and 5% were critical (defined as respiratory failure, septic shock, and/or multiple organ dysfunction or failure).<sup>19</sup> In a report on more than 370,000 confirmed COVID-19 cases with reported symptoms in the United States, 70% of patients experienced fever, cough, or shortness of breath, 36% had muscle aches, and 34% reported headaches.<sup>3</sup> Other reported symptoms have included, but are not limited to, diarrhea, dizziness, rhinorrhea, anosmia, dysgeusia, sore throat, abdominal pain, anorexia, and vomiting.

The abnormalities seen in chest X-rays vary, but bilateral multi-focal opacities are the most common. The abnormalities seen in computed tomography (CT) of the chest also vary, but the most common are bilateral peripheral ground-glass opacities, with areas of consolidation developing later in the clinical course.<sup>20</sup> Imaging may be normal early in infection and can be abnormal in the absence of symptoms.<sup>20</sup>

Common laboratory findings of COVID-19 include leukopenia and lymphopenia. Other laboratory abnormalities have included elevated levels of aminotransferase, C-reactive protein, D-dimer, ferritin, and lactate dehydrogenase.

While COVID-19 is primarily a pulmonary disease, emerging data suggest that it also leads to cardiac,<sup>21,22</sup> dermatologic,<sup>23</sup> hematological,<sup>24</sup> hepatic,<sup>25</sup> neurological,<sup>26,27</sup> renal,<sup>28,29</sup> and other complications. Thromboembolic events also occur in patients with COVID-19, with the highest risk in critically ill patients.<sup>30</sup> The long-term sequelae of COVID-19 survivors are currently unknown.

Recently, SARS-CoV-2 has been associated with a potentially severe inflammatory syndrome in children (multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children or MIS-C).<sup>31,32</sup> Please see <u>Special Considerations in Children</u> for more information.

#### **Routes of SARS-CoV-2 Transmission**

Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 occurs primarily through respiratory secretions, and, to a lesser extent, contact with contaminated surfaces. Most transmissions are thought to occur through droplets; covering coughs and sneezes and maintaining a distance of six feet from others can reduce the risk of transmission. When consistent distancing is not possible, face coverings may further reduce the spread of droplets from infectious individuals to others. Frequent handwashing is also effective in reducing acquisition.<sup>33</sup> The onset and duration of viral shedding and the period of infectiousness are not completely defined. Viral RNA may be detected in upper respiratory specimens from asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic individuals with SARS-CoV-2.<sup>34</sup> An increasing number of studies have described cases where asymptomatic individuals have transmitted SARS-CoV-2.<sup>35-37</sup> The extent to which this occurs remains unknown, but this type of transmission may be contributing to a substantial amount of community transmission.

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/</u>. Accessed June 9, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): cases in U.S. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/cases-in-us.html</u>. Accessed April 9, 2020.
- Stokes EK, Zambrano LD, Anderson KN, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 case surveillance—United States, January 22–May 30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/pdfs/mm6924e2-H.pdf</u>.
- 4. Cai Q, Chen F, Wang T, et al. Obesity and COVID-19 severity in a designated hospital in Shenzhen, China. *Diabetes Care*. 2020;43(7):1392-1398. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32409502</u>.
- 5. Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, et al. Hospitalization rates and characteristics of patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed coronavirus disease 2019—COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1-30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(15):458-464. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32298251">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32298251</a>.
- 6. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(18):1708-1720. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32109013</u>.
- Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3216752</u>4.
- 8. Palaiodimos L, Kokkinidis DG, Li W, et al. Severe obesity, increasing age and male sex are independently associated with worse in-hospital outcomes, and higher in-hospital mortality, in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 in the Bronx, New York. *Metabolism*. 2020;108:154262. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32422233</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): people who are at increased risk for severe illness. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extraprecautions/people-at-increased-risk.html</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 10. Azar KMJ, Shen Z, Romanelli RJ, et al. Disparities in outcomes among COVID-19 patients in a large health care system in California. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

pubmed/32437224.

- 11. Gold JAW, Wong KK, Szablewski CM, et al. Characteristics and clinical outcomes of adult patients hospitalized with COVID-19-Georgia, March 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wklv Rep. 2020;69(18):545-550. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32379729.
- 12. Gross CP, Essien UR, Pasha S, Gross JR, Wang S, Nunez-Smith M. Racial and ethnic disparities in population level COVID-19 mortality. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: https://www.medrxiv.org/ content/10.1101/2020.05.07.20094250v1.full.pdf.
- 13. Nayak A, Islam SJ, Mehta A, et al. Impact of social vulnerability on COVID-19 incidence and outcomes in the United States. medRxiv. 2020; Preprint. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511437.
- 14. Price-Haywood EG, Burton J, Fort D, Seoane L. Hospitalization and mortality among black patients and white patients with COVID-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(26):2534-2543. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/32459916.
- 15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): COVID-19 in racial and minority groups. 2020. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/ racial-ethnic-minorities.html. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 16. Kind AJH, Buckingham WR. Making Neighborhood-disadvantage metrics accessible-the neighborhood atlas. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(26):2456-2458. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29949490.
- 17. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(13):1199-1207. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/31995857.
- 18. Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, et al. The incubation period of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from publicly reported confirmed cases: estimation and application. Ann Intern Med. 2020;172(9):577-582 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32150748.
- 19. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72,314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA. 2020. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32091533.
- 20. Shi H, Han X, Jiang N, et al. Radiological findings from 81 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(4):425-434. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32105637.
- 21. Liu PP, Blet A, Smyth D, Li H. The science underlying COVID-19: implications for the cardiovascular system. Circulation. 2020;142(1):68-78. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32293910.
- 22. Madjid M, Safavi-Naeini P, Solomon SD, Vardeny O. Potential effects of coronaviruses on the cardiovascular system: a review. JAMA Cardiol. 2020. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32219363.
- 23. Sachdeva M, Gianotti R, Shah M, et al. Cutaneous manifestations of COVID-19: report of three cases and a review of literature. J Dermatol Sci. 2020;98(2):75-81. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32381430.
- 24. Henry BM, de Oliveira MHS, Benoit S, Plebani M, Lippi G. Hematologic, biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a meta-analysis. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020;58(7):1021-1028. Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32286245.
- 25. Agarwal A, Chen A, Ravindran N, To C, Thuluvath PJ. Gastrointestinal and liver manifestations of COVID-19. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2020;10(3):263-265. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32405183.
- 26. Whittaker A, Anson M, Harky A. Neurological manifestations of COVID-19: a systematic review and current update. Acta Neurol Scand. 2020;142(1):14-22. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32412088.
- 27. Paniz-Mondolfi A, Bryce C, Grimes Z, et al. Central nervous system involvement by severe acute respiratory COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). *J Med Virol*. 2020;92(7):699-702. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32314810</u>.

- 28. Pei G, Zhang Z, Peng J, et al. Renal involvement and early prognosis in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;31(6):1157-1165. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32345702</u>.
- 29. Su H, Yang M, Wan C, et al. Renal histopathological analysis of 26 postmortem findings of patients with COVID-19 in China. *Kidney Int*. 2020;98(1):219-227. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32327202</u>.
- Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, et al. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: Implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75(23):2950-2973.. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32311448</u>.
- Chiotos K, Bassiri H, Behrens EM, et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children during the COVID-19 pandemic: a case series. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32463092</u>.
- 32. Belhadjer Z, Meot M, Bajolle F, et al. Acute heart failure in multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) in the context of global SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Circulation*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32418446</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): How to Protect Yourself & Others. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention-H.</u> <u>pdf</u>. Accessed July 7, 2020.
- 34. Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020;20(4):411-412. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32105638</u>.
- 35. Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, et al. Transmission of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in Germany. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(10):970-971. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32003551</u>.
- 36. Yu P, Zhu J, Zhang Z, Han Y, Huang L. A familial cluster of infection associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating potential person-to-person transmission during the incubation period. *J Infect Dis.* 2020;221(11):1757-1761. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32067043.
- 37. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020;323(14):1406-1407. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32083643.

# Testing for SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Last Updated: June 11, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

- The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends that a molecular or antigen test for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) should be used to diagnose acute SARS-CoV-2 infection (AIII).
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of serologic testing as the sole basis for diagnosis of acute SARS-CoV-2 infection (AIII).
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of serologic testing to determine whether a person is immune to SARS-CoV-2 infection (AIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

#### Virologic Testing for SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Virologic testing (i.e., using a molecular diagnostic or antigen test to detect SARS-CoV-2) should be done in all persons with a syndrome consistent with COVID-19 and in people with known high-risk exposures to SARS-CoV-2. Ideally, virologic testing should also be performed in people likely to be at repeated risk of exposure, such as health care workers and first responders. For more information, see the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) <u>COVID-19</u> website.

While initial diagnostic tests for SARS-CoV-2 infection have relied on reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction platforms, more recent tests have included a variety of additional platforms. A number of diagnostic tests for SARS-CoV-2 infection have received emergency use authorizations (EUAs) issued by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).<sup>1</sup> Formal comparisons of the sensitivity and specificity of these tests are in progress.

The CDC recommends that nasopharynx samples be used to detect SARS-CoV-2. Nasal swabs or oropharyngeal swabs are acceptable alternatives.<sup>2</sup> Although lower respiratory tract samples have a higher yield than upper tract samples, they are often not obtained because of concerns about aerosolization of virus during sample collection procedures.

The CDC has established a priority system for diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 infection based on the availability of tests;<sup>3</sup> the <u>CDC testing guidance</u> is updated periodically.

The following are the current CDC priorities for COVID-19 diagnostic testing:

#### **High Priority:**

- Hospitalized patients with symptoms
- Health care facility workers, workers in congregate living settings, and first responders with symptoms
- Residents in long-term care facilities or other congregate living settings, including prisons and shelters, with symptoms.

#### **Priority:**

- Persons **with symptoms** of potential COVID-19 infection, including fever, cough, shortness of breath, chills, muscle pain, new loss of taste or smell, vomiting or diarrhea, and/or sore throat
- Persons **without symptoms** who are prioritized by health departments or clinicians, for any reason, including but not limited to public health monitoring, sentinel surveillance, or screening of

other asymptomatic individuals according to state and local plans

Molecular diagnostic and antigen tests can yield false-negative results. In people with a high likelihood of infection based on exposure history and/or clinical presentation, a single negative test result does not completely exclude SARS-CoV-2 infection, and repeat testing should be considered. When a person who is strongly suspected to have SARS-CoV-2 infection has a negative result on an initial antigen test, repeat testing using a molecular diagnostic test may be warranted.

#### Serologic (or Antibody) Testing for Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Unlike molecular diagnostic and antigen tests for SARS-CoV-2 that detect the presence of the virus, serologic tests are intended to identify persons with recent or prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Because it may take 21 days or longer after symptom onset for seroconversion or detection of immunoglobulin M and/or immunoglobulin G antibodies to SARS-CoV-2,<sup>4-9</sup> the Panel does not recommend the use of serologic testing as the sole basis for diagnosing acute SARS-CoV-2 infection (AIII). Given that molecular diagnostic tests and antigen tests for SARS-CoV-2 occasionally yield false-negative results, in some settings, serologic tests have been used as an additional diagnostic test in patients strongly suspected to have SARS-CoV-2 infection.

No serologic tests for SARS-CoV-2 are approved by the FDA and some, but not all, commercially available serologic tests for SARS-CoV-2 have received <u>EUAs</u> issued by the FDA. Several professional societies and federal agencies, including the <u>Infectious Diseases Society of America</u>, <u>CDC</u>, and <u>FDA</u>, provide guidance for clinicians regarding serologic testing for SARS-CoV-2.

Several factors should be considered when using these tests, including:

- Important performance characteristics, including the sensitivity and specificity (i.e., the rate of true positive and true negative results) of many of the commercially available serologic tests, have not been fully characterized. Serologic assays that have FDA EUAs are preferred for public health and clinical use. Formal comparisons of serologic tests are in progress.
- False-positive test results may occur due to cross-reactivity from pre-existing antibodies to other coronaviruses.

#### Serologic Testing and Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 Infection

The Panel **recommends against** the use of serologic testing to determine whether a person is immune to SARS-CoV-2 infection (**AIII**). If serologic tests are performed and antibody is detected, results should be interpreted with caution for the following reasons:

- It is currently unknown how long antibodies persist following infection, and
- It is currently unknown whether the presence of antibody confers protective immunity against future infection.

In communities where the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection is low, the proportion of positive tests that are false positives may be quite high. In these situations, confirmatory testing using a second independent antibody assay, ideally one that uses a different antigenic target (e.g., the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein if the first assay targeted the spike glycoprotein), can substantially improve the probability that persons with a positive test result are antibody positive.

Assuming the test is reliable, serologic tests to identify recent or prior SARS-CoV-2 infection may be used to:

- Determine who may be eligible to donate blood to manufacture convalescent plasma.
- Measure the immune response in SARS-CoV-2 vaccine studies.
- Estimate the proportion of the population exposed to SARS-CoV-2.

Lastly, serologic tests should not be used to:

- Make decisions about the grouping of persons residing in or being admitted to congregate settings (e.g., schools, dormitories, correctional facilities), *or*
- Determine whether persons should return to the workplace.

#### References

- Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emergency use authorizations for medical devices. 2020. Available: <u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/emergency-situations-medicaldevices/emergency-use-authorizations#covid19ivd.</u> Accessed June 5, 2020.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim guidelines for collecting, handling, and testing clinical specimens from persons for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/guidelines-clinical-specimens.html</u>. Accessed June 5, 2020.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evaluating and testing persons for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-nCoV/hcp/clinical-criteria.html.</u> Accessed June 5, 2020.
- 4. Guo L, Ren L, Yang S, et al. Profiling early humoral response to diagnose novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32198501</u>.
- 5. Haveri A, Smura T, Kuivanen S, et al. Serological and molecular findings during SARS-CoV-2 infection: the first case study in Finland, January to February 2020. *Euro Surveill*. 2020;25(11). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32209163</u>.
- 6. Long QX, Liu BZ, Deng HJ, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. *Nat Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32350462.</u>
- Okba NMA, Müller MA, Li W, et al. SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody responses in COVID-19 patients. *medRxiv.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020/03/20/2020.03.18.200380</u> 59.full.pdf.
- 8. Xiang F, Wang X, He X, et al. Antibody detection and dynamic characteristics in patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32306047.</u>
- 9. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32221519.</u>

# Prevention and Prophylaxis of SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Last Updated: August 27, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

- The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) **recommends against** the use of any agents for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), except in a clinical trial (AIII).
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of any agents for SARS-CoV-2 post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), except in a clinical trial (AIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

#### **General Prevention Measures**

Most transmissions of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are thought to occur through respiratory droplets, and the risk of transmission can be reduced by covering coughs and sneezes and maintaining a distance of at least 6 feet from others. When consistent distancing is not possible, face coverings may further reduce the spread of infectious droplets from individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection to others. Frequent handwashing is also effective in reducing the risk of infection.<sup>1</sup> Health care providers should follow the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommendations for infection control and appropriate use of personal protective equipment.<sup>2</sup>

#### Vaccines

Vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 are aggressively being pursued. Vaccine development is typically a lengthy process, often requiring multiple candidates before one proves to be safe and effective. To address the current pandemic, several platforms are being used to develop candidate vaccines for Phase 1/2 trials; those that show promise are rapidly moving into Phase 3 trials. Several standard platforms, such as inactivated vaccines, live-attenuated vaccines, and protein subunit vaccines, are being pursued. Some novel approaches are being investigated, including DNA-based and RNA-based strategies and replicating and nonreplicating vector strategies, with the hope of identifying a safe and effective SARS-CoV-2 vaccine that can be used in the near future.<sup>3,4</sup>

#### **Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis**

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) **recommends against** the use of any agents for SARS-CoV-2 pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), except in a clinical trial (AIII).

#### Rationale

At present, there is no known agent that can be administered before exposure to SARS-CoV-2 (i.e., as PrEP) to prevent infection. Clinical trials are investigating several agents, including emtricitabine plus tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, hydroxychloroquine, and supplements such as zinc, vitamin C, and vitamin D. Studies of monoclonal antibodies that target SARS-CoV-2 are in development. Please check <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for the latest information.

#### **Post-Exposure Prophylaxis**

• The Panel **recommends against** the use of any agents for SARS-CoV-2 post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), except in a clinical trial (AIII).

Downloaded from <a href="https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/">https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</a> on 10/17/2020

#### Rationale

At present, there is no known agent that can be administered after exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection (i.e., as PEP) to prevent infection. Potential options for PEP that are currently under investigation include chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir/ritonavir, nitazoxanide, vitamin super B-complex, and vitamin D. Other post-exposure preventive strategies that are in development include the use of SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies and convalescent plasma. Please check <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for the latest information.

#### **Clinical Trial Data**

#### Hydroxychloroquine

Both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have *in vitro* activity against SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.<sup>5,6</sup> A small cohort study without a control group has suggested that hydroxychloroquine might reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission to close contacts.<sup>7</sup>

# Randomized, Double-Blind, Controlled Trial of High-Risk or Moderate-Risk Occupational or Household Exposures

A randomized, double-blind, controlled trial included 821 participants who self-enrolled in the study using an internet-based survey. Study participants had either high or moderate risk of occupational exposures (66% of participants) or household exposures (34% of participants). High-risk exposure was defined as being within 6 feet of an individual with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection for more than 10 minutes while not wearing a face mask or eye shield (87.6% of participants), and moderate-risk exposure was defined as the same distance and duration of exposure while wearing a face mask but no eye shield (12.4% of participants).<sup>8</sup>

Participants were randomized to receive placebo or hydroxychloroquine sulfate given once at a relatively high dose of 800 mg, followed by 600 mg 6 to 8 hours later, then 600 mg once daily for 4 additional days. Because enrollment was done online, study drugs were sent by overnight mail, resulting in more than 50% of participants initiating their first dose 3 to 4 days after exposure to SARS-CoV-2.<sup>8</sup>

A total of 107 participants developed the primary outcome of symptomatic illness, confirmed either by a SARS-CoV-2 positive molecular test or, if testing was not available, by a compatible, COVID-19-related syndrome based on CDC criteria. Due to limited access to molecular diagnostic testing, SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed in only 16 of the 107 participants (15%). There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of the primary outcome (symptomatic illness) between the hydroxychloroquine group and the placebo group (11.8% vs. 14.3%, respectively; P = 0.35). There were more adverse events in the hydroxychloroquine group; mostly nausea, loose stools, and abdominal discomfort; with no serious adverse reactions or cardiac arrhythmias.<sup>8</sup>

This study had several important limitations, including:

- Initiation of therapy was delayed for at least 3 days after exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in most participants.
- Only 15% of participants who reached the primary outcome had SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by molecular diagnostics.
- The study population was young (with a median age of 40 years) and consisted of participants who had a relatively low risk of severe COVID-19.

It is notable that although high doses of hydroxychloroquine were associated with an increase in the frequency of adverse events, the reported adverse events were mostly mild, with no serious events reported.

#### Cluster-Randomized Trial of High-Risk Exposures in Spain

This study has not been peer reviewed.

An open-label, cluster-randomized trial included 2,314 asymptomatic contacts of 672 COVID-19 cases in Spain. Study participants were health care or nursing home workers (60.3%), household contacts (27.7%), or nursing home residents (12.7%) who were aged  $\geq$ 18 years and documented to have spent >15 minutes within 2 meters of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-positive COVID-19 case during the 7 days prior to enrollment.<sup>9</sup>

Participants who were epidemiologically linked to a PCR-positive COVID-19 case were defined as study clusters (called rings). All contacts in a ring were simultaneously cluster-randomized 1:1 to either usual care (the control arm) or hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once daily for 1 day followed by 400 mg once daily for 6 days (the intervention arm). Participants were informed of their allocated study arm after being randomized to the intervention or control arm and signing a consent form. The primary outcome was onset of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19, defined as illness with at least one of the following symptoms: fever, cough, difficulty breathing, myalgia, headache, sore throat, new olfactory and taste disorders, or diarrhea; AND a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test. A secondary outcome was onset of SARS-CoV-2 infection defined as either a SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive test OR the presence of any of the symptoms compatible with COVID-19. Additional secondary outcomes were development of serological positivity at Day 14 and safety up to 28 days from treatment initiation.

The baseline characteristics of the participants were similar between the two study arms, including coexisting disease, number of days of exposure before enrollment and randomization, and type of contact. A total of 138 (6%) study participants developed PCR-confirmed, symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection, with no statistical difference for this outcome between the control and intervention arms (6.2% vs. 5.7%, respectively; risk ratio 0.89; 95% CI, 0.54–1.46). There was also no statistical difference between the study arms in the incidence of either PCR-confirmed or symptomatically compatible COVID-19, which occurred in 18.2% of participants, 17.8% in the control arm and 18.7% in the intervention arm (risk ratio 1.04; 95% CI, 0.77–1.41). Similarly, there was no statistical difference between the arms in the rate of positivity for SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (Ig) A and/or IgG (8.7% in the control arm and 14.3% in the intervention arm; risk ratio 1.6; 95% CI, 0.96–2.69). There were more adverse events among the hydroxychloroquine-treated participants (51.6%) than among the controls (5.9%), although most of the adverse events were mild, including gastrointestinal events, nervous system disorders, myalgia, fatigue, or malaise. No serious adverse events were attributed to the study drug.

This study had several limitations, including:

- It lacked a placebo comparator, which could have had an impact on safety reporting.
- Data regarding the extent of the exposure to the index cases was limited.
- For >50% of the study participants, the time from exposure to the index case to randomization was  $\geq$ 4 days.

#### References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): how to protect yourself & others. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.</u> <u>html</u>. Accessed August 25, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): infection control guidance for healthcare professionals about coronavirus (COVID-19). 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/infection-control.html</u>. Accessed August 25, 2020.

- 3. Lurie N, Saville M, Hatchett R, Halton J. Developing COVID-19 vaccines at pandemic speed. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(21):1969-1973. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32227757</u>.
- 4. World Health Organization. Draft landscape of COVID-19 candidate vaccines. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/draft-landscape-of-covid-19-candidate-vaccines</u>. Accessed August 25, 2020.
- Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, et al. In vitro antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71(15):732-739. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32150618.
- 6. Vincent MJ, Bergeron E, Benjannet S, et al. Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS coronavirus infection and spread. *Virol J.* 2005;2:69. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16115318</u>.
- Lee SH, Son H, Peck KR. Can post-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 be considered as an outbreak response strategy in long-term care hospitals? *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020;55(6):105988. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32305587</u>.
- 8. Boulware DR, Pullen MF, Bangdiwala AS, et al. A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(6):517-525. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32492293</u>.
- 9. Mitja O, Ubals M, Corbacho M, et al. A cluster-randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as prevention of COVID-19 transmission and disease. *medRxiv*. 2020:Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.20.20157651v1</u>.

# Clinical Presentation of People with SARS-CoV-2 Infection

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection can experience a range of clinical manifestations, from no symptoms to critical illness. This section of the Guidelines discusses the clinical presentations of patients according to illness severity.

In general, adults with SARS-CoV-2 infection can be grouped into the following severity of illness categories. However, the criteria for each category may overlap or vary across clinical guidelines and clinical trials, and a patient's clinical status may change over time.

- *Asymptomatic or Presymptomatic Infection:* Individuals who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 using a virologic test (i.e., a nucleic acid amplification test or an antigen test), but who have no symptoms that are consistent with COVID-19.
- *Mild Illness:* Individuals who have any of the various signs and symptoms of COVID-19 (e.g., fever, cough, sore throat, malaise, headache, muscle pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, loss of taste and smell) but who do not have shortness of breath, dyspnea, or abnormal chest imaging.
- *Moderate Illness:* Individuals who show evidence of lower respiratory disease during clinical assessment or imaging and who have saturation of oxygen  $(SpO_2) \ge 94\%$  on room air at sea level.
- Severe Illness: Individuals who have  $\text{SpO}_2 < 94\%$  on room air at sea level, a ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen ( $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ) <300 mmHg, respiratory frequency >30 breaths per minute, or lung infiltrates >50%.
- *Critical Illness:* Individuals who have respiratory failure, septic shock, and/or multiple organ dysfunction.

Patients with certain underlying comorbidities are at a higher risk of progression to severe COVID-19. Some of these comorbidities include being 65 years or older; having cardiovascular disease, chronic lung disease, diabetes, cancer, obesity, or chronic kidney disease; and being a recipient of immunosuppressive therapy.<sup>1</sup> Health care providers should monitor such patients closely until clinical recovery is achieved.

The optimal pulmonary imaging technique has not yet been defined for people with symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection who present to care. Initial evaluation for these patients may include chest X-ray, ultrasound, or, if indicated, computerized tomography. An electrocardiogram should be performed if indicated. Laboratory testing includes a complete blood count with differential and a metabolic profile, including liver and renal function tests. While not part of standard care, measuring the levels of inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), D-dimer, and ferritin may have prognostic value.<sup>2-4</sup>

The definitions for the severity of illness categories listed above also apply to pregnant patients. However, the threshold for certain interventions may be different for pregnant patients and nonpregnant patients. For example, oxygen supplementation is recommended for pregnant patients when SpO<sub>2</sub> falls below 95% on room air at sea level, to accommodate physiologic changes in oxygen demand during pregnancy and to assure adequate oxygen delivery to the fetus.<sup>5</sup> If laboratory parameters are used for monitoring and interventions, clinicians should be aware that normal physiologic changes during pregnancy can alter several laboratory values. In general, leukocyte cell count increases throughout gestation and delivery and peaks during the immediate postpartum period. This is mainly due to neutrophilia.<sup>6</sup> D-dimer and CRP levels also increase during pregnancy and are often higher in pregnant patients than in nonpregnant patients.<sup>7</sup> Detailed information on treating COVID-19 in pregnant

patients can be found in <u>Special Considerations in Pregnancy</u>, as well as in the pregnancy considerations subsection of each individual section of the Guidelines.

In pediatric patients, radiographic abnormalities are common and, for the most part, should not be used as the sole criteria to define the COVID-19 illness category. Normal values for respiratory rate also vary with age in children; thus, hypoxia should be the primary criteria used to define severe illness, especially in younger children. In a small number of children and in some young adults, SARS-CoV-2 infection may be followed by a severe inflammatory condition called multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C).<sup>8,9</sup> This syndrome is discussed in detail in <u>Special Considerations in Children</u>.

#### Asymptomatic or Presymptomatic Infection

Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection can occur, although the percentage of patients who remain truly asymptomatic throughout the course of infection is variable and incompletely defined. It is unclear at present what percentage of individuals who present with asymptomatic infection may progress to clinical disease. Some asymptomatic individuals have been reported to have objective radiographic findings that are consistent with COVID-19 pneumonia.<sup>10,11</sup> The availability of widespread virologic testing for SARS-CoV-2 and the development of reliable serologic assays for antibodies to the virus will help to determine the true prevalence of asymptomatic and presymptomatic infection. See <u>Therapeutic Management of COVID-19</u> for recommendations regarding SARS-CoV-2-specific therapy.

#### Mild Illness

Patients with mild illness may exhibit a variety of signs and symptoms (e.g., fever, cough, sore throat, malaise, headache, muscle pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, loss of taste and smell). They do not have shortness of breath, dyspnea on exertion, or abnormal imaging. Most mildly ill patients can be managed in an ambulatory setting or at home through telemedicine or telephone visits. No imaging or specific laboratory evaluations are routinely indicated in otherwise healthy patients with mild COVID-19 disease. Older patients and those with underlying comorbidities are at higher risk of disease progression; therefore, health care providers should monitor these patients closely until clinical recovery is achieved. See <u>Therapeutic Management of COVID-19</u> for recommendations regarding SARS-CoV-2-specific therapy.

#### **Moderate Illness**

Moderate COVID-19 illness is defined as evidence of lower respiratory disease during clinical assessment or imaging, with  $\text{SpO}_2 \ge 94\%$  on room air at sea level. Given that pulmonary disease can progress rapidly in patients with COVID-19, close monitoring of patients with moderate disease is recommended. If bacterial pneumonia or sepsis is strongly suspected, administer empiric antibiotic treatment, re-evaluate the patient daily, and de-escalate or stop antibiotics if there is no evidence of bacterial infection. See <u>Therapeutic Management of COVID-19</u> for recommendations regarding SARS-CoV-2-specific therapy.

#### Severe Illness

Patients with COVID-19 are considered to have severe illness if they have  $\text{SpO}_2 < 94\%$  on room air at sea level, a respiratory rate of >30 breaths/min,  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 <300 \text{ mmHg}$ , or lung infiltrates >50%. These patients may experience rapid clinical deterioration. Oxygen therapy should be administered immediately using a nasal cannula or a high-flow oxygen device. See <u>Therapeutic Management of COVID-19</u> for recommendations regarding SARS-CoV-2-specific therapy. If secondary bacterial pneumonia or sepsis is suspected, administer empiric antibiotics, re-evaluate the patient daily, and de-escalate or stop antibiotics if there is no evidence of bacterial infection.

#### **Critical Illness**

Severe cases of COVID-19 may be associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock that may represent virus-induced distributive shock, cardiac dysfunction, elevations in levels of multiple inflammatory cytokines that provoke a cytokine storm, and/or exacerbation of underlying comorbidities. In addition to pulmonary disease, patients with COVID-19 may also experience cardiac, hepatic, renal, central nervous system, or thrombotic disease.

As with any patient in the intensive care unit (ICU), successful clinical management of a patient with COVID-19 includes treating both the medical condition that initially resulted in ICU admission and other comorbidities and nosocomial complications.

For more information, see Care of Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19.

#### Persistent Symptoms or Illnesses After Recovery from Acute COVID-19

There have been an increasing number of reports of patients who experience persistent symptoms after recovering from acute COVID-19. At this time, there is limited information on the prevalence, duration, underlying causes, and effective management strategies for these lingering signs and symptoms.<sup>12</sup> Some of the symptoms overlap with the post-intensive care syndrome that has been described in patients without COVID-19, but prolonged symptoms and disabilities after COVID-19 have also been reported in patients with milder illness, including outpatients.<sup>13,14</sup>

Some of the persistent symptoms that have been reported include fatigue, joint pain, chest pain, palpitations, shortness of breath, and worsened quality of life.<sup>15,16</sup> One study from China found that pulmonary function was still impaired 1 month after hospital discharge.<sup>17</sup> A study from the United Kingdom reported that among 100 hospitalized patients (32 received care in the ICU and 68 received care in hospital wards only), 72% of the ICU patients and 60% of the ward patients experienced fatigue and breathlessness at 4 to 8 weeks after hospital discharge. The authors of the study suggest that post-hospital rehabilitation may be necessary for some of these patients.<sup>15</sup>

Neurologic and psychiatric symptoms have also been reported among patients who have recovered from acute COVID-19. High rates of anxiety and depression have been reported in some patients using self-report scales for psychiatric distress.<sup>16,18</sup> Younger patients have been reported to experience more psychiatric symptoms than patients aged >60 years.<sup>15,16</sup>

Patients may continue to experience headaches, vision changes, hearing loss, loss of taste or smell, impaired mobility, numbness in extremities, tremors, myalgia, memory loss, cognitive impairment, and mood changes for up to 3 months after diagnosis of COVID-19.<sup>19,20</sup> More research is needed to better understand the pathophysiology and clinical course of these post-infection sequelae and to identify management strategies for patients.

#### References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): people with certain medical conditions. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-with-medical-conditions.html</u>. Accessed September 22, 2020.
- Tan C, Huang Y, Shi F, et al. C-reactive protein correlates with computed tomographic findings and predicts severe COVID-19 early. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92(7):856-862. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u> <u>pubmed/32281668</u>.
- 3. Berger JS, Kunichoff D, Adhikari S, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of d-dimer elevation in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2020;40(10):2539-2547. Available at: <u>https://www.</u>

ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32840379.

- Casas-Rojo JM, Anton-Santos JM, Millan-Nunez-Cortes J, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in Spain: Results from the SEMI-COVID-19 Registry. *Rev Clin Esp.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32762922</u>.
- 5. Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine. Management considerations for pregnant patients with COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.smfm.org/media/2336/SMFM\_COVID\_Management\_of\_COVID\_pos\_preg\_patients\_4-30-20\_final.pdf</u>. Accessed: May 20, 2020.
- Abbassi-Ghanavati M, Greer LG, Cunningham FG. Pregnancy and laboratory studies: a reference table for clinicians. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;114(6):1326-1331. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/19935037</u>.
- Anderson BL, Mendez-Figueroa H, Dahlke JD, Raker C, Hillier SL, Cu-Uvin S. Pregnancy-induced changes in immune protection of the genital tract: defining normal. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;208(4):321 e321-329. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23313311</u>.
- 8. Riphagen S, Gomez X, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Wilkinson N, Theocharis P. Hyperinflammatory shock in children during COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10237):1607-1608. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386565</u>.
- 9. Verdoni L, Mazza A, Gervasoni A, et al. An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at the Italian epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10239):1771-1778. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32410760">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32410760</a>.
- Zhang R, Ouyang H, Fu L, et al. CT features of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia according to clinical presentation: a retrospective analysis of 120 consecutive patients from Wuhan city. *Eur Radiol.* 2020;30(8):4417-4426. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32279115</u>.
- 11. Inui S, Fujikawa A, Jitsu M, et al. Chest CT findings in cases from the cruise ship "Diamond Princess" with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Radiology: Cardiothoracic Imaging*. 2020;2. Available at: <u>https://pubs.rsna.org/doi/10.1148/ryct.2020200110</u>.
- 12. Marshall M. The lasting misery of coronavirus long-haulers. *Nature*. 2020;585(7825):339-341. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32929257</u>.
- 13. Rawal G, Yadav S, Kumar R. Post-intensive Care Syndrome: an Overview. *J Transl Int Med*. 2017;5(2):90-92. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28721340</u>.
- Tenforde MW, Kim SS, Lindsell CJ, et al. Symptom duration and risk factors for delayed return to usual health among outpatients with COVID-19 in a multistate health care systems network—United States, March-June 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(30):993-998. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32730238</u>.
- Halpin SJ, McIvor C, Whyatt G, et al. Postdischarge symptoms and rehabilitation needs in survivors of COVID-19 infection: A cross-sectional evaluation. *J Med Virol*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32729939</u>.
- 16. Cai X, Hu X, Ekumi IO, et al. Psychological distress and its correlates among COVID-19 survivors during early convalescence across age groups. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2020;28(10):1030-1039. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32753338</u>.
- Huang Y, Tan C, Wu J, et al. Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 on pulmonary function in early convalescence phase. *Respir Res.* 2020;21(1):163. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32600344</u>.
- Mazza MG, De Lorenzo R, Conte C, et al. Anxiety and depression in COVID-19 survivors: Role of inflammatory and clinical predictors. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32738287</u>.
- Lu Y, Li X, Geng D, et al. Cerebral micro-structuralchanges in COVID-19 patients an MRI-based 3-month follow-up study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;25:100484. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32838240</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

20. Heneka MT, Golenbock D, Latz E, Morgan D, Brown R. Immediate and long-term consequences of COVID-19 infections for the development of neurological disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2020;12(1):69. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32498691</u>.

# Care of Critically III Patients With COVID-19

Last Updated: October 9, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

#### **Infection Control:**

- For health care workers who are performing aerosol-generating procedures on patients with COVID-19, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using an N95 respirator (or equivalent or higher-level respirator) rather than surgical masks, in addition to other personal protective equipment (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection such as a face shield or safety goggles) (AIII).
- The Panel recommends that endotracheal intubation in patients with COVID-19 be performed by health care providers with extensive airway management experience, if possible (AIII).
- The Panel recommends that intubation be performed using video laryngoscopy, if possible (CIII).

#### Hemodynamic Support:

- The Panel recommends norepinephrine as the first-choice vasopressor (AII).
- For adults with COVID-19 and refractory septic shock who are not receiving corticosteroids to treat their COVID-19, the Panel recommends using low-dose corticosteroid therapy ("shock-reversal") over no corticosteroid therapy (BII).

#### Ventilatory Support:

- For adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure despite conventional oxygen therapy, the Panel recommends high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) oxygen over noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) (BI).
- In the absence of an indication for endotracheal intubation, the Panel recommends a closely monitored trial of NIPPV for adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure for whom HFNC is not available (**BIII**).
- For adults with COVID-19 who are receiving supplemental oxygen, the Panel recommends close monitoring for worsening respiratory status and that intubation, if it becomes necessary, be performed by an experienced practitioner in a controlled setting (AII).
- For patients with persistent hypoxemia despite increasing supplemental oxygen requirements in whom endotracheal intubation is not otherwise indicated, the Panel recommends considering a trial of awake prone positioning to improve oxygenation (CIII).
- The Panel **recommends against** using awake prone positioning as a rescue therapy for refractory hypoxemia to avoid intubation in patients who otherwise require intubation and mechanical ventilation (AIII).
- For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), the Panel recommends using low tidal volume (VT) ventilation (VT 4–8 mL/kg of predicted body weight) over higher tidal volumes (VT >8 mL/kg) (AI).
- For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and refractory hypoxemia despite optimized ventilation, the Panel recommends prone ventilation for 12 to 16 hours per day over no prone ventilation (**BII**).
- For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19, severe ARDS, and hypoxemia despite optimized ventilation and other rescue strategies, the Panel recommends using an inhaled pulmonary vasodilator as a rescue therapy; if no rapid improvement in oxygenation is observed, the treatment should be tapered off (CIII).
- There are insufficient data to recommend either for or against the routine use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for patients with COVID-19 and refractory hypoxemia.

#### Acute Kidney Injury and Renal Replacement Therapy:

- For critically ill patients with COVID-19 who have acute kidney injury and who develop indications for renal replacement therapy, the Panel recommends continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), if available (BIII).
- If CRRT is not available or not possible due to limited resources, the Panel recommends prolonged intermittent renal replacement therapy rather than intermittent hemodialysis (**BIII**).

#### Pharmacologic Interventions:

• See <u>Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19</u> for recommendations on the use of dexamethasone and remdesivir, either alone or in combination.

• In patients with COVID-19 and severe or critical illness, there are insufficient data to recommend empiric broadspectrum antimicrobial therapy in the absence of another indication.

#### Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

# **General Considerations**

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Severe cases of COVID-19 may be associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, cardiac dysfunction, elevations in multiple inflammatory cytokines, thromboembolic disease, and/or exacerbation of underlying comorbidities. In addition to pulmonary disease, patients with COVID-19 may also experience cardiac, hepatic, renal, and central nervous system disease. Because patients with critical illness are likely to undergo aerosol-generating procedures, they should be placed in airborne infection isolation rooms, when available.

Most of the recommendations for the management of critically ill patients with COVID-19 are extrapolated from experience with other causes of sepsis.<sup>1</sup> Currently, there is limited information to suggest that the critical care management of patients with COVID-19 should differ substantially from the management of other critically ill patients, although special precaution to prevent environmental contamination by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is warranted.

As with any patient in the intensive care unit (ICU), successful clinical management of a patient with COVID-19 depends on attention to the primary process leading to the ICU admission, but also to underlying comorbidities and nosocomial complications.

#### **Comorbid Conditions**

Certain attributes and comorbidities, such as older age, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, renal disease, obesity, sickle cell disease, and receipt of a solid organ transplant are associated with an increased risk of severe illness from COVID-19.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Bacterial Superinfection of COVID-19-Associated Pneumonia**

Limited information exists about the frequency and microbiology of pulmonary coinfections and superinfections in patients with COVID-19, such as hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Some studies from China emphasize the lack of bacterial coinfections in patients with COVID-19, while other studies suggest that these patients experience frequent bacterial complications.<sup>3-8</sup> There is appropriate concern about performing pulmonary diagnostic procedures such as bronchoscopy or other airway sampling procedures that require disruption of a closed airway circuit. Thus, while some clinicians do not routinely start empiric broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy for patients with severe COVID-19 disease, other experienced clinicians routinely use such therapy. For the treatment of shock, however, empiric broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy is the standard of care. Antibiotic stewardship is critical to avoid reflexive or continued courses of antibiotics.

#### Septic Shock and the Inflammatory Response Due to COVID-19

Patients with COVID-19 may express high levels of an array of inflammatory cytokines, often in the setting of deteriorating hemodynamic or respiratory status. This is often referred to as "cytokine release syndrome" or "cytokine storm," although these are imprecise terms. Intensivists need to consider the full differential diagnosis of shock to exclude other treatable causes of shock (e.g., bacterial sepsis due to pulmonary or extrapulmonary sources, hypovolemic shock due to a gastrointestinal hemorrhage that is unrelated to COVID-19, cardiac dysfunction related to COVID-19 or comorbid atherosclerotic disease, stress-related adrenal insufficiency).

#### COVID-19-Induced Cardiac Dysfunction, Including Myocarditis

There is a growing body of literature relating COVID-19 to myocarditis and pericardial dysfunction in approximately 20% of patients.<sup>4,6,9-12</sup> Acute cardiac injury and arrhythmias have also been described in patients with COVID-19.

#### **Thromboembolic Events and COVID-19**

Critically ill patients with COVID-19 have been observed to have a prothrombotic state, which is characterized by the elevation of certain biomarkers, and there is an apparent increase in the incidence of venous thromboembolic disease in this population. In some studies, thromboemboli have been diagnosed in patients who received chemical prophylaxis with heparinoids.<sup>13-15</sup> Autopsy studies provide additional evidence of both thromboembolic disease and microvascular thrombosis in patients with COVID-19.<sup>16</sup> Some authors have called for routine surveillance of ICU patients for venous thromboembolism.<sup>17</sup> Please refer to <u>Antithrombotic Therapy in Patients with COVID-19</u> for a more detailed discussion.

#### Renal and Hepatic Dysfunction Due to COVID-19

Although SARS-CoV-2 is primarily a pulmonary pathogen, renal and hepatic dysfunction are consistently described in patients with severe COVID-19.<sup>4</sup> In one case series, continuous renal replacement therapy was needed in more than 15% of cases of critical disease.<sup>6</sup> See <u>Acute Kidney Injury</u> and <u>Renal Replacement Therapy</u> for a more detailed discussion.

#### **Considerations in Children**

Several large, epidemiologic studies suggest that rates of ICU admission are substantially lower for children with COVID-19 than for adults with the disease. However, severe disease does occur in children.<sup>18-23</sup> The risk factors for severe COVID-19 in children have not yet been established. Based on data from studies of adults and extrapolation from data on other pediatric respiratory viruses, children who are severely immunocompromised and those with underlying cardiopulmonary disease may be at higher risk for severe disease.

A new syndrome, multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C), which appears to be a postinfectious complication, has been described.<sup>24,25</sup> Certain symptoms of MIS-C often require ICU-level care, including blood pressure and inotropic support. These symptoms include severe abdominal pain, multisystem inflammation, shock, cardiac dysfunction, and, rarely, coronary artery aneurysm. A minority of children with MIS-C meet criteria for typical or atypical Kawasaki disease. For details on MIS-C clinical features and the treatments that are being investigated, see <u>Special Considerations in Children</u>.

# Interactions Between Drugs Used to Treat COVID-19 and Drugs Used to Treat Comorbidities

All ICU patients should be routinely monitored for drug-drug interactions. The potential for drug-drug interactions between investigational medications or medications used off-label to treat COVID-19 and concurrent drugs should be considered.

#### Sedation Management in Patients with COVID-19

International guidelines provide the multiprofessional ICU team with recommendations on the prevention, detection, and treatment of pain, sedation, and delirium.<sup>26,27</sup> Sedation management strategies such as maintaining a light level of sedation, when appropriate, and minimizing sedative exposure have shortened duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU length of stay in patients without COVID-19.<sup>28,29</sup>

The Society of Critical Care Medicine's (SCCM's) ICU Liberation Campaign promotes the ICU Liberation Bundle (A-F) to improve post-ICU patient outcomes. The A-F Bundle includes the following elements:

- A. Assess, prevent, and manage pain;
- B. Both spontaneous awakening and breathing trials;
- C. Choice of analgesia and sedation;
- D. Delirium: assess, prevent, and manage;
- E. Early mobility and exercise; and
- F. Family engagement and empowerment.

The tool also provides frontline staff with practical application strategies for each element.<sup>30</sup> Incorporating the A-F Bundle using an interprofessional team model helps standardize communication among the treatment team members and improve survival and reduce long-term cognitive dysfunction of patients.<sup>31</sup> Despite the known benefits of the A-F Bundle, its impact has not been directly assessed in patients with COVID-19; however, use of the Bundle should be encouraged, when appropriate, to improve ICU patient outcomes. Prolonged mechanical ventilation of COVID-19 patients, coupled with deep sedation and potentially neuromuscular blockade, increases the workload of ICU staff. Additionally, significant drug shortages may impede routine implementation of the <u>PADIS Guidelines</u> forcing a return to older sedatives with prolonged duration of action and active metabolites, thereby putting these patients at additional risk for ICU and post-ICU complications.

#### Post-Intensive Care Syndrome

Patients with COVID-19 are reported to experience prolonged delirium and/or encephalopathy associated with mechanical ventilation.<sup>32</sup> Neurological complications are associated with older age and with underlying conditions, such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus.<sup>33</sup> Autopsy studies demonstrate macrovascular, as well as microvascular thrombosis, with evidence of hypoxic ischemia.<sup>34</sup> Adequate management requires careful attention to best sedation practices, and vigilance in stroke detection.

Post-intensive care syndrome (PICS) is a spectrum of cognitive, psychiatric, and/or physical disability that affects survivors of critical illness and persists after a patient leaves the ICU.<sup>35</sup> Patients with PICS may present with varying levels of impairment including profound muscle weakness (ICU-acquired weakness), problems with thinking and judgment (cognitive dysfunction), and mental health problems, such as problems sleeping, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and anxiety. ICU-acquired weakness affects 33% of all patients who receive mechanical ventilation, 50% of patients with sepsis, and  $\leq$ 50% of patients who remain in the ICU for  $\geq$ 1 week.<sup>36-38</sup> Cognitive dysfunction affects 30% to 80% of patients discharged from the ICU.<sup>39-41</sup> About 50% of ICU survivors do not return to work within 1 year after discharge.<sup>42</sup> Although no single risk factor has been associated with PICS, there are opportunities to minimize the risk of PICS through medication management (A-F Bundle), physical rehabilitation, follow-up clinics, family support, and improved education about the syndrome. PICS also affects family members who participate in the care of their loved ones. In one study, a third of family members who had main decision-making roles experienced mental health problems, such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD.<sup>43</sup> Early reports suggest that some patients with COVID-19 who have been treated in the ICU express manifestations of PICS.<sup>44</sup> Although specific therapies for COVID-19-induced PICS are not vet available, physicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for cognitive impairment and other related problems in survivors of severe or critical COVID-19 illness.

#### **Other Intensive Care Unit-Related Complications**

Patients who are critically ill with COVID-19 are at risk for nosocomial infections and other complications of critical illness care, such as VAP, HAP, catheter-related bloodstream infections, and venous thromboembolism. When treating patients with COVID-19, clinicians also need to minimize the risk of conventional ICU complications in order to optimize the likelihood of a successful ICU outcome.

#### Advance Care Planning and Goals of Care

The advance care plans and the goals of care for all critically ill patients must be assessed at hospital admission and regularly thereafter. This is an essential element of care for all patients. Information on palliative care for patients with COVID-19 can be found at the <u>National Coalition for Hospice and</u> <u>Palliative Care website</u>.

To guide shared decision-making in cases of serious illness, advance care planning should include identifying existing advance directives that outline a patient's preferences and values. Values and care preferences should be discussed, documented, and revisited regularly for patients with or without prior directives. Specialty palliative care teams can facilitate communication between clinicians and surrogate decision makers, support front-line clinicians, and provide direct patient-care services when needed.

Surrogate decision makers should be identified for all critically ill patients with COVID-19 at hospital admission. Infection-control policies for COVID-19 often present barriers to communication with surrogate decision makers, and most surrogates will not be physically present when discussing treatment options with clinicians. Many decision-making discussions will occur via telecommunication.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC), an initiative supported by the SCCM and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, issued *Guidelines on the Management of Critically Ill Adults with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)* in March 2020.<sup>1</sup> The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) has based the recommendations in this section on the SSC COVID-19 Guidelines with permission, and the Panel gratefully acknowledges the work of the SSC COVID-19 Guidelines Panel. The Panel also acknowledges the contributions and expertise of Andrew Rhodes, MBBS, MD, of St. George's University Hospitals in London, England, and Waleed Alhazzani, MBBS, MSc, of McMaster University in Hamilton, Canada.

#### References

- 1. Alhazzani W, Moller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Crit Care Med.* 2020;48(6):e440-e469. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32224769</u>.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence used to update the list of underlying medical conditions that increase a person's risk of severe illness from COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/evidence-table.html</u>. Accessed September 22, 2020.
- 3. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180(7):934-943. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32167524</u>.
- Arentz M, Yim E, Klaff L, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of 21 critically ill patients with COVID-19 in Washington state. *JAMA*. 2020;323(16):1612-1614. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32191259</u>.
- 5. Bhatraju PK, Ghassemieh BJ, Nichols M, et al. COVID-19 in critically ill patients in the Seattle region—case series. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(21):2012-2022. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>

pubmed/32227758.

- Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8(5):475-481. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32105632</u>.
- Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. *BMJ*. 2020;368:m1091. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32217556</u>.
- 8. Du Y, Tu L, Zhu P, et al. Clinical features of 85 fatal cases of COVID-19 from Wuhan: a retrospective observational study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020;201(11):1372-1379.. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32242738</u>.
- Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020;5(7):802-810. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32211816</u>.
- 10. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31986264</u>.
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10229):1054-1062. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32171076</u>.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirusinfected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020;323(11):1061-1069. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/32031570</u>.
- Llitjos JF, Leclerc M, Chochois C, et al. High incidence of venous thromboembolic events in anticoagulated severe COVID-19 patients. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(7):1743-1746. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/32320517</u>.
- Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7197634/</u>.
- Klok FA, Kruip M, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res*. 2020;191:145-147. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32291094</u>.
- 16. Menter T, Haslbauer JD, Nienhold R, et al. Post-mortem examination of COVID19 patients reveals diffuse alveolar damage with severe capillary congestion and variegated findings of lungs and other organs suggesting vascular dysfunction. *Histopathology*. 2020;Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.</u> <u>nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32364264</u>.
- Tavazzi G, Civardi L, Caneva L, Mongodi S, Mojoli F. Thrombotic events in SARS-CoV-2 patients: an urgent call for ultrasound screening. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46(6):1121-1123. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/32322918</u>.
- Sun D, Li H, Lu XX, et al. Clinical features of severe pediatric patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan: a single center's observational study. *World J Pediatr.* 2020;16(3):251-259. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32193831</u>.
- 19. Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of 2,143 pediatric patients with 2019 coronavirus disease in China. *Pediatrics*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32179660</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children United States, February 12–April 2, 2020. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6914e4.htm</u>. Accessed September 29, 2020.
- 21. Chao JY, Derespina KR, Herold BC, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of hospitalized and critically ill children and adolescents with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) at a tertiary care medical center in New York City. *J Pediatr*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32407719</u>.

- 22. Zachariah P, Johnson CL, Halabi KC, et al. Epidemiology, Clinical Features, and Disease Severity in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in a Children's Hospital in New York City, New York. JAMA Pediatr. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32492092</u>.
- 23. DeBiasi RL, Song X, Delaney M, et al. Severe COVID-19 in children and young adults in the Washington, DC metropolitan region. *J Pediatr*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32405091</u>.
- 24. Whittaker E, Bamford A, Kenny J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 58 children with a pediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA*. 2020 ;324(3):259-269. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511692">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511692</a>.
- 25. Verdoni L, Mazza A, Gervasoni A, et al. An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at the Italian epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10239):1771-1778. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32410760.
- 26. Barr J, Fraser GL, Puntillo K, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of pain, agitation, and delirium in adult patients in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med.* 2013;41(1):263-306. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23269131</u>.
- 27. Devlin JW, Skrobik Y, Gelinas C, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of pain, agitation/sedation, delirium, immobility, and sleep disruption in adult patients in the ICU. *Crit Care Med.* 2018;46(9):e825-e873. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30113379</u>.
- 28. Kress JP, Vinayak AG, Levitt J, et al. Daily sedative interruption in mechanically ventilated patients at risk for coronary artery disease. *Crit Care Med.* 2007;35(2):365-371. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17205005</u>.
- 29. Girard TD, Kress JP, Fuchs BD, et al. Efficacy and safety of a paired sedation and ventilator weaning protocol for mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care (Awakening and Breathing Controlled trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9607):126-134. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18191684</u>.
- 30. Society of Critical Care Medicine. ICU Liberation Bundle (A-F). Available at: <u>https://www.sccm.org/</u> <u>ICULiberation/ABCDEF-Bundles</u>. Accessed September 22, 2020.
- 31. Barnes-Daly MA, Phillips G, Ely EW. improving hospital survival and reducing brain dysfunction at seven california community hospitals: implementing PAD guidelines via the ABCDEF bundle in 6,064 patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2017;45(2):171-178. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27861180.
- 32. Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, et al. Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(23):2268-2270. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32294339</u>.
- 33. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Neurol. 2020;77(6):683-690. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32275288</u>.
- 34. Solomon IH, Normandin E, Bhattacharyya S, et al. Neuropathological features of COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(10):989-992. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32530583</u>.
- 35. Society of Critical Care Medicine. Post-intensive care syndrome. 2013. Available at: <u>https://www.sccm.org/</u> <u>MyICUCare/THRIVE/Post-intensive-Care-Syndrome</u>. Accessed September 22, 2020.
- 36. Fan E, Dowdy DW, Colantuoni E, et al. Physical complications in acute lung injury survivors: a two-year longitudinal prospective study. *Crit Care Med.* 2014;42(4):849-859. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24247473</u>.
- De Jonghe B, Sharshar T, Lefaucheur JP, et al. Paresis acquired in the intensive care unit: a prospective multicenter study. *JAMA*. 2002;288(22):2859-2867. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12472328</u>.
- 38. Ali NA, O'Brien JM, Jr., Hoffmann SP, et al. Acquired weakness, handgrip strength, and mortality in critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2008;178(3):261-268. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/18511703</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

- 39. Pandharipande PP, Girard TD, Jackson JC, et al. Long-term cognitive impairment after critical illness. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369(14):1306-1316. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24088092</u>.
- 40. Iwashyna TJ, Ely EW, Smith DM, Langa KM. Long-term cognitive impairment and functional disability among survivors of severe sepsis. *JAMA*. 2010;304(16):1787-1794. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20978258</u>.
- Mikkelsen ME, Christie JD, Lanken PN, et al. The adult respiratory distress syndrome cognitive outcomes study: long-term neuropsychological function in survivors of acute lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;185(12):1307-1315. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22492988</u>.
- 42. Kamdar BB, Sepulveda KA, Chong A, et al. Return to work and lost earnings after acute respiratory distress syndrome: a 5-year prospective, longitudinal study of long-term survivors. *Thorax*. 2018;73(2):125-133. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28918401.
- 43. Azoulay E, Pochard F, Kentish-Barnes N, et al. Risk of post-traumatic stress symptoms in family members of intensive care unit patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005;171(9):987-994. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15665319</u>.
- 44. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F, Gemelli Against COVID-19 Post-Acute Care Study Group. Persistent symptoms in patients after acute COVID-19. JAMA. 2020;324(6):603-605. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32644129</u>.

### **Infection Control**

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Health care workers should follow the infection control policies and procedures issued by their health care institutions.

#### Recommendation

- For health care workers who are performing aerosol-generating procedures on patients with COVID-19, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using an N95 respirator (or equivalent or higher-level respirator) rather than surgical masks, in addition to other personal protective equipment (PPE) (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection such as a face shield or safety goggles) (AIII).
  - Aerosol-generating procedures include endotracheal intubation and extubation, sputum induction, bronchoscopy, mini-bronchoalveolar lavage, open suctioning of airways, manual ventilation, unintentional or intentional ventilator disconnections, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) (e.g., bilevel positive airway pressure [BiPAP], continuous positive airway pressure [CPAP]), cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and, potentially, nebulizer administration and high-flow oxygen delivery. Caution regarding aerosol generation is appropriate in situations such as tracheostomy and proning, where ventilator disconnections are likely to occur.

#### Rationale

During the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic, aerosol-generating procedures increased the risk of infection among health care workers.<sup>1,2</sup> N95 respirators block 95% to 99% of aerosol particles; however, medical staff must be fit-tested for the type used.<sup>3</sup> Surgical masks block large particles, droplets, and sprays, but are less effective in blocking small particles ( $<5 \mu m$ ) and aerosols.<sup>4</sup>

#### Recommendation

- The Panel recommends minimizing the use of aerosol-generating procedures on intensive care unit patients with COVID-19 and carrying out any necessary aerosol-generating procedures in a negative-pressure room, also known as an airborne infection isolation room (AIIR), when available (AIII).
  - The Panel recognizes that aerosol-generating procedures are necessary to perform in some patients, and that such procedures can be carried out with a high degree of safety if infection control guidelines are followed.

#### Rationale

AIIRs lower the risk of cross-contamination among rooms and lower the risk of infection for staff and patients outside the room when aerosol-generating procedures are performed. AIIRs were effective in preventing virus spread during the SARS epidemic.<sup>2</sup> If an AIIR is not available, a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter should be used, especially for patients on high-flow nasal cannula or noninvasive ventilation. HEPA filters reduce virus transmission in simulations.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Recommendations**

• For health care workers who are providing usual care for non-ventilated patients with COVID-19, the Panel recommends using an N95 respirator (or equivalent or higher-level respirator) or a surgical mask, in addition to other PPE (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection such as a face shield

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

or safety goggles) (AII).

• For health care workers who are performing non-aerosol-generating procedures on patients with COVID-19 who are on closed-circuit mechanical ventilation, the Panel recommends using an N95 respirator (or equivalent or higher-level respirator), in addition to other PPE (i.e., gloves, gown, and eye protection such as a face shield or safety goggles) because ventilator circuits may become disrupted unexpectedly (**BIII**).

#### Rationale

There is evidence from viral diseases, including SARS, that both surgical masks and N95 masks reduce transmission of infection.<sup>6</sup> Current evidence suggests that surgical masks are probably not inferior to N95 respirators for preventing transmission of laboratory-confirmed, seasonal respiratory viral infections (e.g., influenza).<sup>7,8</sup> A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials that compared the protective effect of medical masks with N95 respirators demonstrated that the use of medical masks did not increase laboratory-confirmed viral (including coronavirus) respiratory infection or clinical respiratory illness.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Recommendations**

- The Panel recommends that endotracheal intubation in patients with COVID-19 be performed by health care providers with extensive airway management experience, if possible (AIII).
- The Panel recommends that intubation be performed using video laryngoscopy, if possible (CIII).

#### Rationale

Practices that maximize the chances of first-pass success and minimize aerosolization should be used when intubating patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19.<sup>10,11</sup> Thus, the Panel recommends that the health care worker with the most experience and skill in airway management be the first to attempt intubation. The close facial proximity of direct laryngoscopy can expose health care providers to higher concentrations of viral aerosols. It is also important to avoid having unnecessary staff in the room during intubation procedures.

#### References

- 1. Yam LY, Chen RC, Zhong NS. SARS: ventilatory and intensive care. *Respirology*. 2003;8 Suppl:S31-35. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15018131</u>.
- 2. Twu SJ, Chen TJ, Chen CJ, et al. Control measures for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Taiwan. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2003;9(6):718-720. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12781013</u>.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory (NPPTL): respirator trusted-source information. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npptl/topics/</u>respirators/disp\_part/respsource1quest2.html. Accessed September 23, 2020.
- 4. Milton DK, Fabian MP, Cowling BJ, Grantham ML, McDevitt JJ. Influenza virus aerosols in human exhaled breath: particle size, culturability, and effect of surgical masks. *PLoS Pathog*. 2013;9(3):e1003205. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23505369">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23505369</a>.
- 5. Qian H, Li Y, Sun H, Nielsen PV, Huang X, Zheng X. Particle removal efficiency of the portable HEPA air cleaner in a simulated hospital ward. *Building Simulation*. 2010;3:215-224. Available at: <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12273-010-0005-4</u>.
- Offeddu V, Yung CF, Low MSF, Tam CC. Effectiveness of masks and respirators against respiratory infections in halthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(11):1934-1942. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29140516</u>.

- World Health Organization. Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail/infection-prevention-and-control-during-health-care-when-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-is-suspected-20200125</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim infection prevention and control recommendations for patients with suspected or confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in healthcare settings. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/infection-control/control-recommendations.html</u>. Accessed September 28, 2020.
- Bartoszko JJ, Farooqi MAM, Alhazzani W, Loeb M. Medical masks vs N95 respirators for preventing COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2020;14(4):365-373. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32246890</u>.
- Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, Pessoa-Silva CL, Conly J. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(4):e35797. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22563403</u>.
- Lewis SR, Butler AR, Parker J, Cook TM, Schofield-Robinson OJ, Smith AF. Videolaryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy for adult patients requiring tracheal intubation: a Cochrane Systematic Review. *Br J Anaesth*. 2017;119(3):369-383. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28969318</u>.

## Laboratory Diagnosis

Last Updated: April 21, 2020

## **Recommendations:**

- For intubated and mechanically ventilated adults who are suspected to have COVID-19 but who do not have a confirmed diagnosis:
  - The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends obtaining lower respiratory tract samples to establish a diagnosis of COVID-19 over upper respiratory tract (nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal) samples (**BII**).
  - The Panel recommends obtaining endotracheal aspirates over bronchial wash or bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples when obtaining lower respiratory samples to establish a diagnosis of COVID-19 (**BII**).

## Rationale

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) poses several diagnostic challenges, including potentially discordant shedding of virus from the upper versus lower respiratory tract. COVID-19 diagnosis is currently based on using a reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay to detect viral RNA in respiratory samples. The high specificity of RT-PCR removes the need for lower respiratory tract samples to diagnose COVID-19 when a nasopharyngeal swab is positive for a patient with recent onset of the disease. Lower respiratory tract specimens are considered by some experts to have higher yield, due to high viral load, consistent with what has been observed for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS).<sup>1-7</sup> Thus, lower respiratory tract samples should be obtained whenever possible if there is diagnostic uncertainty regarding COVID-19.

However, BAL and sputum induction are aerosol-generating procedures and should be performed only with careful consideration of the risk to staff of aerosol generation. Endotracheal aspirates appear to carry a lower risk of aerosolization than BAL and are thought by some experts to have comparable sensitivity and specificity to BAL specimens.

## References

- 1. Chan PK, To WK, Ng KC, et al. Laboratory diagnosis of SARS. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2004;10(5):825-831. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15200815.</u>
- 2. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32159775</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evaluating and testing persons for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020; <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-nCoV/hcp/clinical-criteria.html.</u> Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 4. Hase R, Kurita T, Muranaka E, Sasazawa H, Mito H, Yano Y. A case of imported COVID-19 diagnosed by PCR-positive lower respiratory specimen but with PCR-negative throat swabs. *Infect Dis (Lond)*. 2020:1-4. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32238024.</u>
- 5. Tang P, Louie M, Richardson SE, et al. Interpretation of diagnostic laboratory tests for severe acute respiratory syndrome: the Toronto experience. *CMAJ*. 2004;170(1):47-54. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14707219</u>.
- 6. Memish ZA, Al-Tawfiq JA, Makhdoom HQ, et al. Respiratory tract samples, viral load, and genome fraction yield in patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;210(10):1590-1594. Available at:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24837403.

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim guidelines for collecting, handling, and testing clinical specimens from persons under investigation (PUIs) for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Version 2.1. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/mers/guidelines-clinicalspecimens.html</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.

## Hemodynamics

### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Most of the hemodynamic recommendations below are similar to those previously published in the *Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock:* 2016. Ultimately, patients with COVID-19 who require fluid resuscitation or hemodynamic management of shock should be treated and managed identically to patients with septic shock.<sup>1</sup>

COVID-19 patients who require fluid resuscitation or hemodynamic management of shock should be treated and managed for septic shock in accordance with other published guidelines, with the following exceptions.

## Recommendation

• For adults with COVID-19 and shock, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using dynamic parameters, skin temperature, capillary refilling time, and/or lactate levels over static parameters to assess fluid responsiveness (**BII**).

## Rationale

No direct evidence addresses the optimal resuscitation strategy for patients with COVID-19 and shock. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 13 non-COVID-19 randomized clinical trials (n = 1,652),<sup>2</sup> dynamic assessment to guide fluid therapy reduced mortality (risk ratio 0.59; 95% CI, 0.42–0.83), intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay (weighted mean difference -1.16 days; 95% CI, -1.97 to -0.36), and duration of mechanical ventilation (weighted mean difference -2.98 hours; 95% CI, -5.08 to -0.89). Dynamic parameters used in these trials included stroke volume variation (SVV), pulse pressure variation (PPV), and stroke volume change with passive leg raise or fluid challenge. Passive leg raising, followed by PPV and SVV, appears to predict fluid responsiveness with the highest accuracy.<sup>3</sup> The static parameters included components of early goal-directed therapy (e.g., central venous pressure, mean arterial pressure).

Resuscitation of non-COVID-19 patients with shock based on serum lactate levels has been summarized in a systematic review and meta-analysis of seven randomized clinical trials (n = 1,301). Compared with central venous oxygen saturation-guided therapy, early lactate clearance-directed therapy was associated with a reduction in mortality (relative ratio 0.68; 95% CI, 0.56–0.82), shorter length of ICU stay (mean difference -1.64 days; 95% CI, -3.23 to -0.05), and shorter duration of mechanical ventilation (mean difference -10.22 hours; 95% CI, -15.94 to -4.50).<sup>4</sup>

## Recommendation

• For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock, the Panel recommends using buffered/balanced crystalloids over unbalanced crystalloids (**BII**).

## Rationale

A pragmatic randomized trial that compared balanced and unbalanced crystalloids in 15,802 critically ill adults found that the rate of the composite outcome of death, new renal-replacement therapy, or persistent renal dysfunction was lower in the balanced crystalloids group (OR 0.90; 95% CI, 0.82–0.99; P = 0.04).<sup>5</sup> A secondary analysis compared outcomes in a subset of patients with sepsis (n = 1,641). Among the sepsis patients in the balanced crystalloids group, there were fewer deaths (aOR 0.74; 95% CI, 0.59–0.93; P = 0.01), as well as fewer days requiring vasopressors and renal replacement therapy.<sup>6</sup>

A subsequent meta-analysis of 21 randomized controlled trials (n = 20,213) that included the pragmatic trial cited above compared balanced crystalloids to 0.9% saline for resuscitation of critically ill adults and children and reported nonsignificant differences in hospital mortality (OR 0.91; 95% CI, 0.83–1.01) and acute kidney injury (OR 0.92; 95% CI, 0.84–1.00).<sup>7</sup>

## Recommendation

• For the acute resuscitation of adults with COVID-19 and shock, the Panel **recommends against** the initial use of albumin for resuscitation **(BI)**.

## Rationale

A meta-analysis of 20 non-COVID-19 randomized controlled trials (n = 13,047) that compared the use of albumin or fresh-frozen plasma to crystalloids in critically ill patients found no difference in all-cause mortality,<sup>8</sup> whereas a meta-analysis of 17 non-COVID-19 randomized controlled trials (n = 1,977) that compared the use of albumin to crystalloids specifically in patients with sepsis observed a reduction in mortality (OR 0.82; 95% CI, 0.67–1.0; P = 0.047).<sup>9</sup> Given the higher cost of albumin and the lack of a definitive clinical benefit, the Panel **recommends against** the routine use of albumin for initial acute resuscitation of patients with COVID-19 and shock.

## Additional Recommendations Based on General Principles of Critical Care

- The Panel **recommends against** using hydroxyethyl starches for intravascular volume replacement in patients with sepsis or septic shock (AI).
- The Panel recommends norepinephrine as the first-choice vasopressor (AII). The Panel recommends adding either vasopressin (up to 0.03 units/minute) (BII) or epinephrine (CII) to norepinephrine to raise mean arterial pressure to target or adding vasopressin (up to 0.03 units/minute) (CII) to decrease norepinephrine dosage.
- When norepinephrine is available, the Panel **recommends against** using dopamine for patients with COVID-19 and shock (AI).
- The Panel recommends against using low-dose dopamine for renal protection (BII).
- The Panel recommends using dobutamine in patients who show evidence of cardiac dysfunction and persistent hypoperfusion despite adequate fluid loading and the use of vasopressor agents **(BII)**.
- The Panel recommends that all patients who require vasopressors have an arterial catheter placed as soon as practical, if resources are available (**BIII**).
- For adults with COVID-19 and refractory septic shock who are not receiving corticosteroids to treat their COVID-19, the Panel recommends using low-dose corticosteroid therapy ("shock-reversal") over no corticosteroid therapy (**BII**).
- A typical corticosteroid regimen in septic shock is intravenous hydrocortisone 200 mg per day administered either as an infusion or in intermittent doses. The duration of hydrocortisone therapy is usually a clinical decision.
- Patients who are receiving corticosteroids for COVID-19 are receiving sufficient replacement therapy such that they do not require additional hydrocortisone.

## References

1. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. *Crit Care Med.* 2017;45(3):486-552. Available at: <u>https://www.</u>

ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28098591.

- Bednarczyk JM, Fridfinnson JA, Kumar A, et al. Incorporating dynamic assessment of fluid responsiveness into goal-directed therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med.* 2017;45(9):1538-1545. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28817481</u>.
- 3. Bentzer P, Griesdale DE, Boyd J, MacLean K, Sirounis D, Ayas NT. Will this hemodynamically unstable patient respond to a bolus of intravenous fluids? *JAMA*. 2016;316(12):1298-1309. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27673307</u>.
- 4. Pan J, Peng M, Liao C, Hu X, Wang A, Li X. Relative efficacy and safety of early lactate clearance-guided therapy resuscitation in patients with sepsis: a meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(8):e14453. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30813144</u>.
- 5. Semler MW, Self WH, Wanderer JP, et al. Balanced crystalloids versus saline in critically ill adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(9):829-839. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29485925</u>.
- Brown RM, Wang L, Coston TD, et al. Balanced crystalloids versus saline in sepsis. A secondary analysis of the SMART clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2019;200(12):1487-1495. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31454263</u>.
- Antequera Martin AM, Barea Mendoza JA, Muriel A, et al. Buffered solutions versus 0.9% saline for resuscitation in critically ill adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;7:CD012247. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31334842</u>.
- Lewis SR, Pritchard MW, Evans DJ, et al. Colloids versus crystalloids for fluid resuscitation in critically ill people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;8:CD000567. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30073665</u>.
- 9. Delaney AP, Dan A, McCaffrey J, Finfer S. The role of albumin as a resuscitation fluid for patients with sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med.* 2011;39(2):386-391. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21248514</u>.

## Oxygenation and Ventilation

## Last Updated: July 17, 2020

For hypoxemic patients, the recommendations below emphasize well-described and documented recommendations from the Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines for <u>adult sepsis</u>, <u>pediatric</u> <u>sepsis</u>, and <u>COVID-19</u>, which provide more details about management and the data that support the recommendations.

## Recommendations

- For adults with COVID-19 who are receiving supplemental oxygen, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends close monitoring for worsening respiratory status and that intubation, if it becomes necessary, be performed by an experienced practitioner in a controlled setting (AII).
- For adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure despite conventional oxygen therapy, the Panel recommends high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) oxygen over noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) (**BI**).
- In the absence of an indication for endotracheal intubation, the Panel recommends a closely monitored trial of NIPPV for adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure for whom HFNC is not available (**BIII**).
- For patients with persistent hypoxemia despite increasing supplemental oxygen requirements in whom endotracheal intubation is not otherwise indicated, the Panel recommends considering a trial of awake prone positioning to improve oxygenation (CIII).
- The Panel **recommends against** using awake prone positioning as a rescue therapy for refractory hypoxemia to avoid intubation in patients who otherwise require intubation and mechanical ventilation (AIII).

## Rationale

Hypoxemia is common in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. The criteria for hospital admission, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and mechanical ventilation differ between countries. In some hospitals in the United States, >25% of hospitalized patients require ICU care, mostly due to acute respiratory failure.<sup>1-5</sup>

In adults with COVID-19 and acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, conventional oxygen therapy may be insufficient to meet the oxygen needs of the patient. Options include HFNC, NIPPV, or intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation.

HFNC and NIPPV are preferable to conventional oxygen therapy based on data from non-COVID-19 clinical trials and meta-analyses that showed reductions in the need for therapeutic escalation and the need for intubation in patients who received HFNC or NIPPV.<sup>6,7</sup>

HFNC is preferred over NIPPV in patients with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure based on data from an unblinded clinical trial that was performed prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. This trial found more ventilator-free days with HFNC than with conventional oxygen therapy or NIPPV (24 days vs. 22 days vs. 19 days, respectively; P = 0.02) and lower 90-day mortality with HFNC than with either conventional oxygen therapy (hazard ratio [HR] 2.01; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.01–3.99) or NIPPV (HR 2.50; 95% CI, 1.31–4.78).<sup>8</sup>

In the subgroup of more severely hypoxemic patients with  $PaO_2/FiO_2 \leq 200$ , HFNC reduced the rate

of intubation compared to conventional oxygen therapy or NIPPV (HRs 2.07 and 2.57, respectively). These findings were corroborated in a meta-analysis that showed a lower likelihood of intubation (odds ratio [OR] 0.48; 95% CI, 0.31–0.73) and ICU mortality (OR 0.36; 95% CI, 0.20–0.63) with HFNC than with NIPPV.<sup>9</sup> In situations where the options for respiratory support are limited, reducing the need for intubation may be particularly important.

Prone positioning improves oxygenation and patient outcomes in patients with moderate-to-severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) that requires mechanical ventilation.<sup>10,11</sup> Prone positioning is thought to improve oxygenation because it improves ventilation-perfusion matching and recruits collapsed alveoli in the dorsal lungs.<sup>12</sup> Two case series that were published prior to the COVID-19 pandemic reported improved oxygenation and low intubation rates after placing spontaneously breathing patients with hypoxemia in the prone position,<sup>13,14</sup> and several new case series reported similar results with awake prone positioning in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia who required supplemental oxygen.

In a case series of 50 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia who required supplemental oxygen upon presentation to a New York City emergency department (ED), awake prone positioning improved overall median oxygen saturation. However, 13 of these patients still required intubation due to respiratory failure within 24 hours of presentation to the ED.<sup>15</sup> Another case series from Jiangsu province used awake prone positioning as part of a treatment strategy in nonintubated patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and reported an intubation rate of less than 1%.<sup>16</sup> In a report of 24 patients who required either a nasal cannula or HFNC and who had a chest computed tomography scan that was consistent with COVID-19 pneumonia, 25% of patients tolerated prone positioning for at least 3 hours and showed >20% improvement in the partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood. No complications were reported with prone positioning.<sup>17</sup> Another case series of 15 patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 pneumonia who received awake prone positioning while on noninvasive ventilation reported that all patients showed improvement in their oxygen saturation during prone positioning, with 80% of patients maintaining their improved oxygen saturation after resupination. Seven percent of patients required intubation.<sup>18</sup>

Appropriate candidates for awake prone positioning are those who are able to adjust their position independently and tolerate lying prone. Awake prone positioning is **contraindicated** in patients who are in respiratory distress and who require immediate intubation. Awake prone positioning is also **contraindicated** in hemodynamically unstable patients, patients who recently had abdominal surgery, and patients who have an unstable spine.<sup>19</sup> Awake prone positioning is acceptable and feasible for pregnant patients and can be performed in the left lateral decubitus position or the fully prone position.<sup>20</sup>

It is essential that hypoxemic patients with COVID-19 be monitored closely for signs of respiratory decompensation. To ensure the safety of both the patient and health care workers, intubation should be performed in a controlled setting by an experienced practitioner.

Early intubation may be particularly appropriate when patients have additional acute organ dysfunction or chronic comorbidities, or when HFNC and NIPPV are not available. NIPPV has a high failure rate in both patients with non-COVID-19 viral pneumonia<sup>21,22</sup> and patients with ARDS.<sup>23,24</sup> NIPPV may generate aerosol spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and thus increase nosocomial transmission of the infection.<sup>25,26</sup> It remains unclear whether HFNC results in a lower risk of nosocomial SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

The use of supplemental oxygen in adults with COVID-19 has not been studied, but indirect evidence from other critical illnesses suggests the optimal oxygen target is an SpO<sub>2</sub> between 92% and 96%:

• A meta-analysis of 25 randomized controlled trials found that a liberal oxygen strategy (median SpO<sub>2</sub> 96%) was associated with an increased risk of hospital mortality (relative risk 1.21; 95% CI, 1.03–1.43).<sup>27</sup>

#### COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

• The LOCO2 randomized controlled trial compared a conservative oxygen strategy (target SpO<sub>2</sub> 88% to 92%) to a liberal oxygen strategy (target SpO<sub>2</sub>  $\geq$ 96%).<sup>28</sup> The trial was stopped early due to futility. Mortality increased among those who received the conservative oxygen therapy at Day 28 (risk difference +8%; 95% CI, -5% to +21%) and Day 90 (risk difference +14%; 95% CI, +0.7% to +27%). These differences would be important if they were real, but the study was too small to definitively confirm or exclude an effect.

## Recommendations

For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and ARDS:

- The Panel recommends using low tidal volume (VT) ventilation (VT 4–8 mL/kg of predicted body weight) over higher tidal volumes (VT >8 mL/kg) (AI).
- The Panel recommends targeting plateau pressures of <30 cm H<sub>2</sub>O (AII).
- The Panel recommends using a conservative fluid strategy over a liberal fluid strategy (BII).
- The Panel recommends against the routine use of inhaled nitric oxide (AI).

## Rationale

Currently, there is no evidence that ventilator management of patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 should differ from the management of patients with viral pneumonia due to influenza or other respiratory viruses.

## Recommendations

For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and moderate-to-severe ARDS:

- The Panel recommends using a higher positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) strategy over a lower PEEP strategy (**BII**).
- For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and refractory hypoxemia despite optimized ventilation, the Panel recommends prone ventilation for 12 to 16 hours per day over no prone ventilation (**BII**).

## Rationale

PEEP is beneficial in patients with ARDS because it prevents alveolar collapse, improves oxygenation, and minimizes atelectotrauma, a source of ventilator-induced lung injury. A meta-analysis of individual patient data from the three largest trials that compared lower and higher levels of PEEP found lower rates of ICU mortality and in-hospital mortality with higher PEEP in patients with moderate (P/F ratio of 100–200) and severe ARDS (P/F ratio <100).<sup>29</sup>

Though there is no clear standard as to what constitutes a high level PEEP, one conventional threshold is >10 cm  $H_2O.^{30}$  Recent reports have suggested that, in contrast to other causes of ARDS, some patients with moderate or severe ARDS due to COVID-19 have normal static compliance; higher PEEP levels may cause harm in this group by compromising hemodynamics and cardiovascular performance.<sup>31,32</sup> However, this finding has not been confirmed in other studies. Several observational studies reported that patients with moderate to severe ARDS due to COVID-19 had low compliance, similar to the lung compliance seen in patients with conventional ARDS.<sup>33-36</sup> In patients with ARDS due to COVID-19, assessment for responsiveness to higher PEEP may be individualized based on oxygenation and lung compliance. Clinicians should monitor patients for known side effects of higher PEEP, such as barotrauma and hypotension.

## Recommendations

- The Panel recommends using, as needed, intermittent boluses of neuromuscular blocking agents (NMBA) or continuous NMBA infusion to facilitate protective lung ventilation (**BIII**).
- In the event of persistent patient-ventilator dyssynchrony, which places the patient at risk for ventilator-induced lung injury, or in cases where a patient requires ongoing deep sedation, prone ventilation, or persistently high plateau pressures, the Panel recommends using a continuous NMBA infusion for up to 48 hours as long as patient anxiety and pain can be adequately monitored and controlled (**BIII**).

## Rationale

The recommendation for intermittent boluses of NMBA or continuous infusion of NMBA to facilitate lung protection may require a health care provider to enter the patient's room more frequently for close clinical monitoring. Therefore, in some situations, the risks of COVID-19 exposure and the use of personal protective equipment for each entry may outweigh the benefit of NMBA treatment.

## Recommendations

For mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19, severe ARDS, and hypoxemia despite optimized ventilation and other rescue strategies:

- The Panel recommends using recruitment maneuvers rather than not using recruitment maneuvers (CII).
- If recruitment maneuvers are used, the Panel **recommends against** using staircase (incremental PEEP) recruitment maneuvers (AII).
- The Panel recommends using an inhaled pulmonary vasodilator as a rescue therapy; if no rapid improvement in oxygenation is observed, the treatment should be tapered off (CIII).

## References

- 1. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32109013</u>.
- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72,314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32091533</u>.
- 3. Arentz M, Yim E, Klaff L, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of 21 critically ill patients with COVID-19 in Washington State. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32191259</u>.
- 4. Alhazzani W, Moller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Crit Care Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32224769</u>.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32031570</u>.
- 6. Xu XP, Zhang XC, Hu SL, et al. Noninvasive ventilation in acute hypoxemic nonhypercapnic respiratory failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2017;45(7):e727-e733. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28441237</u>.
- 7. Zhao H, Wang H, Sun F, Lyu S, An Y. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy is superior to conventional oxygen therapy but not to noninvasive mechanical ventilation on intubation rate: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2017;21(1):184. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28701227">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28701227</a>.

- Frat JP, Thille AW, Mercat A, et al. High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(23):2185-2196. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25981908</u>.
- Ni YN, Luo J, Yu H, Liu D, Liang BM, Liang ZA. The effect of high-flow nasal cannula in reducing the mortality and the rate of endotracheal intubation when used before mechanical ventilation compared with conventional oxygen therapy and noninvasive positive pressure ventilation. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2018;36(2):226-233. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/28780231</u>.
- 10. Guerin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(23):2159-2168. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23688302</u>.
- 11. Fan E, Del Sorbo L, Goligher EC, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine/Society of Critical Care Medicine clinical practice guideline: mechanical ventilation in adult patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2017;195(9):1253-1263. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28459336</u>.
- Nyren S, Mure M, Jacobsson H, Larsson SA, Lindahl SG. Pulmonary perfusion is more uniform in the prone than in the supine position: scintigraphy in healthy humans. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 1999;86(4):1135-1141. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10194194</u>.
- Scaravilli V, Grasselli G, Castagna L, et al. Prone positioning improves oxygenation in spontaneously breathing nonintubated patients with hypoxemic acute respiratory failure: A retrospective study. *J Crit Care*. 2015;30(6):1390-1394. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26271685</u>.
- 14. Ding L, Wang L, Ma W, He H. Efficacy and safety of early prone positioning combined with HFNC or NIV in moderate to severe ARDS: a multi-center prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):28. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32000806">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32000806</a>.
- Caputo ND, Strayer RJ, Levitan R. Early self-proning in awake, non-intubated patients in the emergency department: a single ED's experience during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2020;27(5):375-378. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32320506</u>.
- Sun Q, Qiu H, Huang M, Yang Y. Lower mortality of COVID-19 by early recognition and intervention: experience from Jiangsu Province. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10(1):33. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32189136</u>.
- 17. Elharrar X, Trigui Y, Dols AM, et al. Use of prone positioning in nonintubated patients with COVID-19 and hypoxemic acute respiratory failure. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32412581</u>.
- Sartini C, Tresoldi M, Scarpellini P, et al. Respiratory parameters in patients with COVID-19 after using noninvasive ventilation in the prone position outside the intensive care unit. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32412606</u>.
- Bamford P, Bentley A, Dean J, Whitmore D, Wilson-Baig N. ICS guidance for prone positioning of the conscious COVID patient. *Intensive Care Society*. 2020.Available at: <u>https://emcrit.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/2020-04-12-Guidance-for-conscious-proning.pdf</u>. Accessed May 14, 2020.
- 20. Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine. Management Considerations for Pregnant Patients With COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.smfm.org/media/2336/SMFM\_COVID\_Management\_of\_COVID\_pos\_preg\_patients\_4-30-20\_final.pdf</u>. Accessed: May 20, 2020.
- 21. Alraddadi BM, Qushmaq I, Al-Hameed FM, et al. Noninvasive ventilation in critically ill patients with the Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2019;13(4):382-390. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30884185</u>.
- 22. Esquinas AM, Egbert Pravinkumar S, Scala R, et al. Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in high-risk pulmonary infections: a clinical review. *Eur Respir Rev.* 2014;23(134):427-438. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25445941</u>.

- 23. He H, Sun B, Liang L, et al. A multicenter RCT of noninvasive ventilation in pneumonia-induced early mild acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care*. 2019;23(1):300. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31484582</u>.
- 24. Antonelli M, Conti G, Moro ML, et al. Predictors of failure of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in patients with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure: a multi-center study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2001;27(11):1718-1728. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11810114</u>.
- Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, Pessoa-Silva CL, Conly J. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(4):e35797. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22563403</u>.
- 26. Yu IT, Xie ZH, Tsoi KK, et al. Why did outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome occur in some hospital wards but not in others? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;44(8):1017-1025. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17366443</u>.
- Chu DK, Kim LH, Young PJ, et al. Mortality and morbidity in acutely ill adults treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy (IOTA): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10131):1693-1705. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29726345</u>.
- Barrot L, Asfar P, Mauny F, et al. Liberal or conservative oxygen therapy for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(11):999-1008. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32160661</u>.
- 29. Briel M, Meade M, Mercat A, et al. Higher vs lower positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2010;303(9):865-873. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20197533.
- Alhazzani W, Moller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: Guidelines on the Management of Critically Ill Adults with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Crit Care Med.* 2020;48(6):e440-e469. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32224769</u>.
- 31. Marini JJ, Gattinoni L. Management of COVID-19 Respiratory Distress. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32329799</u>.
- 32. Tsolaki V, Siempos I, Magira E, Kokkoris S, Zakynthinos GE, Zakynthinos S. PEEP levels in COVID-19 pneumonia. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):303. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32505186</u>.
- Bhatraju PK, Ghassemieh BJ, Nichols M, et al. Covid-19 in Critically Ill Patients in the Seattle Region Case Series. N Engl J Med. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32227758</u>.
- Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, et al. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10239):1763-1770. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32442528</u>.
- 35. Ziehr DR, Alladina J, Petri CR, et al. Respiratory Pathophysiology of Mechanically Ventilated Patients with COVID-19: A Cohort Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;201(12):1560-1564. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32348678</u>.
- 36. Schenck EJ, Hoffman K, Goyal P, et al. Respiratory Mechanics and Gas Exchange in COVID-19 Associated Respiratory Failure. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32432896</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

## Acute Kidney Injury and Renal Replacement Therapy

Last Updated: June 11, 2020

### Recommendations

- For critically ill patients with COVID-19 who have acute kidney injury (AKI) and who develop indications for renal replacement therapy (RRT), the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), if available (**BIII**).
- If CRRT is not available or not possible due to limited resources, the Panel recommends prolonged intermittent renal replacement therapy (PIRRT) rather than intermittent hemodialysis (IHD) (BIII).

## Rationale

AKI that requires RRT occurs in approximately 22% of patients with COVID-19 who are admitted to the intensive care unit.<sup>1</sup> Evidence pertaining to RRT in patients with COVID-19 is scarce. Until additional evidence is available, the Panel suggests using the same indications for RRT in patients with COVID-19 as those used for other critically ill patients.<sup>2</sup>

RRT modalities have not been compared in COVID-19 patients; the Panel's recommendations are motivated by the desire to minimize the risk of viral transmission to health care workers. The Panel considers CRRT to be the preferred RRT modality. CRRT is preferable to PIRRT because medication dosing for CRRT is more easily optimized and CRRT does not require nursing staff to enter the patient's room to begin and end dialysis sessions. CRRT and PIRRT are both preferable to IHD because neither requires a dedicated hemodialysis nurse. Peritoneal dialysis has also been used during surge situations in patients with COVID-19.

In situations where there may be insufficient CRRT machines or equipment to meet demand, the Panel advocates performing PIRRT instead of CRRT, and then using the machine for another patient after appropriate cleaning.

## References

- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5,700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. *JAMA*. 2020;323(20):2052-2059. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32320003</u>.
- 2. American Society of Nephrology. Recommendations on the care of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and kidney failure requiring renal replacement therapy. March 21, 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.asn-online.org/g/blast/files/AKI\_COVID-19\_Recommendations\_Document\_03.21.2020.pdf</u>.

Downloaded from https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/ on 10/17/2020

## Pharmacologic Interventions

Last Updated: October 9, 2020

## Antiviral Therapy

See <u>Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19</u> for recommendations on the use of remdesivir with or without corticosteroids.

## **Immune-Based Therapy**

Several immune-based therapies that are expected to modify the course of COVID-19, including corticosteroids, are currently under investigation or are already in use. These agents may target the virus (e.g., convalescent plasma) or modulate the immune response (e.g., corticosteroids, interleukin [IL]-1 or IL-6 inhibitors). Recommendations regarding immune-based therapy can be found in <u>Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19</u>.

## Corticosteroids

See <u>Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19</u> for recommendations on the use of dexamethasone with or without remdesivir.

## **Adjunctive Therapy**

Recommendations regarding adjunctive therapy used in the critical care setting, including antithrombotic therapy and vitamin C, can be found in the <u>Adjunctive Therapy</u> section.

## **Empiric Broad-Spectrum Antimicrobial Therapy**

#### **Recommendations**

- In patients with COVID-19 and severe or critical illness, there are insufficient data to recommend empiric broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy in the absence of another indication.
- If antimicrobials are initiated, the Panel recommends that their use should be reassessed daily in order to minimize the adverse consequences of unnecessary antimicrobial therapy (AIII).

## Rationale

There are no reliable estimates of the incidence or prevalence of copathogens with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 at this time.

Some experts routinely administer broad-spectrum antibiotics as empiric therapy for bacterial pneumonia to all patients with COVID-19 and moderate or severe hypoxemia. Other experts administer antibiotics only for specific situations, such as the presence of a lobar infiltrate on a chest X-ray, leukocytosis, an elevated serum lactate level, microbiologic data, or shock.

Gram stain, culture, or other testing of respiratory specimens is often not available due to concerns about aerosolization of the virus during diagnostic procedures or when processing specimens.

There are no clinical trials that have evaluated the use of empiric antimicrobial agents in patients with COVID-19 or other severe coronavirus infections.

## Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

Last Updated: April 21, 2020

## **Recommendation:**

• There are insufficient data to recommend either for or against the routine use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for patients with COVID-19 and refractory hypoxemia (BIII).

## Rationale

While ECMO may serve as an effective short-term rescue therapy in patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome and refractory hypoxemia, there is no conclusive evidence that ECMO is responsible for better clinical outcomes in patients who received ECMO than in patients who did not receive ECMO.<sup>1-4</sup>

ECMO is used by some experts, when available, for patients with refractory hypoxemia despite optimization of ventilation strategies and adjunctive therapies. Ideally, clinicians who are interested in using ECMO should either try to enter their patient into clinical trials or clinical registries so that more informative data can be obtained. The following resources provide more information on the use of ECMO in patients with COVID-19:

- Extracorporeal Life Support Organization
- <u>Clinical trials evaluating ECMO in patients with COVID-19</u> on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u>.

## References

- 1. Peek GJ, Mugford M, Tiruvoipati R, et al. Efficacy and economic assessment of conventional ventilatory support versus extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe adult respiratory failure (CESAR): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9698):1351-1363. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19762075</u>.
- 2. Pham T, Combes A, Roze H, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for pandemic influenza A(H1N1)induced acute respiratory distress syndrome: a cohort study and propensity-matched analysis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2013;187(3):276-285. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23155145.
- 3. Harrington D, Drazen JM. Learning from a trial stopped by a data and safety monitoring board. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(21):2031-2032. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29791830</u>.
- 4. Munshi L, Walkey A, Goligher E, Pham T, Uleryk EM, Fan E. Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2019;7(2):163-172. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30642776">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30642776</a>.

## Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

A number of investigational agents and drugs that are approved for other indications are currently being studied in clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19 and associated complications. Data from randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective observational cohorts, and case series studies are rapidly emerging. The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) continues to review the most recent clinical data to provide up-to-date treatment recommendations to clinicians who are caring for patients with COVID-19. In this section, the Panel recommends strategies for managing patients with different severities of disease. A comprehensive summary of clinical data for drugs that are being investigated can be found in the <u>Antiviral Therapy</u>, <u>Immune-Based Therapy</u>, and <u>Adjunctive Therapy</u> sections of these Guidelines.

## Figure 1. Recommendations for Pharmacologic Management of Patients with COVID-19 Based on Disease Severity

#### **DISEASE SEVERITY** PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS (Recommendations are listed in order of preference in each category below; however, all options are considered acceptable.) No specific antiviral or immunomodulatory therapy recommended Not Hospitalized The Panel recommends against the use of dexamethasone (AI) **Hospitalized but Does Not Require** See the Remdesivir section for a discussion of the data on using Supplemental Oxygen this drug in hospitalized patients with moderate COVID-19.ª Remdesivir 200 mg IV for one day, followed by remdesivir 100 mg IV once daily for 4 days or until hospital discharge, **Hospitalized and Requires** whichever comes first (AI)<sup>b,c,d</sup> Supplemental Oxygen or (but Does Not Require Oxygen Delivery Remdesivir (dose and duration as above) plus dexamethasone<sup>e</sup> Through a High-Flow Device, 6 mg IV or PO for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive whichever comes first (BIII)<sup>f</sup> Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO) If remdesivir cannot be used, dexamethasone<sup>e</sup> may be used instead (BIII) Dexamethasone<sup>d</sup> plus remdesivir at the doses and durations Hospitalized and Requires Oxygen discussed above (AIII)<sup>f</sup> **Delivery Through a High-Flow Device** or or Noninvasive Ventilation Dexamethasone<sup>d,e</sup> at the dose and duration discussed above (AI) Dexamethasone<sup>d,e</sup> at the dose and duration discussed above (AI) Hospitalized and Requires Invasive or Mechanical Ventilation or ECMO Dexamethasone° plus remdesivir for patients who have recently been intubated at the doses and durations discussed above (CIII)f Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

<sup>a</sup> The Panel recognizes that there may be situations in which a clinician judges that remdesivir is an appropriate treatment for a hospitalized patient with moderate COVID-19 (e.g., a patient who is at a particularly high risk for clinical deterioration). However, the Panel finds the data insufficient to recommend either for or against using remdesivir as routine treatment for all hospitalized patients with moderate COVID-19.

<sup>b</sup> Treatment duration may be extended to up to 10 days if there is no substantial clinical improvement by Day 5.

• The Panel recognizes there is a theoretical rationale for initiating remdesivir plus dexamethasone in patients with rapidly progressing COVID-19.

<sup>d</sup> For patients who are receiving remdesivir but progress to requiring oxygen through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, remdesivir should be continued until the treatment course is completed.

 If dexamethasone is not available, equivalent doses of other corticosteroids, such as prednisone, methylprednisolone, or hydrocortisone, may be used. See <u>Corticosteroids</u> for more information.

<sup>f</sup> The combination of dexamethasone and remdesivir has not been studied in clinical trials; see text for the rationale for using this combination.

Key: ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; IV = intravenously; PO = orally

## For Patients with COVID-19 Who Are Not Hospitalized or Who Are Hospitalized With Moderate Disease but Do Not Require Supplemental Oxygen

### **Recommendations**

- The Panel does not recommend any specific antiviral or immunomodulatory therapy for the treatment of COVID-19 in these patients. Patients are considered to have moderate disease if they have clinical or radiographic evidence of lower respiratory tract infection and a saturation of oxygen (SpO<sub>2</sub>) ≥94% on room air at sea level.
- There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19.
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of **dexamethasone (AI)** or **other corticosteroids** for the treatment of COVID-19 (**AIII**) unless a patient has another clinical indication for corticosteroid therapy.

## Additional Considerations

• The Panel recognizes there may be situations in which a clinician judges that remdesivir is an appropriate treatment for a hospitalized patient with moderate disease (e.g., a person who is at a particularly high risk for clinical deterioration).

## Rationale for Not Recommending Routine Use of Remdesivir in This Group of Patients

In the Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial (ACTT-1), a multinational, randomized controlled trial that compared remdesivir to placebo in hospitalized patients with COVID-19, there was no observed benefit for remdesivir in patients with mild to moderate disease (defined as  $\text{SpO}_2 > 94\%$  on room air or a respiratory rate <24 breaths/min without supplemental oxygen).<sup>1</sup> In a manufacturer-sponsored, open-label, randomized trial of 596 patients with moderate COVID-19, patients who received 5 days of remdesivir had higher odds of a better clinical status on Day 11 than those who received standard care (OR 1.65; 95% CI, 1.09–2.48; *P* = 0.02). However, the difference between the groups was of uncertain clinical importance.

The Panel finds the available data insufficient to recommend either for or against routine treatment with remdesivir for all hospitalized patients with moderate COVID-19. However, the Panel recognizes there may be situations in which a clinician judges that remdesivir is an appropriate treatment for a hospitalized patient with moderate disease (e.g., a person who is at a particularly high risk for clinical deterioration).

## Rationale for Recommending Against the Use of Corticosteroids in This Group of Patients

In the Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial, a multicenter, open-label trial in the United Kingdom, hospitalized patients with COVID-19 were randomized to receive dexamethasone plus standard of care or standard of care alone (control arm).<sup>2</sup> Among participants who did not require supplemental oxygen at enrollment, no survival benefit was observed for dexamethasone: 17.8% participants in the dexamethasone arm and 14% in the control arm died within 28 days of enrollment (rate ratio 1.19; 95% CI, 0.91–1.55). Based on these data, the Panel **recommends against** the use of **dexamethasone** for the treatment of COVID-19 in this group of patients (**AI**).

For Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 Who Require Supplemental Oxygen but Who Do Not Require Delivery of Oxygen Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

## **Recommendations**

The options below are listed in order of preference; however, all these options are considered acceptable.

- **Remdesivir** 200 mg intravenously (IV) for 1 day, followed by remdesivir 100 mg IV for 4 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first (AI); *or*
- A combination of **remdesivir** (dose and duration as above) plus **dexamethasone** 6 mg IV or orally for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge (**BIII**); *or*
- If remdesivir cannot be used, **dexamethasone** may be used instead **(BIII)**. See <u>Remdesivir</u> for more information.

## Additional Considerations

- Remdesivir therapy may be extended to up to 10 days if no substantial clinical improvement is seen at Day 5.
- The combination of remdesivir and dexamethasone has not been studied in clinical trials; however, there are theoretical reasons for combining these drugs.
- The Panel recognizes there are theoretical reasons for adding dexamethasone to the drug regimen of patients who are currently receiving remdesivir but who are clinically deteriorating.
- If dexamethasone is not available, an alternative corticosteroid such as **prednisone**, **methylprednisolone**, or **hydrocortisone** can be used **(BIII)**. See <u>Corticosteroids</u> for dosing recommendations.

## Rationale for the Use of Remdesivir

In the final analysis of ACTT-1, remdesivir was associated with improved time to recovery (recovery rate ratio 1.45; 95% CI, 1.18–1.79) in a subgroup of 435 participants. In a post hoc analysis of deaths by Day 29, remdesivir appeared to confer a substantial survival benefit (HR for death 0.30; 95% CI, 0.14–0.64).1 For more information, please see <u>Remdesivir Clinical Data</u>.

## Rationale for the Use of Dexamethasone

In the RECOVERY trial, treatment with dexamethasone conferred a survival benefit among participants who required supplemental oxygen but not invasive mechanical ventilation at enrollment; 23.3% of participants in the dexamethasone group died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 26.2% in the standard of care arm (rate ratio 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72–0.94).<sup>2</sup> The amount of supplemental oxygen that participants were receiving and the proportions of participants who required oxygen delivery through high-flow devices or noninvasive ventilation were not specified. For more information, please see <u>Corticosteroids</u>.

The reason that routine dexamethasone monotherapy is not recommended is the theoretical concern that corticosteroids might slow viral clearance when they are administered without an antiviral drug. The results of an observational study suggest that delayed viral clearance may be a concern in patients with non-severe COVID-19 who are receiving corticosteroids without antiviral drugs. Corticosteroids have also been associated with delayed viral clearance and/or worse clinical outcomes in patients with other viral respiratory infections.<sup>3-5</sup>

Even though the RECOVERY trial did not specifically enroll participants with characteristics that would make them ineligible for remdesivir, based on the RECOVERY findings, the Panel recommends that **dexamethasone** may be used alone if remdesivir cannot be given **(BIII)**.

## Rationale for the Use of Remdesivir Plus Dexamethasone

The safety and efficacy of using remdesivir plus dexamethasone for the treatment of COVID-19 has not been evaluated in clinical trials. Despite the lack of clinical trial data, there is a theoretical rationale for combining remdesivir and dexamethasone. Patients with severe COVID-19 may develop a systemic inflammatory response that leads to lung injury and multisystem organ dysfunction. The potent antiinflammatory effects of corticosteroids might prevent or mitigate these hyperinflammatory effects. Thus, combining an antiviral with an anti-inflammatory agent may treat the viral infection as well as dampen the potentially injurious inflammatory response that is a consequence of the infection.

Based on these theoretical considerations, the Panel considers the combination of remdesivir and dexamethasone an option for patients in this group. Some experts would give remdesivir alone initially and limit the use of combination therapy to those who are clinically deteriorating while on remdesivir, those who show evidence of excess inflammation (e.g., based on laboratory parameters), and/or those who have other conditions that may confer a higher risk of disease progression.

## For Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 Who Require Delivery of Oxygen Through a High-Flow Device or Noninvasive Ventilation but Not Invasive Mechanical Ventilation or Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

## **Recommendations**

The options below are listed in order of preference; however, both options are considered acceptable.

- A combination of **dexamethasone** plus **remdesivir** at the doses and durations discussed above (AIII); *or*
- Dexamethasone alone at the dose and duration discussed above (AI).

## Additional Considerations

- The combination of dexamethasone and remdesivir has not been studied in clinical trials. Because there are theoretical reasons for combining these drugs, the Panel considers both the combination of remdesivir and dexamethasone and dexamethasone alone to be acceptable options for treating COVID-19 in this group of patients.
- Because there is uncertainty regarding whether starting remdesivir confers clinical benefit in this group of patients, the Panel **does not recommend** using remdesivir alone.
- For patients who initially received remdesivir monotherapy and progressed to requiring high-flow oxygen supplementation or noninvasive ventilation, dexamethasone should be initiated and remdesivir should be continued until the treatment course is completed.
- If dexamethasone is not available, equivalent doses of other corticosteroids such as **prednisone**, **methylprednisolone**, or **hydrocortisone** may be used (**BIII**). See <u>Corticosteroids</u> for more information.

## Rationale

In the RECOVERY Study, treatment with dexamethasone conferred a survival benefit among participants who required supplemental oxygen but not invasive mechanical ventilation at enrollment:

23.3% of the participants in the dexamethasone group died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 26.2% in the standard of care arm (rate ratio 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72-0.94).<sup>2</sup>

In ACTT-1, there was no observed difference in time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups (recovery rate ratio 1.09; 95% CI, 0.76–1.57) in the subgroup of participants who required high-flow oxygen or noninvasive ventilation at enrollment (n = 193). A post hoc analysis did not show a survival benefit at Day 29.<sup>1</sup> However, the trial was not powered to detect differences in outcomes within subgroups. Because there is uncertainty regarding the clinical benefit of using remdesivir alone in this subgroup, the Panel **does not recommend** using remdesivir monotherapy in these patients.

The combination of remdesivir and dexamethasone has not been studied in clinical trials; therefore, the safety and efficacy of this combination is unknown. Despite the lack of clinical trial data, the Panel recognizes that there are theoretical reasons to use dexamethasone and remdesivir in combination. One reason for coadministering remdesivir and dexamethasone is that antiviral therapy may decrease viral shedding or prevent the harmful clinical outcomes that have been observed in patients with other viral infections who have received steroids. In outbreaks of other coronavirus infections (e.g., Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS] and severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS]), corticosteroid use was associated with delayed virus clearance.<sup>3,4</sup> In cases of severe pneumonia caused by influenza, corticosteroid therapy appears to worsen clinical outcomes, including secondary bacterial infection and mortality.<sup>5</sup>

## For Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 Who Require Invasive Mechanical Ventilation or Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

## **Recommendations**

The options below are listed in order of preference; however, both options are considered acceptable.

- Dexamethasone at the dose and duration discussed above (AI); or
- **Dexamethasone** plus **remdesivir** for patients who have recently been intubated at the doses and durations discussed above (CIII).

## Additional Considerations

- The combination of dexamethasone and remdesivir has not been studied in clinical trials. There are theoretical reasons for coadministering these drugs in recently intubated patients.
- If dexamethasone is not available, alternative corticosteroids such as **prednisone**, **methylprednisolone**, or **hydrocortisone** can be used **(BIII)**. See <u>Corticosteroids</u> for dosing recommendations.
- For those who initially started remdesivir monotherapy and then progressed to mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), dexamethasone should be started and remdesivir should be continued to complete the treatment course.

## Rationale

In the RECOVERY study, a survival benefit was seen for dexamethasone among participants who required invasive mechanical ventilation at randomization: 29.3% of participants in the dexamethasone group died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 41.4% in the control arm (rate ratio 0.64; 95% CI, 0.51–0.81). After the publication of the RECOVERY study, several smaller randomized trials were published that examined the role of corticosteroids in critically ill patients with COVID-19. A meta-analysis of seven randomized controlled trials compared the 28-day mortality of critically ill patients

with COVID-19 who received corticosteroids (dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, or methylprednisolone) to those who received the usual care or placebo. In this meta-analysis, 92% of the 1,703 patients evaluated were on invasive mechanical ventilation. Mortality was 32.7% in patients who were randomized to receive corticosteroids and 41.4% in patients who were randomized to receive the usual care or placebo (OR 0.66; 95% CI, 0.53–0.82). It should be noted that the RECOVERY trial accounted for 59% of the patients in this meta-analysis.<sup>6</sup>

The reason that dexamethasone is prioritized over remdesivir monotherapy is because there is uncertainty regarding the clinical benefit of using remdesivir in this group. In ACTT-1, there was no observed difference in time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups (recovery rate ratio 0.98; 95% CI, 0.70–1.36) among participants who were on mechanical ventilation or ECMO at baseline (n = 285). In a post hoc analysis of deaths by Day 29, there was no evidence that remdesivir affected the mortality rate in this subgroup (HR 1.13; 95% CI, 0.67–1.89).<sup>1</sup> However, because the trial was not powered to detect differences in outcomes within subgroups, there is uncertainty about the effect of remdesivir on the course of COVID-19 in patients who are mechanically ventilated or on ECMO. There was no information available on the duration of mechanical ventilation in the study participants.

One theoretical reason for coadministering remdesivir and dexamethasone in patients who have recently been intubated is that antiviral therapy may prevent a steroid-related delay in viral clearance. This delay has been reported in previous studies when corticosteroids were given in the setting of other viral infections.<sup>3,4</sup> An observational study in people with non-severe COVID-19 suggested a similar delay in viral clearance in patients who received corticosteroids,<sup>7</sup> but these results have not been verified. Despite the lack of clinical trial data, some Panel members would coadminister dexamethasone and remdesivir in patients who have recently been placed on mechanical ventilation. Antivirals such as remdesivir might not have an impact later in the disease course because the rate of viral replication may be decreasing.

## References

- Beigel JH, Tomashek K, Dodd L, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19—final report. N Engl J Med. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/</u> NEJMoa2007764.
- 2. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19–preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32678530</u>.
- 3. Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;197(6):757-767. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29161116</u>.
- 4. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3(9):e343. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16968120</u>.
- Rodrigo C, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Lim WS. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;3:CD010406. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/26950335</u>.
- 6. WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group, Sterne JAC, Murthy S, et al. Association between administration of systemic corticosteroids and mortality among critically ill patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32876694</u>.
- Li Q, Li W, Jin Y, et al. Efficacy evaluation of early, low-dose, short-term corticosteroids in adults hospitalized with non-severe COVID-19 pneumonia: a retrospective cohort Study. *Infect Dis Ther*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32880102</u>.

# Antiviral Drugs That Are Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19

Last Updated: October 9, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

**There are no Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs for the treatment of COVID-19.** In this section, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) provides recommendations for using antiviral drugs to treat COVID-19 based on the available data. As in the management of any disease, treatment decisions ultimately reside with the patient and their health care provider.

For more information on the antiviral agents that are currently being evaluated for the treatment of COVID-19, see <u>Table 2</u>.

#### Remdesivir

The Remdesivir section of the Guidelines will be updated soon. See <u>Therapeutic Management of Patients with COVID-19</u> for recommendations on using remdesivir with or without corticosteroids.

#### **Recommendation for Prioritizing Limited Supplies of Remdesivir**

• Because remdesivir supplies are limited, the Panel recommends prioritizing **remdesivir** for use in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who require supplemental oxygen but who do not require oxygen delivery through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) (**BI**).

#### **Recommendation for Patients With Mild or Moderate COVID-19**

• There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of **remdesivir** in patients with mild or moderate COVID-19.

#### **Recommendations for Patients with COVID-19 Who Require Supplemental Oxygen**

For Patients Who Do Not Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO

- The Panel recommends using remdesivir for 5 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first (AI).
- If a patient who is on supplemental oxygen while receiving remdesivir progresses to requiring delivery of oxygen through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, the course of remdesivir should be completed.

For Patients Who Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO

• Because there is uncertainty regarding whether starting remdesivir confers clinical benefit in these groups of patients, the Panel cannot make a recommendation either for or against starting remdesivir.

#### Duration of Therapy for Patients Who Have Not Shown Clinical Improvement After 5 Days of Therapy

• There are insufficient data on the optimal duration of **remdesivir** therapy for patients with COVID-19 who have not shown clinical improvement after 5 days of therapy. In this group, some experts extend the total remdesivir treatment duration to up to 10 days (CIII).

#### Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin

- The Panel **recommends against** the use of **chloroquine** or **hydroxychloroquine** with or without **azithromycin** for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients (AI).
- In nonhospitalized patients, the Panel **recommends against** the use of **chloroquine** or **hydroxychloroquine** with or without **azithromycin** for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AI).
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of **high-dose chloroquine** (600 mg twice daily for 10 days) for the treatment of COVID-19 (AI).

#### Lopinavir/Ritonavir and Other HIV Protease Inhibitors

• The Panel recommends against using lopinavir/ritonavir (AI) or other HIV protease inhibitors (AIII) to treat COVID-19, except in a clinical trial.

#### Ivermectin

• The Panel recommends against the use of ivermectin for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

## **Antiviral Therapy**

Because severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) replication leads to many of the clinical manifestations of COVID-19, antiviral therapies are being investigated for the treatment of COVID-19. These drugs inhibit viral entry (via the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 [ACE2] receptor and transmembrane serine protease 2 [TMPRSS2]), viral membrane fusion and endocytosis, or the activity of the SARS-CoV-2 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) and the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.<sup>1</sup> Because viral replication may be particularly active early in the course of COVID-19, antiviral therapy may have the greatest impact before the illness progresses into the hyperinflammatory state that can characterize the later stages of disease, including critical illness.<sup>2</sup> For this reason, it is necessary to understand the role of antivirals in treating mild, moderate, severe, and critical illness in order to optimize treatment for people with COVID-19.

The following sections describe the underlying rationale for using different antiviral medications, provide the Panel's recommendations for using these medications to treat COVID-19, and summarize the existing clinical trial data. Additional antiviral therapies will be added to this section of the Guidelines as new evidence emerges.

## References

- 1. Sanders JM, Monogue ML, Jodlowski TZ, Cutrell JB. Pharmacologic treatments for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. *JAMA*. 2020;323(18):1824-1836. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32282022</u>.
- Siddiqi HK, Mehra MR. COVID-19 illness in native and immunosuppressed states: a clinical-therapeutic staging proposal. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2020;39(5):405-407. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32362390</u>.

## Remdesivir

### Last Updated: July 24, 2020

Remdesivir is an intravenous (IV) investigational nucleotide prodrug of an adenosine analog. Remdesivir binds to the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, inhibiting viral replication through premature termination of RNA transcription. It has demonstrated *in vitro* activity against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).<sup>1</sup> In a rhesus macaque model of SARS-CoV-2 infection, remdesivir treatment was initiated soon after inoculation; remdesivir-treated animals had lower virus levels in the lungs and less lung damage than the control animals.<sup>2</sup>

Remdesivir has been studied in several clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19. The recommendations from the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) are based on the results of these studies.

Remdesivir is available through the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for people with severe COVID-19.

## **Recommendation for Prioritizing Limited Supplies of Remdesivir**

• Because remdesivir supplies are limited, the Panel recommends prioritizing remdesivir for use in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who require supplemental oxygen but who do not require oxygen delivery through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) (BI).

## **Recommendation for Patients With Mild or Moderate COVID-19**

• There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of **remdesivir** in patients with mild or moderate COVID-19.

## Recommendations for Patients With COVID-19 Who Require Supplemental Oxygen

## For Patients Who Do Not Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO

- The Panel recommends using **remdesivir** for 5 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first **(AI)**.
- If a patient who is on supplemental oxygen while receiving remdesivir progresses to requiring delivery of oxygen through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, the course of remdesivir should be completed.

## For Patients Who Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO

• Because there is uncertainty regarding whether starting remdesivir confers clinical benefit in these groups of patients, the Panel cannot make a recommendation either for or against starting remdesivir.

## Duration of Therapy for Patients Who Have Not Shown Clinical Improvement After 5 Days of Therapy

• There are insufficient data on the optimal duration of remdesivir therapy for patients with COVID-19 who have not shown clinical improvement after 5 days of therapy. In this group, some

experts extend the total remdesivir treatment duration to up to 10 days (CIII).

## Rationale

The recommendations for remdesivir are largely based on data from a multinational, randomized, placebo-controlled trial (the Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial [ACTT-1]). This trial included 1,063 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and evidence of lower respiratory tract infection who received IV remdesivir or placebo for 10 days (or until hospital discharge, whichever came first).

Participants who received remdesivir had a shorter time to clinical recovery than those who received placebo (median recovery time was 11 days vs. 15 days, respectively).<sup>3</sup>

## For Patients Who Do Not Require Supplemental Oxygen

In the preliminary subgroup analyses of ACTT-1, there was no observed benefit for remdesivir in people with COVID-19 who did not require supplemental oxygen; however, the number of people in this category was relatively small. Remdesivir is being evaluated in another clinical trial for the treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19; complete data from this trial are expected soon.

## For Patients Who Require Supplemental Oxygen But Do Not Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO

The preliminary analysis of ACTT-1 also reported that the participants with the clearest evidence of clinical benefit from starting remdesivir were those who required supplemental oxygen but who did not require oxygen delivery through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO at baseline (n = 421). In this subgroup, those who received remdesivir had a shorter time to recovery than those who received placebo (recovery rate ratio 1.47; 95% CI, 1.17–1.84); in a post-hoc analysis of deaths by Day 14, remdesivir appeared to confer a survival benefit (HR for death 0.22; 95% CI, 0.08–0.58).

## For Patients Who Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device or Noninvasive Ventilation

In patients who required delivery of oxygen through a high-flow device or noninvasive ventilation at baseline (n = 197), there was no observed difference in the time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups (recovery rate ratio 1.20; 95% CI, 0.79-1.81). In the post-hoc analysis of deaths by Day 14, there was no evidence that remdesivir had an impact on the mortality rate in this subgroup (HR 1.12; 95% CI, 0.53-2.38). However, because the trial was not powered to detect differences in outcomes within these subgroups, there is uncertainty as to the effect of remdesivir on the course of COVID-19 in these patients.

## For Patients Who Require Invasive Mechanical Ventilation or ECMO

In participants who were on invasive mechanical ventilation or ECMO at baseline (n = 272), there was no observed difference in the time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups (recovery rate ratio 0.95; 95% CI, 0.64–1.42). In the post-hoc analysis of deaths by Day 14, there was no evidence that remdesivir had an impact on the mortality rate in this subgroup (HR 1.06; 95% CI, 0.59–1.92).

Overall, a review of the final data set, which included 28-day mortality, showed that this data set was consistent with the published preliminary data (the unpublished data was provided to the Panel by the ACTT-1 study team [written communication, July 2020]).

For patients with COVID-19 who required delivery of oxygen through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, there was no observed difference between the remdesivir and placebo groups in the time to recovery or the mortality rate. However, because the trial was not powered to detect differences in outcomes within these subgroups, there is uncertainty as to whether starting remdesivir confers clinical benefit in these patients. For this reason, the Panel cannot make a recommendation either for or against starting remdesivir in these patients. Because the supply of remdesivir is limited, the Panel recommends prioritizing the drug for use in those for whom efficacy has been demonstrated (i.e., in hospitalized patients who require supplemental oxygen but who do not require oxygen delivery through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO).

## Duration of Therapy

Data from a multinational, open-label trial of hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 showed that remdesivir treatment for 5 or 10 days had similar clinical benefit.<sup>4</sup> The optimal duration of therapy for patients who do not improve after 5 days of receiving remdesivir is unclear. In the absence of data, some experts consider extending the total treatment duration of remdesivir to up to 10 days in patients who do not improve after 5 days of remdesivir therapy.<sup>5</sup>

See Remdesivir: Selected Clinical Data for more information.

## Monitoring, Adverse Effects, and Drug-Drug Interactions

Remdesivir can cause gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., nausea, vomiting), elevated transaminase levels, and an increase in prothrombin time (without a change in the international normalized ratio).

Clinical drug-drug interaction studies of remdesivir have not been conducted. Remdesivir levels are unlikely to be substantially altered by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C8, CYP2D6, or CYP3A4 enzymes, or by P-glycoprotein (P-gp) or organic anion-transporting polypeptide (OATP) drug transporters.

Remdesivir may be administered with weak to moderate inducers or with strong inhibitors of CYP450, OATP, or P-gp. Strong induction may modestly reduce remdesivir levels. The clinical relevance of lower remdesivir levels is unknown.<sup>6</sup> Based on information provided by Gilead Sciences (written communication, July 2020), the use of remdesivir with drugs that are strong inducers (e.g., rifampin) **is not recommended**.

Minimal to no reduction in remdesivir exposure is expected when remdesivir is coadministered with dexamethasone, according to information provided by Gilead Sciences (written communication, July 2020). Chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine may decrease the antiviral activity of remdesivir; coadministration of these drugs **is not recommended**.<sup>7</sup>

Because the remdesivir formulation contains renally cleared sulfobutylether-beta-cyclodextrin sodium, patients with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of <50 mL/min are excluded from some clinical trials (some trials have an eGFR cutoff of <30 mL/min).

## **Considerations in Pregnancy**

- Use remdesivir in pregnant patients only when the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the mother and the fetus.<sup>5</sup>
- The safety and effectiveness of remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19 have not been evaluated in pregnant patients. Remdesivir should not be withheld from pregnant patients if it is otherwise indicated.

- Remdesivir is available through the FDA EUA for adults and children and through compassionate use programs for pregnant women and children with COVID-19.
- Ninety-eight female participants received remdesivir as part of a randomized controlled trial for the treatment of Ebola virus infection; six of these participants had a positive pregnancy test. The obstetric and neonatal outcomes were not reported in the study.<sup>8</sup>

## **Considerations in Children**

- The safety and effectiveness of remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19 have not been evaluated in pediatric patients.
- Remdesivir is available through an FDA EUA for adults and children and through compassionate use programs for children with COVID-19. A clinical trial is currently evaluating the pharmacokinetics of remdesivir in children (*ClinicalTrials.gov* identifier NCT04431453).
- In the same randomized controlled trial for the treatment of Ebola virus infection discussed above, 41 pediatric patients received remdesivir. These patients included neonates and children aged <18 years.<sup>8</sup> The safety and clinical outcomes for children were not reported separately in the published results for the trial. One neonate received remdesivir for the treatment of vertically transmitted Ebola virus infection and recovered.<sup>9</sup>

## **Clinical Trials**

Multiple clinical trials that are evaluating remdesivir are currently underway or in development. Please check <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for the latest information.

## References

- 1. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, et al. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res.* 2020;30(3):269-271. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32020029</u>.
- 2. Williamson BN, Feldmann F, Schwarz B, et al. Clinical benefit of remdesivir in rhesus macaques infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32516797</u>.
- 3. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32445440/</u>.
- 4. Goldman JD, Lye DCB, Hui DS, et al. Remdesivir for 5 or 10 days in patients with severe COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32459919</u>.
- Food and Drug Administration. Fact sheet for health care providers emergency use authorization (EUA) of Veklury (remdesivir). 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/137566/download</u>. Accessed August 25, 2020.
- 6. Gilead Sciences. Remdesivir (GS-5734) investigator's brochure. Edition 5. February 21, 2020.
- 7. Food and Drug Administration. Remdesivir by Gilead Sciences: FDA warns of newly discovered potential drug interaction that may reduce effectiveness of treatment. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.fda.gov/safety/medical-product-safety-information/remdesivir-gilead-sciences-fda-warns-newly-discovered-potential-drug-interaction-may-reduce">https://www.fda.gov/safety/</a> medical-product-safety-information/remdesivir-gilead-sciences-fda-warns-newly-discovered-potential-drug-interaction-may-reduce. Accessed August 25, 2020.
- 8. Mulangu S, Dodd LE, Davey RT Jr, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of Ebola virus disease therapeutics. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(24):2293-2303. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31774950</u>.
- Dornemann J, Burzio C, Ronsse A, et al. First newborn baby to receive experimental therapies survives Ebola virus disease. *J Infect Dis.* 2017;215(2):171-174. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28073857</u>.

## **Remdesivir: Selected Clinical Data**

### Last Updated: July 24, 2020

Remdesivir is an investigational antiviral agent. It is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration, but it is available by Emergency Use Authorization for the treatment of hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19.

The information presented in this section may include data from preprints or articles that have not been peer reviewed. This section will be updated as new information becomes available. Please see <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for more information on clinical trials that are evaluating remdesivir.

## Multinational Randomized Controlled Trial of Remdesivir Versus Placebo in Hospitalized Patients

The Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial (ACTT-1) is a National Institutes of Health-sponsored, multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.<sup>1</sup> The primary study endpoint was time to clinical recovery. Severity of illness at baseline and at Day 15 was assessed using an eight-point ordinal scale:

- 1. Not hospitalized, no limitations
- 2. Not hospitalized, with limitations
- 3. Hospitalized, no active medical problems
- 4. Hospitalized, not on oxygen
- 5. Hospitalized, on oxygen
- 6. Hospitalized, on high-flow oxygen or noninvasive mechanical ventilation
- 7. Hospitalized, on mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)
- 8. Death

## **Study Population**

- The study population consisted of hospitalized patients aged ≥18 years with laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. Patients were enrolled if they met at least one of the following conditions:
  - The patient had pulmonary infiltrates, as determined by radiographic imaging;
  - Saturation of oxygen (SpO<sub>2</sub>) was  $\leq 94\%$  on room air;
  - The patient required supplemental oxygen;
  - The patient was on mechanical ventilation; or
  - The patient was on ECMO.
- The study excluded individuals who had alanine transaminase (ALT) or aspartate transaminase (AST) levels >5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN), those who had an estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min, and those who were pregnant or breastfeeding.

## **Preliminary Results**

- Of 1,063 enrolled participants, 1,059 had preliminary results available for analysis.
- The median time from symptom onset to randomization was 9 days (IQR 6–12 days).

- Remdesivir significantly reduced the time to recovery compared to placebo (median time to recovery was 11 days vs. 15 days; recovery rate ratio 1.32; 95% CI, 1.12–1.55; *P* < 0.001).
- Clinical improvement based on the ordinal scale outlined above was significantly higher at Day 15 in patients who received remdesivir than in those who received placebo (OR 1.50; 95% CI, 1.18-1.91, P < 0.001).
- The benefit of remdesivir for reducing time to recovery was clearest in the subgroup of hospitalized patients who required supplemental oxygenation at study enrollment (ordinal scale 5, n = 421; recovery rate ratio 1.47; 95% CI, 1.17–1.84). In a post-hoc analysis of deaths by Day 14, remdesivir appeared to confer a survival benefit in this subgroup (HR for death 0.22; 95% CI, 0.08–0.58).
- In patients who required high-flow oxygen or noninvasive ventilation at study enrollment (ordinal scale 6, n = 197), there was no observed difference in time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups (recovery rate ratio 1.20, 95% CI, 0.79–1.81). In a post-hoc analysis of deaths by Day 14, there was no evidence that remdesivir had an impact on the mortality rate in this subgroup (HR 1.12; 95% CI, 0.53–2.38).
- Among the patients who were on mechanical ventilation or ECMO at study enrollment (ordinal scale 7, n = 272), there was no observed difference in time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups (recovery rate ratio 0.95; 95% CI, 0.64–1.42). In a post-hoc analysis of deaths by Day 14, there was no evidence that remdesivir had an impact on the mortality rate in this subgroup (HR 1.06; 95% CI, 0.59–1.92).
- Among patients who were classified as having mild to moderate disease at enrollment, there was no difference in the median time to recovery between the remdesivir and placebo groups. Mild to moderate disease was defined as  $\text{SpO}_2 > 94\%$  on room air and a respiratory rate of <24 breaths/min without supplemental oxygen.
- The mortality estimate by Day 14 was lower in the remdesivir arm than in the placebo arm (7.1% vs. 11.9%), but the difference was not statistically significant (HR 0.70; 95% CI, 0.47–1.04).
- The use of remdesivir was associated with shorter time to recovery, regardless of the duration of symptoms prior to randomization (≤10 days vs. >10 days).
- The percentages of participants with serious adverse effects (AEs) were similar in the remdesivir and placebo groups (21.1% vs. 27.0%).
- Transaminase elevations occurred in 4.1% of remdesivir recipients and 5.9% of placebo recipients.

## Limitations

At the time of publication, the full dataset was not available for analysis. This summary will be updated when the final analyses are published.

## Interpretation

In patients with severe COVID-19, remdesivir reduced the time to clinical recovery. The benefit of remdesivir was most apparent in hospitalized patients who only required supplemental oxygen. There was no observed benefit of remdesivir in those who were on high-flow oxygen, noninvasive ventilation, mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, but the study was not powered to detect differences within subgroups. There was no observed benefit of remdesivir in patients with mild or moderate COVID-19, but the number of participants in these categories was relatively small.

## Multinational, Randomized Trial of Different Durations of Remdesivir Treatment in Hospitalized Patients

This was a manufacturer-sponsored, multinational, randomized, open-label trial in hospitalized adolescents and adults with COVID-19. Participants were randomized 1:1 to receive either 5 days or 10 days of intravenous (IV) remdesivir. The primary study endpoint was clinical status at Day 14, which was assessed using a seven-point ordinal scale:<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Death
- 2. Hospitalized, on invasive mechanical ventilation or ECMO
- 3. Hospitalized, on noninvasive ventilation or high-flow oxygen devices
- 4. Hospitalized, requiring low-flow supplemental oxygen
- 5. Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen, but requiring ongoing medical care for COVID-19 or for other reasons
- 6. Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen or ongoing medical care (other than the care that was specified in the protocol for remdesivir administration)
- 7. Not hospitalized

## Study Population

- The study enrolled hospitalized patients aged ≥12 years with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and radiographic evidence of pulmonary infiltrates.
- Patients in this study had either SpO<sub>2</sub> ≤94% on room air or were receiving supplemental oxygen. The study excluded patients who were receiving mechanical ventilation or ECMO or who had multiorgan failure, an ALT or AST level >5 times ULN, or an estimated creatinine clearance <50 mL/min.

## Results

- Of 402 randomized participants, 397 began 5 days (n = 200) or 10 days (n = 197) of remdesivir treatment.
- At baseline, participants in the 10-day group had worse clinical status (based on ordinal scale distribution) than those in the 5-day group (P = 0.02).
- After adjusting for imbalances in the baseline clinical status, the Day 14 distribution in clinical status on the ordinal scale was similar in the 5-day and 10-day groups (P = 0.14)
- The time to clinical improvement of at least two levels on the ordinal scale (median day of 50% cumulative incidence) was similar in the 5-day and 10-day groups (10 days vs. 11 days).
- The median durations of hospitalization among patients who were discharged on or before Day 14 were similar in the 5-day group (7 days; IQR 6–10 days) and 10-day group (8 days; IQR 5–10 days).
- Serious AEs were more common in the 10-day group (35%) than in the 5-day group (21%). Four percent of patients in the 5-day group and 10% of patients in the 10-day group stopped treatment because of AEs.

## Limitations

• This was an open-label trial without a placebo control group, so the clinical benefit of remdesivir could not be assessed.

#### COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

• There were baseline imbalances in the clinical status of participants in the 5-day and 10-day groups.

## Interpretation

In hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who were not on mechanical ventilation or ECMO, remdesivir treatment for 5 or 10 days had similar clinical benefit. Because this trial excluded patients who were on mechanical ventilation, the appropriate duration of remdesivir treatment for critically ill patients is still unclear.

## Randomized Controlled Trial of Remdesivir Versus Placebo for Severe COVID-19 in China

This was a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial that evaluated patients with severe COVID-19 in China. Patients were randomized 2:1 to receive IV remdesivir or normal saline placebo for 10 days. The primary study endpoint was time to clinical improvement, defined as improvement on an ordinal scale or discharged alive from the hospital, whichever came first. The planned sample size was 453 patients.<sup>3</sup>

## Study Population

 This study enrolled hospitalized adults with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 whose time from symptom onset to randomization was <12 days. These patients had SpO<sub>2</sub> ≤94% on room air or PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> <300 mm Hg and radiographically confirmed pneumonia.</li>

## Results

- In this study, 237 patients were randomized to receive remdesivir (n = 158) or placebo (n = 79). The study was stopped before target enrollment was reached due to control of the COVID-19 outbreak in China.
- The median time from symptom onset to randomization was 9 days for the remdesivir group and 10 days for the placebo group.
- Sixty-five percent of the participants in the remdesivir group and 68% of the participants in the placebo group received corticosteroids.
- Twenty-eight percent of the participants in the remdesivir group and 29% of the participants in the placebo group received lopinavir/ritonavir.
- Twenty-nine percent of the participants in the remdesivir arm and 38% of the participants in the placebo arm received interferon alfa-2b.

## Study Endpoints

- There was no difference in the time to clinical improvement between the remdesivir and placebo groups (median time to clinical improvement was 21 days vs. 23 days; HR 1.23; 95% CI, 0.87–1.75).
- For patients who started remdesivir or placebo within 10 days of symptom onset, a faster time to clinical improvement was seen in the remdesivir arm than in the placebo arm (median of 18 days vs. 23 days; HR 1.52; 95% CI, 0.95–2.43); however, this was not statistically significant.
- The 28-day mortality was similar for the two study arms (14% of participants in the remdesivir arm vs. 13% in the placebo arm).
- There was no difference between the groups in SARS-CoV-2 viral load at baseline, and the rate of

decline over time was similar between the two groups.

- The number of participants who experienced AEs was similar between the two groups (66% of participants in the remdesivir arm vs. 64% in the placebo arm).
- More participants in the remdesivir arm discontinued therapy due to AEs (12% of participants in the remdesivir arm vs. 5% in the placebo arm).

## Limitations

- The study was terminated early because it did not reach its target enrollment; as a result, the sample size did not have sufficient power to detect differences in clinical outcomes.
- The use of concomitant medications (i.e., corticosteroids, lopinavir/ritonavir, interferons) may have obscured the effects of remdesivir.

## Interpretation

There was no difference in time to clinical improvement, 28-day mortality, or rate of SARS-CoV-2 clearance between remdesivir-treated and placebo-treated patients; however, the study was underpowered to detect differences in these outcomes between the two groups.

## References

- 1. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32445440</u>.
- 2. Goldman JD, Lye DCB, Hui DS, et al. Remdesivir for 5 or 10 days in patients with severe COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32459919</u>.
- 3. Wang Y, Zhang D, Du G, et al. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10236):1569-1578. Available at: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32423584</u>.

# Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin

### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Chloroquine is an antimalarial drug that was developed in 1934. Hydroxychloroquine, an analogue of chloroquine, was developed in 1946. Hydroxychloroquine is used to treat autoimmune diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and rheumatoid arthritis, in addition to malaria. In general, hydroxychloroquine has fewer and less severe toxicities (including less propensity to prolong the QTc interval) and fewer drug-drug interactions than chloroquine.

Both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine increase the endosomal pH, inhibiting fusion of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and the host cell membranes.<sup>1</sup> Chloroquine inhibits glycosylation of the cellular angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor, which may interfere with binding of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) to the cell receptor.<sup>2</sup> In vitro studies have suggested that both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine may block the transport of SARS-CoV-2 from early endosomes to endolysosomes, possibly preventing the release of the viral genome.<sup>3</sup> Both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine also have immunomodulatory effects. It has been hypothesized that these effects are other potential mechanisms of action for the treatment of COVID-19. However, despite demonstrating antiviral activity in some in vitro systems, hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin did not reduce upper or lower respiratory tract viral loads or demonstrate clinical efficacy in a rhesus macaque model.<sup>4</sup>

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, with or without azithromycin, have been studied in multiple clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19. The recommendations below are based on an assessment of the collective evidence from these studies.

## **Recommendations**

- The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) **recommends against** the use of **chloroquine** or **hydroxychloroquine** with or without **azithromycin** for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients **(AI)**.
- In nonhospitalized patients, the Panel **recommends against** the use of **chloroquine** or **hydroxychloroquine** with or without **azithromycin** for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AI).
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of **high-dose chloroquine** (600 mg twice daily for 10 days) for the treatment of COVID-19 (AI).

## Rationale

The safety and efficacy of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin have been evaluated in randomized clinical trials, observational studies, and single-arm studies. Please see <u>Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin: Selected Clinical Data</u> for more information.

In a large randomized controlled trial of hospitalized patients in the United Kingdom, hydroxychloroquine did not decrease 28-day mortality when compared to the usual standard of care. Participants who were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine had a longer median hospital stay than those who received the standard of care. In addition, among patients who were not on invasive mechanical ventilation at the time of randomization, those who received hydroxychloroquine were

more likely to subsequently require intubation or die during hospitalization than those who received the standard of care.<sup>5</sup>

In another randomized controlled trial that was conducted in Brazil, neither hydroxychloroquine alone nor hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin improved clinical outcomes among hospitalized patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. More adverse events occurred among patients who received hydroxychloroquine or hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin than among those who received the standard of care.<sup>6</sup> Data from another randomized study of hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 do not support using hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin over hydroxychloroquine alone.<sup>7</sup>

In addition to these randomized trials, data from large retrospective observational studies do not consistently show evidence of a benefit for hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. For example, in a large retrospective observational study of patients who were hospitalized with COVID-19, hydroxychloroquine use was not associated with a reduced risk of death or mechanical ventilation.<sup>8</sup> Another multicenter retrospective observational study evaluated the use of hydroxychloroquine with and without azithromycin in a random sample of a large cohort of hospitalized patients with COVID-19.<sup>9</sup> Patients who received hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin did not have a decreased risk of in-hospital mortality when compared to those who received neither hydroxychloroquine nor azithromycin.

Conversely, a large retrospective cohort study reported a survival benefit among hospitalized patients who received either hydroxychloroquine alone or hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin, compared to those who received neither drug.<sup>10</sup> However, patients who did not receive hydroxychloroquine had a lower rate of admission to the intensive care unit, which suggests that patients in this group may have received less-aggressive care. Furthermore, a substantially higher percentage of patients in the hydroxychloroquine arms also received corticosteroids (77.1% of patients in the hydroxychloroquine arms vs. 36.5% of patients in the control arm). Given that the Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial showed that corticosteroids improve the survival rate of patients with COVID-19 (see <u>Corticosteroids</u>), it is possible that the findings in this study were confounded by this imbalance in corticosteroid use.<sup>11</sup> These and other observational and single-arm studies are summarized in <u>Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin: Selected Clinical Data</u>.

Many of the observational studies that have evaluated the use of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19 have attempted to control for confounding variables. However, study arms may be unbalanced in some of these studies, and some studies may not account for all potential confounding factors. These factors limit the ability to interpret and generalize the results from observational studies; therefore, results from these studies are not as definitive as those from large randomized trials. Given the lack of a benefit seen in the randomized clinical trials and the potential for toxicity, the Panel **recommends against** using hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or without azithromycin to treat COVID-19 in hospitalized patients (AI).

The Panel also **recommends against** using high-dose chloroquine to treat COVID-19 (**AI**). High-dose chloroquine (600 mg twice daily for 10 days) has been associated with more severe toxicities than lower-dose chloroquine (450 mg twice daily for 1 day, followed by 450 mg once daily for 4 days). A randomized clinical trial compared the use of high-dose chloroquine and low-dose chloroquine in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19. In addition, all participants received azithromycin, and 89% of the participants received oseltamivir. The study was discontinued early when preliminary results showed higher rates of mortality and QTc prolongation in the high-dose chloroquine group.<sup>12</sup>

Several randomized trials have not shown a clinical benefit for hydroxychloroquine in nonhospitalized patients with COVID-19. However, other clinical trials are still ongoing.<sup>13,14</sup> In nonhospitalized

patients, the Panel **recommends against** the use of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial **(AI)**.

The combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin is associated with QTc prolongation in patients with COVID-19. Given the long half-lives of both azithromycin (up to 72 hours) and hydroxychloroquine (up to 40 days), caution is warranted even when the two drugs are used sequentially instead of concomitantly.<sup>15</sup>

Please see <u>Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin: Selected Clinical Data</u> for additional details.

## **Adverse Effects**

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have a similar toxicity profile, although hydroxychloroquine is better tolerated and has a lower incidence of toxicity than chloroquine.

## Cardiac Adverse Effects

- QTc prolongation, Torsade de Pointes, ventricular arrythmia, and cardiac deaths.<sup>16</sup> If chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine is used, clinicians should monitor the patient for adverse events, especially prolonged QTc interval (AIII).
- The risk of QTc prolongation is greater for chloroquine than for hydroxychloroquine.
- Concomitant medications that pose a moderate to high risk for QTc prolongation (e.g., antiarrhythmics, antipsychotics, antifungals, macrolides [including azithromycin],<sup>16</sup> fluoroquinolone antibiotics)<sup>17</sup> should be used only if necessary. Consider using doxycycline rather than azithromycin as empiric therapy for atypical pneumonia.
- Multiple studies have demonstrated that concomitant use of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin can prolong the QTc interval;<sup>18-20</sup> in an observational study, the use of hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin was associated with increased odds of cardiac arrest.<sup>9</sup> The use of this combination warrants careful monitoring.
- Baseline and follow-up electrocardiograms are recommended when there are potential drug interactions with concomitant medications (e.g., azithromycin) or underlying cardiac diseases.<sup>21</sup>
- The risk-benefit ratio should be assessed for patients with cardiac disease, a history of ventricular arrhythmia, bradycardia (<50 bpm), or uncorrected hypokalemia and/or hypomagnesemia.

## **Other Adverse Effects**

- Hypoglycemia, rash, and nausea. Divided doses may reduce nausea.
- Retinopathy. Bone marrow suppression may occur with long-term use, but this is not likely with short-term use.

## **Drug-Drug Interactions**

Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine are moderate inhibitors of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2D6, and these drugs are also P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibitors. Use caution when administering these drugs with medications that are metabolized by CYP2D6 (e.g., certain antipsychotics, beta-blockers, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, methadone) or transported by P-gp (e.g., certain direct-acting oral anticoagulants, digoxin).<sup>22</sup> Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine may decrease the antiviral activity of remdesivir; coadministration of these drugs **is not recommended**.<sup>23</sup>

## **Considerations in Pregnancy**

- Antirheumatic doses of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have been used safely in pregnant women with SLE.
- Hydroxychloroquine exposure has not been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes in ≥300 human pregnancies.
- A lower dose of chloroquine (500 mg once a week) is used for malaria prophylaxis during pregnancy.
- No dose changes are necessary for chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine during pregnancy.

## **Considerations in Children**

• Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine have been routinely used in pediatric populations for the treatment and prevention of malaria and for rheumatologic conditions.

## **Drug Availability**

- Hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, and azithromycin **are not approved** by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of COVID-19.
- Hydroxychloroquine is approved by the FDA for the treatment of malaria, lupus erythematosus, and rheumatoid arthritis. Chloroquine is approved for the treatment of malaria and extraintestinal amebiasis. Azithromycin is commonly used for the treatment and/or prevention of nontuberculous mycobacterial infection, various sexually transmitted infections, and various bacterial infections.

## References

- 1. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, et al. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res.* 2020;30(3):269-271. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32020029</u>.
- 2. Vincent MJ, Bergeron E, Benjannet S, et al. Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS coronavirus infection and spread. *Virol J.* 2005;2:69. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16115318</u>.
- Liu J, Cao R, Xu M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. *Cell Discov*. 2020;6:16. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32194981</u>.
- Maisonnasse P, Guedj J, Contreras V, et al. Hydroxychloroquine use against SARS-CoV-2 infection in non-human primates. *Nature*. 2020;585(7826):584-587. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32698191</u>.
- 5. Horby P, Mafham M, Linsell L, et al. Effect of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary results from a multi-centre, randomized, controlled trial. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.15.20151852v1</u>.
- 6. Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in mild-tomoderate COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.</u> <u>nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32706953</u>.
- Furtado RHM, Berwanger O, Fonseca HA, et al. Azithromycin in addition to standard of care versus standard of care alone in the treatment of patients admitted to the hospital with severe COVID-19 in Brazil (COALITION II): a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32896292</u>.
- 8. Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, et al. Observational Study of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32379955</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

- 9. Rosenberg ES, Dufort EM, Udo T, et al. Association of treatment with hydroxychloroquine or azithromycin with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19 in New York state. *JAMA*. 2020;323(24):2493-2502. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32392282</u>.
- Arshad S, Kilgore P, Chaudhry ZS, et al. Treatment with hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and combination in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020;97:396-403. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32623082</u>.
- 11. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32678530</u>.
- Borba MGS, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, et al. Effect of high vs low doses of chloroquine diphosphate as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(4):e208857. Available at: <u>https://</u> <u>pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32330277/</u>.
- Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Engen NW, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in nonhospitalized adults with early COVID-19: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32673060</u>.
- Mitja O, Corbacho-Monne M, Ubals M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine for early treatment of adults with mild COVID-19: a randomized-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32674126</u>.
- 15. Institute for Safe Medication Practices. Special Edition: Medication Safety Alert! 2020. Available at: <u>https://ismp.org/acute-care/special-edition-medication-safety-alert-april-9-2020/covid-19</u>. Accessed September 24, 2020.
- Nguyen LS, Dolladille C, Drici MD, et al. Cardiovascular toxicities associated with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin: an analysis of the World Health Organization pharmacovigilance database. *Circulation*. 2020;142(3):303-305. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32442023</u>.
- 17. CredibleMeds. Combined list of drugs that prolong QT and/or cause torsades de pointes (TDP). 2020. Available at: <u>https://crediblemeds.org/pdftemp/pdf/CombinedList.pdf</u>.
- Chorin E, Dai M, Shulman E, et al. The QT interval in patients with COVID-19 treated with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin. *Nature Medicine*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0888-2</u>.
- Mercuro NJ, Yen CF, Shim DJ, et al. Risk of QT interval prolongation associated with use of hydroxychloroquine with or without concomitant azithromycin among hospitalized patients testing positive for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020;5(9):1036-1041. Available at: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32936252</u>/.
- 20. Bessiere F, Roccia H, Deliniere A, et al. Assessment of QT intervals in a case series of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection treated with hydroxychloroquine alone or in combination with azithromycin in an intensive care unit. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5(9):1067-1069. Available at: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32936266/</u>.
- 21. American College of Cardiology. Ventricular arrhythmia risk due to hydroxychloroquineazithromycin treatment for COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/</u> <u>articles/2020/03/27/14/00/ventricular-arrhythmia-risk-due-to-hydroxychloroquine-azithromycin-treatment-forcovid-19</u>. Accessed September 24, 2020.
- 22. University of Liverpool. COVID-19 drug interactions. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.covid19-druginteractions.org/</u>. Accessed September 24, 2020.
- 23. Food and Drug Administration. Remdesivir by Gilead Sciences: FDA warns of newly discovered potential drug interaction that may reduce effectiveness of treatment. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.fda.gov/safety/medical-product-safety-information/remdesivir-gilead-sciences-fda-warns-newly-discovered-potential-drug-interaction-may-reduce">https://www.fda.gov/safety/</a> medical-product-safety-information/remdesivir-gilead-sciences-fda-warns-newly-discovered-potential-drug-interaction-may-reduce. Accessed July 2, 2020.

# Chloroquine or Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin: Selected Clinical Data

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Chloroquine is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment and prevention of malaria and for the treatment of extraintestinal amebiasis. Hydroxychloroquine is approved by the FDA for the treatment of lupus erythematosus, malaria, and rheumatoid arthritis. Azithromycin is commonly used for the treatment and/or prevention of mycobacterial (nontuberculous) infection, sexually transmitted infections, and various bacterial infections. Azithromycin has primarily been studied for the treatment of COVID-19 when it is used in combination with hydroxychloroquine. The Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial includes an azithromycin monotherapy arm, which is currently enrolling.

The information presented in this section may include data from preprints or articles that have not been peer reviewed. This section will be updated as new information becomes available. Please see <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for more information on clinical trials that are evaluating chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and azithromycin.

#### **Randomized Controlled Trials**

#### The Effect of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: Preliminary Results from a Multicenter, Randomized Controlled Trial

#### This study has not been peer reviewed.

RECOVERY is an ongoing, open-label, randomized controlled trial with multiple arms, including a control arm; in one arm, participants received hydroxychloroquine. The trial was conducted across 176 hospitals in the United Kingdom and enrolled hospitalized patients with clinically suspected or laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. Patients with prolonged QTc intervals were excluded from the hydroxychloroquine arm.

Patients were randomized in a 2:1 ratio to receive either the usual standard of care only or the usual standard of care plus hydroxychloroquine or one of the other treatments in the platform trial. Patients in the hydroxychloroquine arm received a loading dose of hydroxychloroquine 800 mg at entry and at 6 hours, followed by hydroxychloroquine 400 mg every 12 hours for the next 9 days or until discharge. The primary outcome was all-cause mortality at Day 28 after randomization.

The trial enrollment ended early on June 5, 2020, after an independent data-monitoring committee recommended reviewing the unblinded data, and the investigators and trial-steering committee concluded that the data showed no beneficial effect of hydroxychloroquine.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Patient Characteristics**

- Of the 7,513 participants who were eligible for hydroxychloroquine, 1,561 were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine and 3,155 were randomized to receive standard of care. The remaining participants were randomized to other treatment arms in the study.
- In both the hydroxychloroquine arm and the standard of care arm, the mean ages were 65 years; 41% of the participants were aged ≥70 years.
- Ninety percent of patients had laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- Comorbidities were common; 57% of patients had at least one major comorbidity. Diabetes mellitus was present in 27% of patients, heart disease in 26%, and chronic lung disease in 22%.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

- At randomization, 17% of patients were receiving invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, 60% were receiving oxygen only (with or without noninvasive ventilation), and 24% were receiving neither.
- The use of azithromycin or another macrolide during the follow-up period was similar in both arms (17% vs. 19%), as was the use of dexamethasone (8% vs. 9%).

#### Results

- There was no significant difference in the primary outcome of 28-day mortality between the two arms; 418 patients (26.8%) in the hydroxychloroquine arm and 788 patients (25.0%) in the standard of care arm had died by Day 28 (rate ratio 1.09; 95% CI, 0.96–1.23; P = 0.18).
- A similar 28-day mortality for hydroxychloroquine patients was reported during the post hoc exploratory analysis that was restricted to the 4,234 participants (90%) who had a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result.
- Participants in the hydroxychloroquine arm were less likely to survive hospitalization and had a longer median time to discharge than patients in the standard of care arm. In addition, participants who were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine and who were not on invasive mechanical ventilation at baseline had an increased risk of requiring intubation and an increased risk of death.
- At the beginning of the study, the researchers did not record whether a patient developed a major cardiac arrhythmia after study enrollment; however, these data were later collected for 698 patients (44.7%) in the hydroxychloroquine arm and 1,357 patients (43.0%) in the standard of care arm. There were no differences between the arms in the frequency of supraventricular tachycardia, ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation, or instances of atrioventricular block that required intervention.

#### Limitations

- The study was not blinded.
- Information on the occurrence of new major cardiac arrythmia was not collected throughout the entire trial period.

#### Interpretation

Hydroxychloroquine does not decrease 28-day all-cause mortality when compared to the usual standard of care in hospitalized persons with clinically suspected or laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Participants who were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine had a longer median length of hospital stay, and those who were not on invasive mechanical ventilation at the time of randomization were more likely to require intubation or die during hospitalization if they received hydroxychloroquine.

#### Randomized Controlled Trial of Hydroxychloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine Plus Azithromycin Among Hospitalized Patients with Mild or Moderate COVID-19 in Brazil

This study was an open-label, three-arm, randomized controlled trial that was conducted in Brazil. The study enrolled hospitalized patients aged  $\geq 18$  years with suspected or confirmed cases of mild or moderate COVID-19 and duration of symptoms  $\leq 14$  days.

Patients received either standard of care alone, hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice daily for 7 days (plus standard of care), or hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice daily plus azithromycin 500 mg daily for 7 days (plus standard of care). The primary outcome was clinical status at Day 15, as assessed by a seven-point ordinal scale among the patients with confirmed COVID-19 (modified intention to treat analysis). Exclusion criteria included the need for >4 L of supplemental oxygen or  $\geq$ 40% FiO<sub>2</sub> by face mask, a history of ventricular tachycardia, or a QT interval  $\geq$ 480 ms. Steroids, other immunomodulators, and

antiviral agents were allowed; 23.3% to 23.9% of patients received oseltamivir.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Patient Characteristics**

- The analysis included 504 patients with confirmed COVID-19.
- The mean patient age was 50 years, and 58% of patients were men.
- At baseline, 58.2% of patients were ordinal level 3 (hospitalized without oxygen), and 41.8% were ordinal level 4 (hospitalized with oxygen).
- The median time from symptom onset to randomization was 7 days.

#### Results

- There was no significant difference between the odds of worse clinical status at Day 15 for patients in the hydroxychloroquine group (OR 1.21; 95% CI, 0.69–2.11; P = 1.00) and patients in the hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin group (OR 0.99; 95% CI, 0.57–1.73; P = 1.00).
- There were no significant differences in the secondary outcomes of the three arms, including progression to mechanical ventilation during the first 15 days and mean number of days "alive and free of respiratory support."
- A greater proportion of patients who received hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin (39.3%) or hydroxychloroquine alone (33.7%) experienced adverse events than those who received standard of care (22.6%).
- QT prolongation was more common in patients who received hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin or hydroxychloroquine alone than in patients who received standard of care alone, but fewer patients in the standard of care alone group had serial electrocardiographic studies performed during the follow-up period.

#### Limitations

- The study was not blinded.
- The follow-up period was restricted to 15 days.

#### Interpretation

Neither hydroxychloroquine alone nor hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin improved clinical outcomes at Day 15 after randomization among hospitalized patients with mild or moderate COVID-19.

#### Randomized Controlled Trial of Hydroxychloroquine Versus Standard of Care for Mild or Moderate COVID-19

This multicenter, randomized, open-label trial compared hydroxychloroquine 1,200 mg once daily for 3 days followed by hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once daily for the rest of the treatment duration (which was 2 weeks for patients with mild or moderate COVID-19 [99% of the patients] and 3 weeks for two patients with severe disease) to standard of care.<sup>3</sup>

#### Results

- Each study arm enrolled 75 patients. Patients were randomized at a mean of 16.6 days after symptom onset.
- The hydroxychloroquine arm and the standard of care arm had similar negative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conversion rates within 28 days (85.4% of participants vs. 81.3% of participants) and similar times to negative PCR conversion (median of 8 days vs. 7 days).
- There was no difference in the probability of symptom alleviation between the groups in the intention-to-treat analysis.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

#### Limitations

- It is unclear how the overall rate of symptom alleviation was calculated.
- The study did not reach the target sample size.

#### Interpretation

This study demonstrated no difference in the rate of viral clearance between hydroxychloroquine and standard of care.

#### High-Dose Chloroquine Versus Low-Dose Chloroquine

A randomized, double-blind, Phase 2b study compared two different chloroquine regimens, chloroquine 600 mg twice daily for 10 days (high dose) and chloroquine 450 mg twice daily for 1 day followed by 450 mg for 4 days (low dose), in hospitalized adults with suspected cases of severe COVID-19. All patients also received ceftriaxone plus azithromycin; 89.6% of patients received oseltamivir.<sup>4</sup>

The planned study sample size was 440 participants. The study was stopped by the study's data safety monitoring board after 81 patients were enrolled.

#### Results

- Forty-one patients were randomized into the high-dose arm and 40 patients were randomized into the low-dose arm.
- The overall fatality rate was 27.2%.
- Mortality by Day 13 was higher in the high-dose arm than in the low-dose arm (death occurred in 16 of 41 patients [39%] vs. in six of 40 patients [15%]; *P* = 0.03). This difference was no longer significant after controlling for age (OR 2.8; 95% CI, 0.9–8.5).
- Overall, QTcF >500 ms occurred more frequently in the high-dose arm (18.9% of patients) than in the low-dose arm (11.1% of patients).
- Two patients in the high-dose arm experienced ventricular tachycardia before death.

#### Limitations

More older patients and more patients with a history of heart disease were randomized into the high-dose arm than into the low-dose arm.

#### Interpretation

Despite the small number of patients enrolled, this study raises concerns about an increased risk of mortality when high-dose chloroquine (600 mg twice daily) is administered in combination with azithromycin and oseltamivir.

## Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial of Hydroxychloroquine in Nonhospitalized Adults with Early COVID-19

This randomized, placebo-controlled trial in the United States and Canada enrolled participants with  $\leq 4$  days of symptoms that were compatible with COVID-19 and either laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection or high-risk exposure within the previous 14 days. Participants were recruited through internet-based surveys. They were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine (800 mg once, followed by 600 mg in 6–8 hours, and then 600 mg daily for 4 days) or placebo (with the same dosing frequency).

The planned primary endpoint was ordinal outcome by Day 14 in four categories: not hospitalized, hospitalized, intensive care unit (ICU) stay, or death. Due to lower than expected event rates, a new primary endpoint was defined: change in overall symptom severity over 14 days (assessed on a 10-point,

self-reported, visual analog scale). A longitudinal mixed model that was adjusted for baseline severity score was used for the anaylsis.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Patient Characteristics**

- Data were collected from 423 participants (212 in the hydroxychloroquine arm and 211 in the placebo arm) for the primary end point.
- Of the 423 participants, 241 were exposed to people with COVID-19 through their position as health care workers (57%), 106 were exposed through household contacts (25%), and 76 had other types of exposure (18%).
- The median age was 40 years, and 56% of patients were women. Only 3% of patients were Black. Very few patients had comorbidities: 11% had hypertension, 4% had diabetes, and 68% had no chronic medical conditions.
- Fifty-six percent of patients were enrolled on Day 1 of symptom onset.
- In this study, 341 participants (81%) had either a positive PCR result or a high-risk exposure to a PCR-positive contact.

#### Results

- Compared to the placebo recipients, hydroxychloroquine recipients had a nonsignificant 12% difference in improvement in symptoms between baseline and Day 14 (-2.60 vs. -2.33 points; P = 0.117).
- Ongoing symptoms were reported by 24% of those on hydroxychloroquine and 30% of those in the placebo group at Day 14 (P = 0.21).
- There was no difference in the incidence of hospitalization (four patients in the hydroxychloroquine group vs. 10 patients in the placebo group). Two of the 10 placebo participants were hospitalized for reasons that were unrelated to COVID-19.
- A higher percentage of patients who received hydroxychloroquine experienced adverse events (mostly gastrointestinal) than patients who received placebo (43% vs. 22%; P < 0.001).

#### Limitations

- This study enrolled a highly heterogenous participant population. Only 227 of the 423 participants (53.7%) were confirmed PCR-positive for SARS-CoV-2.
- Changing the primary endpoint during the study without a new power calculation makes it difficult to assess whether the study is powered to detect differences in outcomes between the study arms.
- This study used surveys for screening, symptom assessment, and adherence reporting.
- The visual analog scale has not been commonly used, and its ability to assess acute viral respiratory infections in clinical trials has not been validated.

#### Interpretation

The study has some limitations, and it did not find evidence that early administration of hydroxychloroquine reduced symptom severity in patients with mild COVID-19.

#### **Open-Label Randomized Controlled Trial of Hydroxychloroquine in Nonhospitalized Adults** with Mild COVID-19

This open-label randomized controlled trial in Spain enrolled nonhospitalized adults with laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and <5 days of mild COVID-19 symptoms. Participants were mostly

health care workers. They were randomized to receive hydroxychloroquine (800 mg on Day 1, followed by 400 mg once daily for 6 days) or no antiviral treatment (control group). The primary endpoint was reduction in SARS-CoV-2 viral load, which was assessed using nasopharyngeal swabs on Days 3 and 7. Secondary endpoints were disease progression up to Day 28 and time to complete resolution of symptoms.<sup>6</sup>

#### **Patient Characteristics**

- Of 353 participants who were randomized into the hydroxychloroquine group or the control group, 60 were excluded from the intention to treat analysis because of negative baseline reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), missing RT-PCR at all follow-up visits, or consent withdrawal.
- The intention to treat analysis included 293 patients (157 in the control group and 139 in the hydroxychloroquine group). Mean age was 41.6 years, and 67% of patients were women.
- The majority of patients were healthcare workers (87%), and 53% reported chronic health conditions.
- The median time from symptom onset to enrollment was 3 days (IQR 2–4 days). The most commonly reported COVID-19 symptoms were fever, cough, and sudden olfactory loss.

#### Results

- There was no significant difference in viral load reduction between the control group and hydroxychloroquine group at Day 3 (-1.41 vs. -1.41 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL; difference of 0.01; 95% CI, -0.28 to 0.29), or at Day 7 (-3.37 vs. -3.44 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL; difference of -0.07; 95% CI, -0.44 to 0.29).
- There was no difference in the risk of hospitalization between the two groups: 7.1% vs. 5.9% (risk ratio 0.75; 95% CI, 0.32–1.77).
- There was no difference in the median time from randomization to the resolution of COVID-19 symptoms between the two groups (12.0 days in the control arm vs. 10.0 days in the hydroxychloroquine arm; P = 0.38).
- A higher percentage of participants in the hydroxychloroquine arm than in the control arm experienced adverse events during the 28-day follow-up period (72% vs. 9%). The most common adverse events were gastrointestinal disorders and "nervous system disorders."
- Serious adverse events were reported in 12 patients in the control group and in eight patients in the hydroxychloroquine group. The serious adverse events that occurred among the hydroxychloroquine patients were not deemed to be related to the drug.

#### Limitations

- This was an open-label, non-placebo-controlled trial. The study design allowed for the possibility of drop-outs in the control arm and over-reporting of adverse events in the hydroxychloroquine arm.
- There was a change in the intervention during the study; the authors initially planned to include a combination of hydroxychloroquine and darunavir/cobicistat.
- The majority of the participants were relatively young health care workers.

#### Interpretation

Early administration of hydroxychloroquine to patients with mild COVID-19 disease did not result in improvement in virologic clearance, a lower risk of disease progression, or a reduced time to symptom improvement.

#### **Observational Studies**

#### New York Department of Health Study on Hydroxychloroquine With or Without Azithromycin

A retrospective, multicenter, observational study evaluated the use of hydroxychloroquine with and without azithromycin in a random sample of 1,438 inpatients with COVID-19. Patients were categorized into four treatment groups: hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine alone, azithromycin alone, or neither drug. The primary outcome measure was in-hospital mortality, and the secondary outcome measure was cardiac arrest and arrhythmia or QT prolongation on an electrocardiogram.<sup>7</sup>

#### Results

- Patients in the three treatment groups had more severe disease at baseline than those who received neither drug.
- In adjusted analyses, patients who received one of the three treatment regimens did not show a decreased in-hospital mortality rate when compared with those who received neither drug.
- Patients who received hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin had a greater risk of cardiac arrest than patients who received neither drug (OR 2.13; 95% CI, 1.12–4.05).

#### Limitations

Despite the large size of this study, it has the inherent limitations of an observational study. These include residual confounding from confounding variables that were unrecognized and/or unavailable for analysis.

#### Interpretation

Despite the limitations discussed above, these findings suggest that although hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin are not associated with an increased risk of in-hospital death, the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin may be associated with an increased risk of cardiac arrest.

#### Observational Study of Hydroxychloroquine at a Large Medical Center in New York City

This observational study evaluated 1,376 consecutive adults hospitalized with COVID-19. The study assessed the time from study baseline (24 hours after patients arrived at the emergency department) to intubation or death based on whether the patient received hydroxychloroquine at baseline or during follow-up. Patients who received hydroxychloroquine were prescribed a twice-daily dose of hydroxychloroquine 600 mg on the first day followed by 400 mg daily for 4 additional days; this was based on a clinical guidance protocol for the hospital.<sup>8</sup>

#### Results

- In this study, 811 patients (58.9%) received hydroxychloroquine and 565 (41.1%) did not.
- Hydroxychloroquine recipients were more severely ill at baseline than those who did not receive hydroxychloroquine.
- Using propensity scores to adjust for major predictors of respiratory failure and inverse probability weighting, the study demonstrated that hydroxychloroquine use was not associated with intubation or death (HR 1.04; 95% CI, 0.82–1.32).
- There was also no association between concomitant use of azithromycin and the composite endpoint of intubation or death (HR 1.03; 95% CI, 0.81–1.31).

#### Limitations

Despite the large size of this study, it has the inherent limitations of an observational study. These

include residual confounding from confounding variables that were unrecognized and/or unavailable for analysis.

#### Interpretation

The use of hydroxychloroquine for treatment of COVID-19 was not associated with harm or benefit in a large observational study.

#### Observational Cohort of Hydroxychloroquine Versus No Hydroxychloroquine

This retrospective observational cohort study analyzed data for adult patients who were hospitalized for severe COVID-19 pneumonia at four French tertiary care centers. The primary outcome was survival without transfer to the ICU at Day 21. An inverse probability of treatment weighting approach was used to "emulate" randomization.<sup>9</sup>

#### Results

- Of the 181 patients who were eligible for the analysis, 84 participants received hydroxychloroquine within 48 hours, eight received hydroxychloroquine beyond 48 hours, and 89 did not receive hydroxychloroquine.
- In the hydroxychloroquine group, 18% of the patients received concomitant azithromycin.
- In the inverse probability of treatment-weighted analysis, there was no difference in survival rates without ICU transfer at Day 21 between the hydroxychloroquine group (76% of participants) and the non-hydroxychloroquine group (75% of participants). Similarly, there was no difference between the groups in the secondary outcomes of survival rate and survival rate without acute respiratory distress syndrome at Day 21.

#### Limitations

This was a retrospective, nonrandomized study.

#### Interpretation

In this retrospective study, there was no difference in the rates of clinically important outcomes between patients who received hydroxychloroquine within 48 hours of hospital admission and those who did not.

## *Retrospective Cohort Study that Compared Hydroxychloroquine to No Hydroxychloroquine in a Health Care System in Detroit, Michigan*

A comparative, retrospective cohort study assessed the outcomes for all consecutive patients who were hospitalized for COVID-19 (which was defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR from a nasopharyngeal sample) from March 10 to May 2, 2020, in the Henry Ford Health System in Michigan.<sup>10</sup>

The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. The study compared outcomes for patients who received hydroxychloroquine alone, hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin, azithromycin alone, or neither drug.

An interdisciplinary task force of the health system established a COVID-19 treatment protocol that incorporated the use of hydroxychloroquine alone or in combination with azithromycin. The hydroxychloroquine dose was 400 mg twice daily for 1 day, then 200 mg twice daily for 4 days. If azithromycin was used, the dose was azithromycin 500 mg for 1 day, then 250 mg daily for 4 days. The combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin was reserved for patients with severe COVID-19 and minimal cardiac risks. The clinical treatment protocol allowed for the use of tocilizumab and corticosteroids in some patients; however, the criteria for their use were not specified in the report.

#### **Study Population**

- The analysis included 2,541 consecutive patients.
- The median patient age was 64 years (IQR 53–76 years); 51% of patients were men, 56% were African American, and 52% had a BMI ≥30.
- The median time to follow-up was 28.5 days (IQR 3–53 days).
- The modified sequential organ failure assessment (mSOFA) score was not available for 25% of patients.
- Corticosteroids were given to 79% of patients in the hydroxychloroquine alone group, 74% of patients in the hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin group, and 35.7% of those on neither drug.

#### Mortality

- Overall, crude mortality was 18.1%. When broken down by the different groups, the mortality was 13.5% in hydroxychloroquine alone group, 20.1% in the hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin group, 22.4% in the azithromycin alone group, and 26.4% in the group that received neither drug (P < 0.001).
- Mortality HRs were analyzed using a multivariable Cox regression model; the group that received neither drug was used as the reference. Hydroxychloroquine alone decreased the mortality HR by 66% (P < 0.001). Hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin decreased the mortality HR by 71% (P < 0.001).
- Other predictors of mortality were age ≥65 years (HR 2.6; 95% CI, 1.9–3.3); White race (HR 1.7; 95% CI, 1.4–2.1); chronic kidney disease (HR 1.7; 95% CI, 1.4–2.1); reduced O<sub>2</sub> saturation level on admission (HR 1.6; 95% CI, 1.1–2.2); and ventilator use at admission (HR 2.2; 95% CI, 1.4–3.0).
- A propensity-matched Cox regression result suggested a mortality HR of 0.487 for patients who received hydroxychloroquine (95% CI, 0.285–0.832, P = 0.009).

#### Limitations

- This retrospective observational study evaluated one health care system with an institutional protocol for hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin use.
- Because the study was not randomized and not blinded, there is a possibility of residual confounding
- There was a lower rate of ICU admission among patients who did not receive hydroxychloroquine, which suggests that this group may have received less-aggressive care.
- A substantially higher percentage of patients in the hydroxychloroquine arms also received corticosteroids compared to the control arm (77.1% vs. 35.7%). Given that the RECOVERY trial showed that dexamethasone use conferred a survival benefit (see <u>Corticosteroids</u>), it is possible that the findings were confounded by this imbalance in corticosteroid use.<sup>11</sup>

#### Interpretation

This retrospective, propensity-matched cohort study reported a mortality benefit in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who received either hydroxychloroquine alone or hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin compared to receiving neither drug. However, there were substantial imbalances in corticosteroid use between the groups, which may have affected mortality. Moreover, because the study was retrospective and observational, it cannot control for other and unknown confounders.

Downloaded from https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/ on 10/17/2020

#### **Other Reviewed Studies**

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) has reviewed other clinical studies of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin and studies of chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19.<sup>12-22</sup> These studies have limitations (e.g., the potential for residual confounding, small sample sizes, incomplete reporting, a lack of comparison groups) that make them less definitive and informative than large randomized clinical trials. The Panel's summaries and interpretations of some of those studies are available in the <u>archived versions of the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines</u>.

#### References

- 1. Horby P, Mafham M, Linsell L, et al. Effect of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary results from a multi-centre, randomized, controlled trial. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.15.20151852v1</u>.
- Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in mild-tomoderate COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.</u> <u>nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32706953</u>.
- 3. Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32409561</u>.
- 4. Borba MGS, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, et al. Effect of high vs low doses of chloroquine diphosphate as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(4):e208857. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32339248</u>.
- 5. Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Engen NW, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in nonhospitalized adults with early COVID-19: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32673060</u>.
- 6. Mitja O, Corbacho-Monne M, Ubals M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine for early treatment of adults with mild COVID-19: a randomized-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;Published online ahead of print. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32674126">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32674126</a>.
- 7. Rosenberg ES, Dufort EM, Udo T, et al. Association of treatment with hydroxychloroquine or azithromycin with in-hospital mortality in patients with COVID-19 in New York state. *JAMA*. 2020;323(24):2493-2502. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32392282</u>.
- Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, et al. Observational study of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(25):2411-2418. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32379955</u>.
- 9. Mahevas M, Tran VT, Roumier M, et al. Clinical efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with covid-19 pneumonia who require oxygen: observational comparative study using routine care data. *BMJ*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32409486</u>.
- Arshad S, Kilgore P, Chaudhry ZS, et al. Treatment with hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and combination in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020;97:396-403. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32623082</u>.
- 11. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32678530</u>.
- 12. Chorin E, Dai M, Shulman E, et al. The QT interval in patients with COVID-19 treated with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin. *Nature Medicine*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/</u><u>s41591-020-0888-2</u>.

- Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, et al. Clinical and microbiological effect of a combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in 80 COVID-19 patients with at least a six-day follow up: A pilot observational study. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2020:101663. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32289548</u>.
- 14. Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020:105949. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32205204.
- 15. Huang M, Tang T, Pang P, et al. Treating COVID-19 with Chloroquine. *J Mol Cell Biol*. 2020 May 18;12(4):322-325. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32236562</u>.
- Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, et al. Outcomes of Hydroxychloroquine Usage in United States Veterans Hospitalized with COVID-19. *Med (N Y)*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32838355</u>.
- 17. Molina JM, Delaugerre C, Le Goff J, et al. No evidence of rapid antiviral clearance or clinical benefit with the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in patients with severe COVID-i9 Infection. *Med Mal Infect*. 2020;50(4):384.. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7195369/</u>.
- Satlin MJ, Goyal P, Magleby R, et al. Safety, tolerability, and clinical outcomes of hydroxychloroquine for hospitalized patients with coronavirus 2019 disease. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(7):e0236778. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32701969</u>.
- Mikami T, Miyashita H, Yamada T, et al. Risk factors for mortality in patients with COVID-19 in New York City. J Gen Intern Med. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32607928</u>.
- Catteau L, Dauby N, Montourcy M, et al. Low-dose hydroxychloroquine therapy and mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a nationwide observational study of 8075 participants. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32853673</u>.
- 21. Covid R, Treatments Collaboration m. Use of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalised COVID-19 patients is associated with reduced mortality: findings from the observational multicentre Italian CORIST study. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32859477</u>.
- 22. Furtado RHM, Berwanger O, Fonseca HA, et al. Azithromycin in addition to standard of care versus standard of care alone in the treatment of patients admitted to the hospital with severe COVID-19 in Brazil (COALITION II): a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32896292">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32896292</a>.

### Ivermectin

#### Last Updated: August 27, 2020

Ivermectin is a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antiparasitic drug that is used to treat several neglected tropical diseases, including onchocerciasis, helminthiases, and scabies.<sup>1</sup> It is also being evaluated for its potential to reduce the rate of malaria transmission by killing mosquitoes that feed on treated humans and livestock.<sup>2</sup> For these indications, ivermectin has been widely used and has demonstrated an excellent safety profile.<sup>1</sup>

#### Proposed Mechanism of Action and Rationale for Use in Patients With COVID-19

Ivermectin acts by inhibiting the host importin alpha/beta-1 nuclear transport proteins, which are part of a key intracellular transport process that viruses hijack to enhance infection by suppressing the host antiviral response.<sup>3</sup> Ivermectin is therefore a host-directed agent, which is likely the basis for its broad-spectrum activity *in vitro* against the viruses that cause dengue, Zika, HIV, and yellow fever.<sup>3-6</sup>

#### Recommendation

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel **recommends against** the use of **ivermectin** for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).

#### Rationale

Ivermectin has been shown to inhibit the replication of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in cell cultures.<sup>7</sup> However, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies suggest that achieving the plasma concentrations necessary for the antiviral efficacy detected *in vitro* would require administration of doses up to 100-fold higher than those approved for use in humans.<sup>8,9</sup> Even though ivermectin appears to accumulate in the lung tissue, predicted systemic plasma and lung tissue concentrations are much lower than 2  $\mu$ M, the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) against SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*.<sup>10,11</sup>

Ivermectin is not approved for the treatment of any viral infection, including SARS-CoV-2 infection. The FDA issued a <u>warning</u> in April 2020 that ivermectin intended for use in animals should not be used to treat COVID-19 in humans.

#### **Clinical Data in Patients With COVID-19**

The available clinical data on the use of ivermectin to treat COVID-19 are limited.

#### **Retrospective Analysis of Using Ivermectin in Patients With COVID-19**

#### This study has not been peer reviewed.

This retrospective analysis of consecutive patients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (27% with severe COVID-19) who were admitted to four Florida hospitals compared patients who received at least one dose of ivermectin (n = 173) to those who received "usual care" (n = 103). The primary outcome was all-cause, in-hospital mortality. The secondary outcomes included mortality in patients with severe disease (defined as "need for either FiO<sub>2</sub>  $\geq$ 50% or noninvasive or invasive mechanical ventilation") and extubation rates in those who were mechanically ventilated.<sup>12</sup>

#### Results

• Ivermectin administration was reportedly consistent with hospital guidelines: a single dose

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

of 200  $\mu$ g/kg, with repeat dosing on Day 7 if the patient was still hospitalized (13 patients received a second dose). Ninety percent of the ivermectin group and 97% of the usual care group received hydroxychloroquine (the majority received hydroxychloroquine in conjunction with azithromycin).

- All-cause mortality was lower among the patients in the ivermectin group than among patients in the usual care group (OR 0.27; P = 0.03). The mortality benefit appeared to be limited to the subgroup of patients with severe disease.
- There was no difference between the groups for the median length of hospital stay (7 days in both groups) or the proportion of mechanically ventilated patients who were successfully extubated (36% in the ivermectin group vs. 15% in the usual care group; P = 0.07).

#### Limitations

- This was a retrospective analysis.
- The study included little or no information on oxygen saturation or radiographic findings. It was also unclear whether therapeutic interventions other than hydroxychloroquine, such as remdesivir or dexamethasone, were used in the study.
- The timing of therapeutic interventions was not standardized; if the timing is not accounted for, it can bias the survival comparison.
- The analyses of the durations of ventilation and hospitalization do not appear to account for death as a competing risk.
- No virologic assessments were performed.

#### Interpretation

The limitations of this retrospective analysis make it difficult to draw conclusions about the efficacy of using ivermectin to treat patients with COVID-19.

#### References

- 1. Omura S, Crump A. Ivermectin: panacea for resource-poor communities? *Trends Parasitol*. 2014;30(9):445-455. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25130507</u>.
- Fritz ML, Siegert PY, Walker ED, Bayoh MN, Vulule JR, Miller JR. Toxicity of bloodmeals from ivermectintreated cattle to *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 2009;103(6):539-547. Available at: <u>https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19695159</u>.
- 3. Yang SNY, Atkinson SC, Wang C, et al. The broad spectrum antiviral ivermectin targets the host nuclear transport importin alpha/beta1 heterodimer. *Antiviral Res.* 2020;177:104760. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32135219</u>.
- Tay MY, Fraser JE, Chan WK, et al. Nuclear localization of dengue virus (DENV) 1-4 non-structural protein 5; protection against all 4 DENV serotypes by the inhibitor ivermectin. *Antiviral Res.* 2013;99(3):301-306. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23769930</u>.
- 5. Wagstaff KM, Sivakumaran H, Heaton SM, Harrich D, Jans DA. Ivermectin is a specific inhibitor of importin alpha/beta-mediated nuclear import able to inhibit replication of HIV-1 and dengue virus. *Biochem J*. 2012;443(3):851-856. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22417684</u>.
- Barrows NJ, Campos RK, Powell ST, et al. A screen of FDA-approved drugs for inhibitors of Zika virus infection. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2016;20(2):259-270. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/27476412</u>.
- 7. Caly L, Druce JD, Catton MG, Jans DA, Wagstaff KM. The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the

replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. *Antiviral Res*. 2020;178:104787. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.</u> gov/pubmed/32251768.

- 8. Chaccour C, Hammann F, Ramon-Garcia S, Rabinovich NR. Ivermectin and COVID-19: keeping rigor in times of urgency. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2020;102(6):1156-1157. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32314704</u>.
- Guzzo CA, Furtek CI, Porras AG, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of escalating high doses of ivermectin in healthy adult subjects. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2002;42(10):1122-1133. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12362927</u>.
- Arshad U, Pertinez H, Box H, et al. Prioritization of anti-SARS-CoV-2 drug repurposing opportunities based on plasma and target site concentrations derived from their established human pharmacokinetics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32438446</u>.
- Bray M, Rayner C, Noel F, Jans D, Wagstaff K. Ivermectin and COVID-19: a report in Antiviral Research, widespread interest, an FDA warning, two letters to the editor and the authors' responses. *Antiviral Res*. 2020;178:104805. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32330482</u>.
- 12. Cepelowicz Rajter J, Sherman M, Fatteh N, Vogel F, Sacks J, Rajter J. ICON (ivermectin in COVID nineteen) study: use of ivermectin is associated with lower mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID19. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.06.20124461v2</u>.

### Lopinavir/Ritonavir and Other HIV Protease Inhibitors

#### Last Updated: July 17, 2020

Lopinavir/ritonavir and darunavir/cobicistat have been studied in patients with COVID-19.

The replication of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) depends on the cleavage of polyproteins into an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and a helicase.<sup>1</sup> Two proteases are responsible for this cleavage: 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) and papain-like protease (PLpro).

Lopinavir/ritonavir is an inhibitor of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) 3CLpro *in vitro*, and this protease appears to be highly conserved in SARS-CoV-2.<sup>2,3</sup> Although lopinavir/ritonavir has *in vitro* activity against SARS-CoV, it is thought to have a poor selectivity index, indicating that higher than tolerable levels of the drug might be required to achieve meaningful inhibition *in vivo*.<sup>4</sup> Lopinavir is excreted in the gastrointestinal tract; therefore, coronavirus-infected enterocytes might be exposed to higher concentrations of the drug.<sup>5</sup>

Darunavir inhibits the 3CLpro enzyme of SARS-CoV-2 and possibly also inhibits the PLpro enzyme. However, in an *in vitro* study, darunavir did not show activity against SARS-CoV-2.<sup>6</sup>

#### Recommendation

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel recommends against using lopinavir/ritonavir (AI) or other HIV protease inhibitors (AIII) for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial.

#### Rationale

The pharmacodynamics of lopinavir/ritonavir raise concerns about whether it is possible to achieve drug concentrations that can inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 proteases. In addition, lopinavir/ritonavir did not show efficacy in a moderately sized randomized controlled trial in patients with COVID-19.

#### **Adverse Effects**

The adverse effects for lopinavir/ritonavir include:

- Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea (common)
- QTc prolongation
- Hepatotoxicity

#### **Drug-Drug Interactions**

Lopinavir/ritonavir is a potent inhibitor of cytochrome P450 3A. Coadministering lopinavir/ritonavir with medications that are metabolized by this enzyme may increase the concentrations of those medications, resulting in concentration-related toxicities. Please refer to the *Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents with HIV* for a list of potential drug interactions.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

- There is extensive experience with the use of lopinavir/ritonavir in pregnant women with HIV, and the drug has a good safety profile.
- There is no evidence of human teratogenicity (a 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects can be ruled out).
- Lopinavir has low placental transfer to the fetus. Please refer to the <u>Recommendations for the</u>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

<u>Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce</u> <u>Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States</u> for more information.

- Lopinavir/ritonavir oral solution contains 42.4% (volume/volume) alcohol and 15.3% (weight/ volume) propylene glycol and **is not recommended** for use during pregnancy. Please refer to the *Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States* for more information.
- The use of once-daily dosing for lopinavir/ritonavir is not recommended during pregnancy.

#### **Considerations in Children**

- Lopinavir/ritonavir is approved for the treatment of HIV in infants, children, and adolescents.
- There are no data on the efficacy of using lopinavir/ritonavir to treat COVID-19 in pediatric patients.

#### **Clinical Data for COVID-19**

- The plasma drug concentrations achieved using typical doses of lopinavir/ritonavir are far below the levels that may be needed to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication.<sup>7</sup>
- A moderately sized randomized trial failed to find a virologic or clinical benefit of lopinavir/ ritonavir over standard of care.<sup>8</sup>
- Results from a small randomized controlled trial showed that darunavir/cobicistat was not effective for the treatment of COVID-19.9
- There are no data from clinical trials that support using other HIV protease inhibitors to treat COVID-19.
- Please see Lopinavir/Ritonavir: Selected Clinical Data for more information.

#### References

- 1. Zumla A, Chan JF, Azhar EI, Hui DS, Yuen KY. Coronaviruses–drug discovery and therapeutic options. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2016;15(5):327-347. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26868298</u>.
- Tahir ul Qamar M, Alqahtani SM, Alamri MA, Chen L. Structural basis of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and anti-COVID-19 drug discovery from medicinal plants. *J Pharm Anal*. 2020;Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7156227/</u>.
- 3. Liu X, Wang XJ. Potential inhibitors against 2019-nCoV coronavirus M protease from clinically approved medicines. *J Genet Genomics*. 2020;47(2):119-121. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32173287</u>.
- Chen F, Chan KH, Jiang Y, et al. In vitro susceptibility of 10 clinical isolates of SARS coronavirus to selected antiviral compounds. *J Clin Virol*. 2004;31(1):69-75. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15288617</u>.
- Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, et al. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. *Thorax*. 2004;59(3):252-256. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/14985565</u>.
- 6. De Meyer S, Bojkova D, Cinatl J, et al. Lack of antiviral activity of darunavir against SARS-CoV-2. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020;97:7-10. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32479865</u>.
- Schoergenhofer C, Jilma B, Stimpfl T, Karolyi M, Zoufaly A. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir and ritonavir in patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Ann Intern Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32422065</u>.
- 8. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19. N

Engl J Med. 2020;382(19):1787-1799. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32187464.

9. Chen J, Xia L, Liu L, et al. Antiviral activity and safety of darunavir/cobicistat for the treatment of COVID-19. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32671131</u>.

### Lopinavir/Ritonavir: Selected Clinical Data

#### Last Updated: July 17, 2020

The information presented in this section may include data from preprints or articles that have not been peer reviewed. This section will be updated as new information becomes available. Please see <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for more information on clinical trials that are evaluating lopinavir/ritonavir.

#### Randomized Controlled Trial of Lopinavir/Ritonavir Versus Standard of Care

In a clinical trial that randomized 199 patients to receive lopinavir 400 mg/ritonavir 100 mg orally twice daily for 14 days or standard of care, patients who were randomized to the lopinavir/ritonavir arm did not have a shorter time to clinical improvement.<sup>1</sup>

#### Results

- There was a lower, but not statistically significant, mortality rate for the lopinavir/ritonavir group (19.2%) than for the standard of care group (25.0%), and a shorter median intensive care unit stay for those in the lopinavir/ritonavir group than for those in the standard of care group (6 days vs. 11 days; 95% CI, -9 to 0 days).
- There was no difference in the median duration of hospital stay and the median time to clearance of viral RNA from respiratory tract samples between the two arms.
- Nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea were all more frequent among patients in the lopinavir/ritonavir-treated group.

#### Limitations

- The study was not blinded, which may have affected the assessments of clinical improvement.
- The study was underpowered to show small effects.

#### Interpretation

A moderately sized, randomized trial failed to find a virologic or clinical benefit of lopinavir/ritonavir over standard of care.

#### Lopinavir/Ritonavir Plus Interferon Beta-1b Plus Ribavirin in Patients with COVID-19

Also see Interferons for a description of this trial and its results.

An open-label, Phase 2 clinical trial randomized 127 participants with COVID-19 2:1 to receive either a 14-day course of a combination therapy that included interferon beta-1b 8 million international units administered subcutaneously on alternating days (1–3 doses, depending on time from symptom onset) plus lopinavir 400 mg/ritonavir 100 mg orally every 12 hours and ribavirin 400 mg orally every 12 hours, or a 14-day course of lopinavir/ritonavir 400 mg/100 mg every 12 hours alone.<sup>2</sup>

In the combination therapy group, those who were admitted <7 days after symptom onset (n = 52) received triple-drug therapy; however, interferon beta-1b was not included in the regimen for those who were admitted  $\geq$ 7 days after symptom onset (n = 34) because of concerns regarding its potential for inflammatory effects. The study population consisted of patients who were hospitalized in Hong Kong; the median age was 52 years and the median time from symptom onset to enrollment was 5 days. Only 12% to 14% of participants were on supplemental oxygen, and only one participant was mechanically ventilated.

#### Results

Patients in the combination therapy group showed faster viral clearance and more rapid clinical improvement than those in the control group.

#### Limitations

- Participants in both arms received lopinavir/ritonavir, so it is impossible to determine whether lopinavir/ritonavir contributed to the observed treatment effects. However, the possibility that lopinavir/ritonavir may have contributed to the effectiveness of the combination therapy also cannot be ruled out.
- The positive clinical impact of the combination therapy was limited to those who were hospitalized <7 days from symptom onset.
- Most participants in this study had mild illness, and only slightly more than 10% were on supplemental oxygen. For this reason, the study has limited applicability to hospitalized patients in the United States.

#### Interpretation

This study neither supports nor refutes the use of lopinavir/ritonavir with or without ribavirin in patients with COVID-19. See the <u>Interferons</u> section for further discussion.

#### Lopinavir/Ritonavir Versus Umifenovir Versus Standard of Care

In a trial of 86 hospitalized patients with mild to moderate COVID-19, 34 patients were randomized to receive lopinavir/ritonavir, 35 patients received the broad-spectrum antiviral umifenovir (trade name Arbidol; not available in the United States), and 17 patients received standard of care.<sup>3</sup>

#### Results (Comparison of Lopinavir/Ritonavir to Standard of Care)

- The time to a negative severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) nucleic acid pharyngeal swab was similar for patients who received lopinavir/ritonavir (mean duration 9.0 days; SD ± 5.0 days) and for those who received standard of care (mean duration 9.3 days; SD ± 5.2 days).
- Progression to severe illness occurred among six patients (18%) in the lopinavir/ritonavir arm and two patients (12%) who received standard of care.
- Two patients became critically ill; both were randomized to receive lopinavir/ritonavir.

#### Limitations

- The trial had a small sample size.
- The study was not blinded.
- The effectiveness of umifenovir in treating COVID-19 is unknown.

#### Interpretation

The small sample size of this trial limits its usefulness.

#### Lopinavir/Ritonavir Pharmacokinetics in Patients With COVID-19

In a case series, eight patients with COVID-19 were treated with lopinavir 400 mg/ritonavir 100 mg orally twice daily and had plasma trough levels of lopinavir drawn and assayed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry.<sup>4</sup>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

#### Results

- The median plasma lopinavir concentration was 13.6 µg/mL.
- After correcting for protein binding, trough levels would need to be approximately 60-fold to 120-fold higher to achieve the *in vitro* half-maximal effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) for SARS-CoV-2.

#### Limitations

- Only the trough levels of lopinavir were quantified.
- The concentration of lopinavir required to effectively inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication *in vivo* is currently unknown.

#### Interpretation

The plasma drug concentrations that were achieved using typical doses of lopinavir/ritonavir are far below the levels that may be needed to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication.

#### References

- 1. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32187464</u>.
- 2. Hung IF, Lung KC, Tso EY, et al. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, Phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10238):1695-1704. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32401715.
- 3. Li Y, Xie Z, Lin W, et al. Efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir or arbidol in adult patients with mild/ moderate COVID-19: an exploratory randomized controlled trial. *Med.* 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7235585/</u>.
- 4. Schoergenhofer C, Jilma B, Stimpfl T, Karolyi M, Zoufaly A. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir and ritonavir in patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Ann Intern Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32422065</u>.

# Table 2. Characteristics of Antiviral Agents That Are Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

- The information in this table is derived from data on the use of these drugs for FDA-approved indications or in investigational trials, and it is supplemented with data from patients with COVID-19, when available.
- There are limited or no data on dose modifications for patients with organ failure or those who require extracorporeal devices. Please refer to product labels, when available.
- Treatment-related AEs in patients with COVID-19 are not well defined; the validity of extrapolation between patient populations (i.e., FDA-approved use vs. COVID-19 use) is unknown, especially in critically ill patients. Reported AEs of these drugs that are associated with long-term therapy (i.e., months to years) are not included in this table, because treatment for COVID-19 is not long term. Please refer to product labels, when available.
- There are currently not enough data to determine whether certain medications can be safely coadministered with therapies for the treatment of COVID-19. When using concomitant medications with similar toxicity profiles, consider performing additional safety monitoring.
- The potential additive, antagonistic, or synergistic effects and the safety of using combination therapies for the treatment of COVID-19 are unknown. Clinicians are encouraged to report AEs to the FDA *Medwatch* program.
- For drug interaction information, please refer to product labels and visit the Liverpool COVID-19 Drug Interactions website.
- For information on drugs that prolong the QTc interval, please visit CredibleMeds.org.

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimens</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel's Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Chloroquine	<ul> <li>Dose Previously Suggested in an EUA for Adults and Adolescents Weighing ≥50 kg:</li> <li>CQ 1 g PO once on Day 1, then CQ 500 mg PO once daily for 4–7 days of total treatment. Treatment duration should be based on clinical evaluation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prolonged QTc interval, Torsades de Pointes, AV block, ventricular arrhythmia</li> <li>Gastrointestinal effects (e.g., nausea, vomiting, diarrhea)</li> <li>Hepatitis</li> <li>Hypoglycemia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC, hepatic panel, blood glucose, SCr, potassium, magnesium</li> <li>Baseline ECG</li> <li>Follow-up ECG if CQ is given with QTc-prolonging drugs or if the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Additive effect with other drugs that prolong the QTc interval (including AZM) or that cause hypoglycemia</li> <li>CYP2D6 inhibitor (moderate)</li> <li>P-gp inhibitor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of CQ with or without AZM for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients (AI).</li> <li>In nonhospitalized patients, the Panel recommends against the use of CQ with or without AZM for the</li> </ul>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimens</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel's Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
<b>Chloroquine</b> , continued		<ul> <li>Hemolysis (especially in patients with G6PD deficiency)</li> <li>Myopathy</li> <li>Rash</li> <li>Given the risk of heart rhythm problems, the FDA cautions against using CQ to treat COVID-19 outside of a hospital or a clinical trial.<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	patient has underlying cardiac disease		<ul> <li>treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AI).</li> <li>The Panel recommends against using high-dose CQ (600 mg twice daily for 10 days) for the treatment of COVID-19 (AI).</li> <li>Dose-dependent toxicity</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available here: <u>Chloroquine</u></li> </ul>
Hydroxychloroquine	<ul> <li>Adults:</li> <li>Various loading and maintenance doses have been reported in studies or in clinical care.</li> <li>Dose Previously Suggested in an EUA for Hospitalized Adults and Adolescents Weighing ≥50 kg:</li> <li>HCQ 800 mg PO once on Day 1, then HCQ 400 mg PO once daily for 4–7 days of total treatment. Treatment duration should be based on clinical evaluation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prolonged QTc interval, Torsades de Pointes, AV block, ventricular arrhythmia</li> <li>Gastrointestinal effects (e.g., nausea, vomiting, diarrhea)</li> <li>Hepatitis</li> <li>Hypoglycemia</li> <li>Myopathy</li> <li>Anxiety, agitation, hallucinations, psychosis</li> <li>Allergic reaction/rash</li> <li>Given the risk of heart rhythm problems, the FDA cautions against using HCQ to treat COVID-19 outside of a hospital or a clinical trial.<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC, hepatic panel, blood glucose, SCr, potassium, magnesium</li> <li>Baseline ECG</li> <li>Follow-up ECG if HCQ is given with QTc-prolonging drugs (e.g., AZM) or if the patient has underlying cardiac disease</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Additive effect with other drugs that prolong the QTc interval (including AZM) or that cause hypoglycemia</li> <li>CYP2D6 inhibitor (moderate)</li> <li>P-gp inhibitor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of HCQ with or without AZM for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients (AI).</li> <li>In nonhospitalized patients, the Panel recommends against the use of HCQ with or without AZM for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AI).</li> <li>Long elimination; half-life is 40–55 days.</li> <li>Dose-dependent toxicity</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available here: Hydroxychloroquine</li> </ul>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

	Dosing Regimens				
Drug Name	There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel's Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	<ul> <li>Adults:</li> <li>LPV 400 mg/RTV 100 mg PO twice daily for 10–14 days</li> <li>Neonates Aged ≥14 Days with a PMA ≥42 Weeks and Children Aged &lt;18 Years:</li> <li>LPV 300 mg/m<sup>2</sup> plus RTV 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> (maximum: LPV 400 mg/RTV 100 mg per dose) PO twice daily for a total of 7 days</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gastrointestinal effects (e.g., nausea, vomiting, diarrhea)</li> <li>Transaminase elevation</li> <li>QTc interval prolongation and Torsades de Pointes have been reported.</li> <li>PR interval prolongation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>HIV antigen/antibody testing at baseline</li> <li>Serum transaminase levels</li> <li>Consider monitoring ECG when LPV/RTV is given with other QTc-prolonging medications.</li> </ul>	High Drug-Drug Interaction Potential <i>Lopinavir:</i> • CYP3A4 inhibitor and substrate <i>Ritonavir:</i> • CYP3A4 > CYP2D6 substrate • Potent CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 inhibitor • Inducer of UGT1A1 and CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against using LPV/RTV (AI) or other HIV PIs (AIII) to treat COVID-19, except in a clinical trial.</li> <li>Liquid formulation is commercially available. Crushing LPV/RTV tablets may result in significantly decreased drug exposure (AUC + 45%).<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Use with caution in patients with hepatic impairment.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available here: Lopinavir/ Ritonavir</li> </ul>
<b>Remdesivir</b> <b>Note:</b> RDV is not approved by the FDA; however, it is available through an EUA, <sup>a</sup> a clinical trial, or the manufacturer's emergency access program.	<ul> <li>In Patients Who Are Participating in Clinical Trials:</li> <li>Dose according to the clinical trial protocol.</li> <li>Panel's Recommendations for Adult and Pediatric Patients Weighing ≥40 kg</li> <li>For Patients With Severe COVID-19 Who Are Not Intubated:</li> <li>RDV 200 mg IV over 30–120 minutes for 1 dose, followed by RDV 100 mg IV on Day 2 through Day 5 (AI).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Transient elevations in ALT or AST levels (Grade 1 or 2), typically after multiple days of therapy<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Mild, reversible PT prolongation without INR change or hepatic effects<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Drug vehicle is SBECD, which has been associated with renal toxicity. SBECD accumulation may occur in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for infusion reactions.</li> <li>Renal and hepatic function</li> <li>Do not administer RDV if eGFR is &lt;30 mL/min (or if patient is receiving dialysis), or if ALT or AST level is &gt;5 times ULN</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clinical studies of drug-drug interactions for RDV have not been conducted.</li> <li>RDV levels are unlikely to be substantially altered by CYP2C8, CYP2D6, or CYP3A4 enzymes, or by P-gp or OATP drug transporters.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommendation for Prioritizing Limited Supplies of RDV:</li> <li>Because RDV supplies are limited, the Panel recommends prioritizing RDV for use in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who require supplemental oxygen but who do not require oxygen through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO (BI).</li> </ul>

	Dosing Regimens				
Drug Name	There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel's Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
<b>Remdesivir</b> , continued	<ul> <li>For Mechanically Ventilated Patients, Patients on ECMO, and Patients Who Have Not Shown Adequate Improvement After 5 Days of Therapy:</li> <li>There are insufficient data on the optimal duration of therapy for mechanically ventilated patients, patients on ECMO, and patients who have not shown adequate improvement after 5 days of therapy. Some experts extend the total RDV treatment duration to up to 10 days (CIII).</li> <li>Note: The EUA recommends 10-day therapy for patients on mechanical ventilation or ECMO.</li> <li>Suggested Dose in EUA<sup>a</sup> for Pediatric Patients Weighing 3.5 to &lt;40 kg</li> <li>For Patients Who Require Invasive Mechanical Ventilation and/or ECMO:</li> <li>RDV 5 mg/kg IV over 30–120 minutes for 1 dose on Day 1, followed by RDV 2.5 mg/ kg IV daily over 30–120 minutes on Day 2 through Day 10</li> <li>For Patients Who Do Not Require Invasive Mechanical Ventilation and/or ECMO:</li> <li>RDV 5 mg/kg IV over 30–120 minutes for 1 dose on Day 1, followed by RDV 2.5 mg/ kg IV daily over 30–120 minutes on Day 2 through Day 5. If there is no clinical improvement, treatment may be extended for up to 5 additional days (for a total treatment duration of 10 days).</li> </ul>	• Gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., nausea, vomiting)		<ul> <li>RDV may be administered with weak to moderate inducers or with strong inhibitors of CYP450, OATP, or P-gp.</li> <li>Strong induction may modestly reduce RDV levels. The clinical relevance of lower RDV levels is unknown. Based on information provided by Gilead (written communication, July 2020), the use of RDV with strong inducers (e.g., rifampin) is not recommended.</li> <li>Minimal to no reduction in RDV exposure is expected when RDV is coadministered with dexamethasone.</li> <li>CQ or HCQ may decrease the antiviral activity of RDV; coadministration of these drugs is not recommended.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recommendation for Patients with Mild or Moderate COVID-19:</li> <li>There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of RDV in patients with mild or moderate COVID-19.</li> <li>Recommendations for Patients With COVID-19 Who Require Supplemental Oxygen</li> <li>For Patients Who Do Not Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO:</li> <li>The Panel recommends using RDV for 5 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first (AI).</li> <li>If a patient who is on supplemental oxygen while receiving RDV progresses to requiring delivery of oxygen through a high-flow device, noninvasive ventilation, invasive mechanical ventilation, or ECMO, the course of RDV should be completed.</li> <li>For Patients Who Require Oxygen Delivery Through a High-Flow Device, Noninvasive Ventilation, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, or ECMO:</li> <li>Because there is uncertainty regarding whether starting RDV</li> </ul>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimens</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel's Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Remdesivir, continued					confers clinical benefit in these groups of patients, the Panel cannot make a recommendation either for or against starting RDV.
					Duration of Therapy for Patients Who Have Not Shown Clinical Improvement After 5 Days of Therapy:
					• There are insufficient data on the optimal duration of RDV therapy for patients with COVID-19 who have not shown clinical improvement after 5 days of therapy. In this group, some experts extend the total RDV treatment duration to up to 10 days (CIII).
					Availability:
					• RDV is available through an EUA <sup>a</sup> for the treatment of hospitalized adults and children with severe COVID-19.
					<ul> <li>RDV is also available for other patient populations through <u>expanded access and</u> <u>compassionate use programs</u>.</li> </ul>
					• A list of clinical trials is available here: <u>Remdesivir</u>

<sup>a</sup> The FDA EUA permits the emergency use of the investigational product RDV for the treatment of suspected COVID-19 or laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in hospitalized adults and children.

**Key:** AE = adverse effect; ALT = alanine transaminase; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; AUC = area under the curve; AV = atrioventricular; AZM = azithromycin; CBC = complete blood count; CQ = chloroquine; CYP = cytochrome P; ECG = electrocardiogram; ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; EUA = Emergency Use Authorization; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; G6PD = glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; HCQ = hydroxychloroquine; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; INR = international normalized ratio; IV = intravenous; LPV = lopinavir; LPV/RTV = lopinavir/ritonavir; OATP = organic anion transporter polypeptide; the Panel = the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel; P-gp = P-glycoprotein; PI = protease inhibitor; PMA = postmenstrual age; PO = orally; PT = prothrombin time; RDV = remdesivir; RTV = ritonavir; SBECD = sulfobutylether-beta-cyclodextrin sodium; SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SCr = serum creatinine; UGT = uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase; ULN = upper limit of normal

#### References

- Food and Drug Administration. FDA cautions against use of hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine for COVID-19 outside of the hospital setting or a clinical trial due to risk of heart rhythm problems. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-usehydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-outside-hospital-setting-or</u>. Accessed August 24, 2020.
- 2. Best BM, Capparelli EV, Diep H, et al. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir/ritonavir crushed versus whole tablets in children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;58(4):385-391. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21876444</u>.
- 3. Gilead Sciences. Remdesivir (GS-5734) investigator's brochure. Edition 5. February 21, 2020.

# Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19

#### Last Updated: July 17, 2020

Given the hyperactive inflammatory effects of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), agents that modulate the immune response are being explored as adjunctive treatments for the management of moderate to critical COVID-19.<sup>1</sup> These agents include human blood-derived products and immunomodulatory therapies.

Some human blood-derived products are obtained from individuals who have recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection (e.g., convalescent plasma, immunoglobulin products).<sup>2,3</sup> These heterogenous products are postulated to have either direct antiviral properties, such as with convalescent plasma, and/ or immunomodulatory effects like those noted with mesenchymal stem cells.<sup>4</sup> Additionally, neutralizing monoclonal antibodies directed against SARS-CoV-2 have been developed and are under investigation in clinical trials.<sup>5</sup>

Other agents in this group include therapeutics currently approved for the treatment of other immune and/or inflammatory syndromes. These agents include corticosteroids (e.g., glucocorticoids),<sup>6</sup> which as a class possess a broad array of mechanisms to abrogate systemic inflammation, and more targeted anti-inflammatory treatments such as interleukin inhibitors,<sup>7,8</sup> interferons,<sup>9</sup> kinase inhibitors,<sup>10</sup> and others.

In the following sections of the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines, different blood-derived products and immunomodulators under investigation for the management of COVID-19 are discussed. Items discussed include the proposed rationale for use of these therapies, the clinical safety and efficacy data to date, and the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel's recommendations for their use.

#### References

- Zhong J, Tang J, Ye C, Dong L. The immunology of COVID-19: is immune modulation an option for treatment? *Lancet Rheumatology*. 2020;2(7):e438-e436. Available at: <u>https://www.theLancet.com/journals/ lanrhe/article/PIIS2665-9913(20)30120-X/fulltext#seccestitle10.</u>
- Wang X, Guo X, Xin Q, et al. Neutralizing antibodies responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 inpatients and convalescent patients. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/</u> <u>content/10.1101/2020.04.15.20065623v3</u>.
- 3. Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK, et al. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):80-90. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030060.</u>
- 4. Shetty AK. Mesenchymal stem cell infusion shows promise for combating coronavirus (COVID-19)-induced pneumonia. *Aging Dis*. 2020;11(2):462-464. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32257554.</u>
- 5. Marovich M, Mascola JR, Cohen MS. Monoclonal antibodies for prevention and treatment of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32539093.</u>
- 6. Horby P, Shen Lim W, Emberson J, et al. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary report. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.22.20137273v1</u>.
- Shakoory B, Carcillo JA, Chatham WW, et al. Interleukin-1 receptor blockade is associated with reduced mortality in sepsis patients with features of macrophage activation syndrome: reanalysis of a prior Phase III trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2016;44(2):275-281. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26584195</u>.

99

- 8. Xu X, Han M, Li T, et al. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32350134</u>.
- 9. Zhou Q, Wei X, Xiang X, et al. Interferon-a2b treatment for COVID-19. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.06.20042580v1.</u>
- Cao Y, Wei J, Zou L, et al. Ruxolitinib in treatment of severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a multicenter, single-blind, randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020;146(1):137-146. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32470486.</u>

# Blood-Derived Products Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

- There are insufficient data for the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) to recommend either for or against the use of the following blood-derived products for the treatment of COVID-19:
  - COVID-19 convalescent plasma
  - Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) immunoglobulins
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of the following blood-derived products for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial:
  - Mesenchymal stem cells (All)
  - Non-SARS-CoV-2-specific intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) (AIII). This recommendation should not preclude the use of IVIG when it is otherwise indicated for the treatment of complications that arise during the course of COVID-19.

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

### **Convalescent Plasma**

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Plasma from donors who have recovered from COVID-19 may contain antibodies to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that may help suppress the virus and modify the inflammatory response.1

#### **Recommendation**

• There are insufficient data for the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) to recommend either for or against the use of COVID-19 convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### **Rationale for Recommendation**

Currently, there are insufficient data from well-controlled, adequately powered, randomized clinical trials to evaluate the efficacy and safety of convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19. However, >70,000 patients in the United States have received COVID-19 convalescent plasma through the Mayo Clinic's Expanded Access Program (EAP), which was designed primarily to provide broad access to investigational convalescent plasma and thus did not include an untreated control arm. Both the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Mayo Clinic performed retrospective, indirect evaluations of efficacy by using the Mayo Clinic EAP data, hypothesizing that patients who received plasma units with higher titers of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies would have better clinical outcomes than those who received plasma units with lower antibody titers. The results of their analyses suggest that convalescent plasma with high antibody titers may be more beneficial than low-titer plasma in nonintubated patients, particularly when administered within 72 hours of COVID-19 diagnosis.

The FDA determined that these findings-along with additional data from small randomized and nonrandomized studies, observational cohorts, and animal experiments-met the criteria for Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) issuance.<sup>2,3</sup> Despite meeting the "may be effective" criterion for EUA issuance, the EAP analyses are not sufficient to establish the efficacy or safety of convalescent plasma due to the lack of a randomized, untreated control group and potential confounding. There is no widely available and generally agreed-upon best test for measuring neutralizing antibodies, and the antibody titers of plasma from patients who have recovered from COVID-19 are highly variable. Furthermore, hospitalized patients with COVID-19 may already have SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody titers that are comparable to those of plasma donors, potentially limiting the benefit of convalescent plasma in this patient population.<sup>4,5</sup> Several randomized, placebo-controlled trials of COVID-19 convalescent plasma are ongoing.

The Panel's assessment of the EAP data is consistent with the FDA statements in the convalescent plasma EUA documents.<sup>3,6,7</sup>

#### Proposed Mechanism of Action and Rationale for Use in Patients With COVID-19

#### Adverse Effects

Before administering convalescent plasma to patients with a history of severe allergic or anaphylactic transfusion reactions, the Panel recommends consulting a transfusion medicine specialist who is associated with the hospital blood bank.

The available data suggest that serious adverse reactions following the administration of COVID-19 convalescent plasma are infrequent and consistent with the risks associated with plasma infusions for other indications. These risks include transfusion-transmitted infections (e.g., human immunodeficiency COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines 102

virus [HIV], hepatitis B, hepatitis C), allergic reactions, anaphylactic reactions, febrile nonhemolytic reactions, transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI), transfusion-associated circulatory overload (TACO), and hemolytic reactions. Hypothermia, metabolic complications, and post-transfusion purpura have also been described.<sup>7</sup>

Additional risks include a theoretical risk of antibody-dependent enhancement and a theoretical risk of suppressed long-term immunity.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

The safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 convalescent plasma during pregnancy have not been evaluated. Several ongoing clinical trials that are evaluating COVID-19 convalescent plasma include pregnant individuals.

#### **Considerations in Children**

The safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 convalescent plasma have not been evaluated in pediatric patients. Clinical trials of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in children are ongoing.

#### **Product Availability**

On August 23, 2020, the FDA authorized the use of convalescent plasma for the treatment of hospitalized patients with COVID-19.<sup>3</sup> Both High Titer (i.e., Ortho VITROS SARS-CoV-2 IgG tested with signal-to-cutoff ratio  $\geq$ 12) and Low Titer COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma are authorized for use.<sup>6,7</sup> Access to convalescent plasma is no longer available through the Mayo Clinic EAP, which was discontinued on August 28, 2020. Please refer to the FDA's Recommendations for Investigational COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma website for guidance on the transfusion of investigational convalescent plasma while blood establishments develop the necessary operating procedures to manufacture COVID-19 convalescent plasma in accordance with the Conditions of Authorization set forth in the EUA.

People who have been fully recovered from COVID-19 for  $\geq 2$  weeks and who are interested in donating plasma can contact their local blood donation or plasma collection center or refer to the FDA's <u>Donate</u> <u>COVID-19 Plasma</u> website.

#### **Clinical Trials**

Randomized clinical trials that are evaluating convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19 are underway; a list is available at <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov</u>*.</u>

#### **Clinical Data to Date**

## *Open-Label Randomized Clinical Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Hospitalized Patients With Severe or Life-Threatening COVID-19*

An open-label randomized clinical trial of convalescent plasma versus standard of care for patients with severe or life-threatening laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 was conducted in Wuhan, China, from February 14 to April 1, 2020. The primary outcome was time to clinical improvement within 28 days. Only plasma units with a SARS-CoV-2 viral spike-receptor binding domain-specific IgG titer of at least 1:640 were transfused. The median time from symptom onset to study randomization was 27 days in the treatment group and 30 days in the control group.<sup>8</sup>

Due to the decreasing incidence of COVID-19 in Wuhan, the trial was terminated early after 103 of the planned 200 patients were enrolled. There was no significant difference between the treatment and control groups in time to clinical improvement within 28 days (HR 1.40; 95% CI, 0.79–2.49; P = 0.26). Among

those with severe disease, 91% of the convalescent plasma recipients and 68% of the control patients improved by Day 28 (difference of 23%; OR 1.34; 95% CI, 0. 98–1.83; P = 0.07). Among those with life-threatening disease, the proportion of patients who showed clinical improvement was similar between the treatment (21%) and control (24%) groups. There was no significant difference in mortality (16% vs. 24% of patients in the treatment and control groups, respectively; P = 0.30). At 24 hours, the rates of negative SARS-CoV-2 viral polymerase chain reaction were significantly higher in the convalescent plasma group (45%) than in the control group (15%; P = 0.003), and differences persisted at 72 hours.

#### Limitations

The study was not blinded, and, on average, convalescent plasma was administered approximately 1 month into the disease course. Also, the study was terminated early, and thus lacked sufficient power to detect differences in clinical outcomes between the study groups.

## *Open-Label Randomized, Multicenter Clinical Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 (ConCOVID Study)*

#### This study has not been peer reviewed.

An open-label randomized clinical trial of convalescent plasma versus standard of care for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 was conducted in 14 hospitals in the Netherlands from April 8 to July 1, 2020. Only plasma confirmed to have anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies by a SARS-CoV-2 plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) and a PRNT50 titer  $\geq$ 1:80 was transfused. The primary endpoint was in-hospital mortality up to 60 days after admission.

The trial was halted prematurely by the investigators and the study's data safety monitoring board when the baseline SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody titers of participant and convalescent plasma were found to be comparable, challenging the potential benefit of convalescent plasma for the study patient population. Fifty-three of 66 participants had anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies at baseline despite being symptomatic for a median time of only 10 days. Among 56 participants whose blood was tested using SARS-CoV-2 plaque reduction neutralization testing, 44 (79%) had neutralizing antibody levels that were comparable to those of 115 donors (median titers of 1:160 vs. 1:160, respectively, P = 0.40).When the trial was halted, 86 participants had been enrolled. No differences in mortality (P = 0.95), length of hospital stay (P = 0.68), or disease severity at Day 15 (P = 0.58) were observed between the study arms.<sup>4</sup>

#### Limitations

The study was terminated early, and thus lacked sufficient power to detect differences in clinical outcomes between the study groups.

## *Open-Label Randomized, Multicenter Clinical Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 (PLACID Trial)*

#### This study has not been peer reviewed.

An open-label, randomized clinical trial of convalescent plasma versus standard of care for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 was conducted in 39 tertiary care centers in India from April 22 to July 14, 2020. Patients with confirmed COVID-19 and signs of severe disease with hypoxia were eligible if matched donor plasma was available at the time of enrollment. Critically ill patients (those with a ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen [PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub>] <200 mmHg or shock) were excluded. The primary outcome was time to disease progression through 28 days (i.e., to PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> <100 mmHg) or all-cause mortality at 28 days. Participants in the intervention arm received two doses of 200 mL plasma, transfused 24 hours apart. Antibody testing to assess titers of donated plasma was not available when the trial started.

Four-hundred and sixty-four participants were randomized; 235 were randomized into the convalescent plasma arm and 229 were randomized into the standard of care arm. The arms were well-balanced with regard to age (median of 52 years in both arms) and days from symptom onset to enrollment (median of 8 days in both arms). There was no difference in the primary outcome (time to disease progression and 28-day mortality) across the trial arms. The composite outcome occurred in 44 patients (18.7%) in the convalescent plasma arm and 41 (17.9%) in the control arm. Thirty-four participants (14.5%) in the convalescent plasma arm and 31 patients in the control arm (13.6%) died. In each arm, 17 participants progressed to severe disease (7.2% in the convalescent plasma arm vs. 7.4% in the standard of care arm).<sup>5</sup>

#### Limitations

SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing was not used to select donated convalescent plasma units; therefore, many participants may have received units with low titers of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Additionally, the study was not blinded.

#### Prospective Safety Analyses and Retrospective Exploratory Analyses of Outcomes Among Tens of Thousands of Patients Receiving Open-Label COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma Through the Mayo Clinic Expanded Access Program

The Expanded Access to Convalescent Plasma for the Treatment of Patients with COVID-19 program was an open-label, nonrandomized EAP that was primarily designed to provide adult patients who have severe or life-threatening (critical) COVID-19 with access to convalescent plasma. Secondary objectives were to obtain data on the safety of the intervention. Exploratory objectives included assessment of 7-day and 28-day mortality. The program was sponsored by the Mayo Clinic and included a diverse range of clinical sites. SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing of plasma donors and assessment of SARS-CoV-2 neutralization potential were not mandated. Patients were transfused with 1 or 2 units (200–500 mL) of convalescent plasma. The main outcomes for the safety analysis were serious adverse events (SAEs), including death; SAEs were reported at 4 hours and at 7 days after transfusion, or as they occurred.<sup>3,6,9,10</sup>

A peer-reviewed publication described the safety outcomes for the first 20,000 EAP plasma recipients, enrolled between April 3 and June 2, 2020.<sup>9</sup> One-third of the participants were aged  $\geq$ 70 years, 60% were men, and 71% had severe or life-threatening COVID-19. Twenty percent of the participants were African American, 35% were Hispanic/Latino, and 5% were Asian. Thirteen deaths were assessed as possibly or probably related to the convalescent plasma treatment. The 83 nonfatal SAEs that were assessed as possibly or probably related to the convalescent plasma treatment included 37 TACO events, 20 TRALI events, and 26 severe allergic reactions. The life-threatening events that were reported up to 7 days after transfusion included 87 thrombotic/thromboembolic complications, 406 sustained hypotension events, and 643 cardiac events. The overall mortality rate was 8.6% at 7 days.

Both the FDA and the Mayo Clinic performed retrospective, indirect evaluations of the efficacy of COVID-19 convalescent plasma by using subsets of EAP data, hypothesizing that patients who received plasma units with higher titers of neutralizing antibodies would have better clinical outcomes than those who received plasma units with lower titers of antibodies. This analytic approach was not prespecified in the Mayo Clinic EAP protocol.

The FDA analysis included 4,330 patients, and donor neutralizing antibody titers were measured by the Broad Institute using a pseudovirus assay.<sup>6</sup> The analysis revealed no difference in 7-day mortality between the patients who received high-titer plasma and those who received low-titer plasma, in the patient population overall, or in the subset of patients who were intubated. However, among nonintubated patients (approximately two-thirds of those analyzed), mortality within 7 days of transfusion was 11% for those who received high-titer plasma and 14% for those who received low-titer plasma (P = 0.03).<sup>3</sup> In a post hoc analysis of patients aged <80 years who were not intubated and who

were treated within 72 hours of COVID-19 diagnosis, 7-day mortality was lower among the patients who received high-titer plasma than among those who received low-titer plasma (6.3% vs. 11.3%, respectively; P = 0.0008).<sup>6</sup>

A similar efficacy analysis by the Mayo Clinic, which has not been peer reviewed, included 3,082 participants who received a single unit of plasma out of the 35,322 participants who had received plasma through the EAP by July 4, 2020. Antibody titers were measured by using the Ortho Clinical Diagnostics COVID-19 IgG assay, and outcomes in patients transfused with low- (lowest 18%), medium-, and high- (highest 17%) titer plasma were compared. After adjusting for baseline characteristics, the 30-day mortality in the low-titer group was 29% and 25% in the high-titer group. This difference did not reach statistical significance. Similar to the FDA analyses, post hoc subgroup analyses suggested a benefit of high-titer plasma in patients aged <80 years who received plasma within 3 days of COVID-19 diagnosis and who were not intubated.<sup>10</sup>

#### Limitations

- The lack of an untreated control arm limits interpretation of the safety and efficacy data. For example, the possibility that differences in outcomes are attributable to harm from low-titer plasma rather than benefit from high-titer plasma cannot be excluded.
- The EAP data may be subject to multiple confounders, including regional differences and temporal trends in the management of COVID-19.
- There is no widely available and generally agreed-upon best test for measuring neutralizing antibodies, and the antibody titers in convalescent plasma from patients who have recovered from COVID-19 are highly variable.
- The efficacy analyses rely on a subset of EAP patients who only represent a fraction of the patients who received convalescent plasma through the EAP.
- The subgroup that demonstrated the largest estimated effect between high-titer and low-titer convalescent plasma—patients aged <80 years who were not intubated and who were transfused within 3 days of COVID-19 diagnosis—was selected post hoc by combining several subset rules which favored subgroups that showed a trend toward benefit of high-titer plasma. This approach tends to overestimate the treatment effect.
- The FDA analysis relied on 7-day mortality, which may not be clinically meaningful in the context of the prolonged disease course of COVID-19. Because participants in this observational study were not rigorously followed after they were discharged from the hospital, the 30-day mortality estimates are uncertain.

#### Other Clinical Studies of COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma

The results of retrospective case-controlled studies that evaluated outcomes among COVID-19 convalescent plasma recipients have been published.<sup>11</sup> In one such study of patients who were hospitalized between March 24 and April 8, 2020, at Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City, outcomes among 39 consecutive patients who received convalescent plasma with a SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike antibody titer of 1:320 were compared to outcomes among 156 propensity-score-matched controls. As of May 1, 2020, 13% of the plasma recipients and 24% of the matched control patients had died (P = 0.04, log-rank test), and 72% and 67% of the transfused patients and control patients, respectively, had been discharged from the hospital. Subgroup analyses suggested a benefit of convalescent plasma among patients who were not intubated, had a shorter duration of symptoms, and received therapeutic anticoagulation.

Another study compared convalescent plasma with standard of care in patients with COVID-19 who were hospitalized between March 28 and July 6, 2020, at eight Houston Methodist hospitals. Outcomes for the

first 136 convalescent plasma recipients who reached Day 28 post-transfusion were compared with the outcomes for two sets of propensity-score matched controls at 28 days after admission. The analyses suggested a trend towards benefit of convalescent plasma, with larger differences in mortality seen primarily among subgroups of patients who were transfused early (i.e., within 72 hours of admission) with high-titer plasma (i.e., anti-spike protein receptor binding domain titer  $\geq 1:1350$ ).<sup>12</sup>

Other smaller, uncontrolled case series that describe clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19 have been reported and also suggest that SAEs are uncommon following COVID-19 convalescent plasma treatment.<sup>1,13-18</sup>

#### Clinical Data for Other Viral Infections

The use of convalescent plasma has been evaluated for other viral diseases, such as SARS, with some suggestion of potential benefit.<sup>19-21</sup> The only randomized controlled trial that demonstrated efficacy of convalescent plasma for an infectious disease was conducted more than 40 years ago, for treating Argentine hemorrhagic fever.<sup>22</sup> No convalescent plasma products are currently approved by the FDA for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### References

- 1. Wang X, Guo X, Xin Q, et al. Neutralizing antibodies responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 inpatients and convalescent patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32497196</u>.
- 2. Food and Drug Administration. Convalescent plasma letter of authorization. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/141477/download</u>. Accessed August 31, 2020.
- 3. Food and Drug Administration. EUA 26382: Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) decision memo. 2020 Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/141480/download</u>. Accessed August 31, 2020.
- 4. Gharbharan A, Jordans CCE, GeurtsvanKessel C, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.01.20139857v1</u>.
- Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, et al. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate COVID-19 in India: an open-label parallel-arm phase II multicentre randomized controlled trial (PLACID Trial). *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.09.03.20187252v2</u>.
- 6. Food and Drug Administration. EUA 26382: emergency use authorization (EUA) request. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.fda.gov/media/141481/download">https://www.fda.gov/media/141481/download</a>.
- Food and Drug Administration. EUA of COVID-19 convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients: fact sheet for health care providers. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/</u> media/141478/download. Accessed September 22, 2020.
- 8. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on time to clinical improvement in patients with severe and life-threatening COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32492084</u>.
- 9. Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, et al. Safety update: COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 20,000 hospitalized patients. Mayo Clinical Proceedings. 2020. Available at: <u>https://mayoclinicproceedings.org/pb/assets/raw/Health%20Advance/journals/jmcp\_ft95\_6\_8.pdf</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 10. Joyner MJ, Senefeld JW, Klassen SA, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma on mortality among hospitalized patients with COVID-19: initial three-month experience. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32817978</u>.
- 11. Liu STH, Lin HM, Baine I, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment of severe COVID-19: a propensity scorematched control study. *Nat Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32934372</u>.
- 12. Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA, et al. Treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 patients with

convalescent plasma reveals a signal of significantly decreased mortality. *Am J Pathol*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32795424</u>.

- 13. Salazar E, Perez KK, Ashraf M, et al. Treatment of COVID-19 patients with convalescent plasma in Houston, Texas. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511574</u>.
- Ahn JY, Sohn Y, Lee SH, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in two COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2020;35(14):e149. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.</u> <u>nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32281317</u>.
- 15. Pei S, Yuan X, Zhang Z, et al. Convalescent plasma to treat COVID-19: Chinese strategy and experiences. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.07.20056440v1</u>.
- Ye M, Fu D, Ren Y, et al. Treatment with convalescent plasma for COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32293713</u>.
- 17. Zeng Q, Yu Z, Gou J, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on viral shedding and survival in COVID-19 patients. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2020;In press. Available at: <u>https://academic.oup.com/jid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiaa228/5826985</u>.
- Duan K, Liu B, Li C, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19 patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32253318</u>.
- 19. Burnouf T, Radosevich M. Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with convalescent plasma. Hong Kong Med J. 2003;9(4):309; author reply 310. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12904626.
- 20. Cheng Y, Wong R, Soo YO, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2005;24(1):44-46. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15616839</u>.
- 21. Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK, et al. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):80-90. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030060</u>.
- 22. Maiztegui JI, Fernandez NJ, de Damilano AJ. Efficacy of immune plasma in treatment of Argentine haemorrhagic fever and association between treatment and a late neurological syndrome. *Lancet*. 1979;2(8154):1216-1217. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/92624</u>.

## Immunoglobulins: SARS-CoV-2 Specific

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### Recommendation

• There are insufficient data for the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel to recommend either for or against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) immunoglobulins for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### Rationale

Currently, there are no clinical data on the use of SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins. Trials evaluating SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins are in development but not yet active and enrolling participants.

#### Proposed Mechanism of Action and Rationale for Use in Patients with COVID-19

Concentrated antibody preparations derived from pooled plasma collected from individuals who have recovered from COVID-19 can be manufactured as SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin, which could potentially suppress the virus and modify the inflammatory response. The use of virus-specific immunoglobulins for other viral infections (e.g., cytomegalovirus [CMV] immunoglobulin for the prevention of post-transplant CMV infection and varicella zoster immunoglobulin for postexposure prophylaxis of varicella in individuals at high-risk) has proven to be safe and effective; however, there are currently no clinical data on the use of such products for COVID-19. Potential risks may include transfusion reactions. Theoretical risks may include antibody-dependent enhancement of infection.

#### **Clinical Data**

There are no clinical data on the use of SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins for the treatment of COVID-19. Similarly, there are no clinical data on use of specific immunoglobulin or hyperimmunoglobulin products in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) or Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS).

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

Pathogen-specific immunoglobulins are used clinically during pregnancy to prevent varicella zoster virus (VZV) and rabies and have also been used in clinical trials of therapies for congenital CMV infection.

#### **Considerations in Children**

Hyperimmunoglobulin has been used to treat several viral infections in children, including VZV, respiratory syncytial virus, and CMV; efficacy data on their use for other respiratory viruses is limited.

## Immunoglobulins: Non-SARS-CoV-2 Specific

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### Recommendation

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel recommends against the use of non-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-specific intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII). This recommendation should not preclude the use of IVIG when otherwise indicated for the treatment of complications that arise during the course of COVID-19.

#### **Rationale for Recommendation**

Currently, only a small proportion of the U.S. population has been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, it is unknown whether products derived from the plasma of donors without confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection contain high titer of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Furthermore, although other blood components in IVIG may have general immunomodulatory effects, it is unclear whether these theoretical effects will benefit patients with COVID-19.

#### **Clinical Data for COVID-19**

#### This study has not been peer reviewed.

A retrospective, non-randomized cohort study of IVIG for the treatment of COVID-19 was conducted across eight treatment centers in China between December 2019 and March 2020. The study showed no difference in 28-day or 60-day mortality between 174 patients who received IVIG and 151 patients who did not receive IVIG.<sup>1</sup> More patients in the IVIG group had severe disease at study entry (71 patients [41%] with critical status in the IVIG group vs. 32 patients [21%] in the non-IVIG group). The median hospital stay was longer in the IVIG group (24 days) than in the non-IVIG group (16 days), and the median duration of disease was also longer (31 days in the IVIG group vs. 23 days in the non-IVIG group). A subgroup analysis that was limited to the critically ill patients suggested a mortality benefit at 28 days, which was no longer significant at 60 days.

The results of this study are difficult to interpret because of important limitations in the study design. In particular, patients were not randomized to receive either IVIG or no IVIG, and the patients in the IVIG group were older and more likely to have coronary heart disease than those in the non-IVG group. In addition, the IVIG group had a higher proportion of patients with severe COVID-19 disease at study entry. Patients in both groups also received many concomitant therapies for COVID-19.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

IVIG is commonly used in pregnancy for other indications such as immune thrombocytopenia with an acceptable safety profile.<sup>2,3</sup>

#### **Considerations in Children**

IVIG has been widely used in children for the treatment of a number of conditions. including Kawasaki disease, and is generally safe.<sup>4</sup> IVIG has been used in pediatric patients with COVID-19 and multiorgan inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C), especially those with a Kawasaki disease-like presentation, but the efficacy of IVIG in the management of MIS-C is still under investigation.

#### References

- 1. Shao Z, Feng Y, Zhong L, et al. Clinical efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in critical patients with COVID-19: A multicenter retrospective cohort study. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.11.20061739v2</u>.
- Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics. ACOG practice bulletin No. 207: thrombocytopenia in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;133(3):e181-e193. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30801473</u>.
- Neunert C, Lim W, Crowther M, et al. The American Society of Hematology 2011 evidence-based practice guideline for immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2011;117(16):4190-4207. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/21325604</u>.
- 4. Agarwal S, Agrawal DK. Kawasaki disease: etiopathogenesis and novel treatment strategies. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol*. 2017;13(3):247-258. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27590181.

## **Mesenchymal Stem Cells**

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Mesenchymal stem cells are investigational products that have been studied extensively for broad clinical applications in regenerative medicine<sup>1</sup> and for their immunomodulatory properties.<sup>2</sup> It is hypothesized that mesenchymal stem cells could reduce the acute lung injury and inhibit the cell-mediated inflammatory response induced by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).

#### Recommendation

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel **recommends against** the use of **mesenchymal stem cells** for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AII).

#### **Rationale for Recommendation**

No mesenchymal stem cells are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of COVID-19. There are insufficient data to assess the role of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of COVID-19.

The FDA has recently issued several warnings about patients being potentially vulnerable to stem cell treatments that are illegal and potentially harmful.<sup>3</sup> Several cord blood-derived products are currently licensed by the FDA for indications such as the treatment of cancer (e.g., stem cell transplant) or rare genetic diseases, and as scaffolding for cartilage defects and wound beds. None of these products are approved for the treatment of COVID-19 or any other viral disease.<sup>4</sup> In the United States, mesenchymal stem cells **should not be used** for the treatment of COVID-19 outside of an FDA-approved clinical trial, expanded access programs, or an Emergency Investigational New Drug application (**AII**).

#### Rationale for Use in COVID-19

Mesenchymal stem cells are multipotent adult stem cells that are present in most human tissues, including the umbilical cord. Mesenchymal stem cells can self-renew by dividing and can differentiate into multiple types of tissues, including osteoblasts, chondroblasts, adipocytes, hepatocytes, and others, which has led to a robust clinical research agenda in regenerative medicine. It is hypothesized that mesenchymal stem cells could reduce the acute lung injury and inhibit the cell-mediated inflammatory response induced by SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, mesenchymal stem cells lack the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor that SARS-CoV-2 uses for viral entry into cells; therefore, mesenchymal stem cells are resistant to infection.<sup>5,6</sup>

#### **Clinical Data**

Data supporting the use of mesenchymal stem cells in patients with viral infections, including SARS-CoV-2 infection, are limited to case reports and small, open-label studies.

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

• A pilot study of intravenous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in China enrolled 10 patients with confirmed COVID-19 categorized according to the National Health Commission of China criteria as critical, severe, or common type. Seven patients (one with critical illness, four with severe illness, and two with common-type illness) received mesenchymal stem cells; three patients with severe illness received placebo. All seven patients who received mesenchymal stem cells recovered. Among the three severely ill control patients, one died, one developed acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and one remained stable with severe disease.<sup>7</sup>

• A small clinical trial evaluated human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell (hUC-MSC) infusion in patients with severe COVID-19 who had not responded to standard of care therapies after 7 to 10 days of treatment. The standard of care therapies included supplemental oxygen, umifenovir/ oseltamivir, antibiotics if indicated, and glucocorticosteroids. The study was intended as a randomized controlled trial; however, due to the lack of sufficient hUC-MSCs, it was not possible to randomize the participants as originally planned. Among the 41 patients eligible to participate in the study, 12 received hUC-MSC infusion and 29 received standard of care therapies only. The study arms were well balanced with regard to demographic characteristics, laboratory test results, and disease severity. All 12 participants who received hUC-MSC infusion recovered without requiring mechanical ventilation and were discharged to home, whereas four patients who received only standard of care therapies progressed to critical illness requiring mechanical ventilation, and three of these patients died. These results are not statistically significant and interpretation of the study is limited by its lack of randomization and small sample size.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Clinical Data for Other Viral Infections**

• In an open-label study of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of H7N9 influenza in China, 17 patients received mesenchymal stem cell treatment plus standard of care, and 44 patients received standard of care only. In the mesenchymal stem cell group, three patients (17.6%) died; in the control group, 24 patients (54.5%) died. The 5-year follow-up was limited to five patients in the mesenchymal stem cell group. No safety concerns were identified.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Clinical Trials**

See <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for a list of clinical trials evaluating mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of COVID-19, COVID-19-related ARDS, and COVID-19-associated multiorgan system inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C).

#### **Adverse Effects**

Risks associated with mesenchymal stem cell transfusion appear to be uncommon. The potential risks include failure of the cells to work as expected, potential for mesenchymal stem cells to multiply or change into inappropriate cell types, product contamination, growth of tumors, infections, thrombus formation, and administration site reactions.<sup>10</sup>

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

There are insufficient data to assess the risk of mesenchymal stem cell use during pregnancy.

#### **Considerations in Children**

There are insufficient data on the efficacy and safety of mesenchymal stem cell use in children.

#### References

- 1. Samsonraj RM, Raghunath M, Nurcombe V, Hui JH, van Wijnen AJ, Cool SM. Concise review: multifaceted characterization of human mesenchymal stem cells for use in regenerative medicine. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2017;6(12):2173-2185. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29076267</u>.
- 2. Li N, Hua J. Interactions between mesenchymal stem cells and the immune system. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2017;74(13):2345-2360. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28214990</u>.
- 3. Food and Drug Administration. FDA warns about stem cell therapies. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/</u> <u>consumers/consumer-updates/fda-warns-about-stem-cell-therapies</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.

- 4. Food and Drug Administration. Approved cellular and gene therapy products. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/cellular-gene-therapy-products/approved-cellular-and-gene-therapy-products</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 5. Lukomska B, Stanaszek L, Zuba-Surma E, Legosz P, Sarzynska S, Drela K. Challenges and controversies in human mesenchymal stem cell therapy. *Stem Cells Int*. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31093291</u>.
- 6. Shetty AK. Mesenchymal stem cell infusion shows promise for combating coronavirus (COVID-19)-induced pneumonia. *Aging Dis*. 2020;11(2):462-464. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32257554</u>.
- Leng Z, Zhu R, Hou W, et al. Transplantation of ACE2(-) mesenchymal stem cells improves the outcome of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Aging Dis*. 2020;11(2):216-228. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32257537</u>.
- 8. Shu L, Niu C, Li R, et al. Treatment of severe COVID-19 with human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2020;11(1):361. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32811531</u>.
- 9. Chen J, Hu C, Chen L, et al. Clinical study of mesenchymal stem cell treating acute respiratory distress syndrome induced by epidemic Influenza A (H7N9) infection, a hint for COVID-19 treatment. *Engineering (Beijing)*. 2020. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32292627.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Stem cell and exosome products. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/hai/outbreaks/stem-cell-products.html</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.

# Immunomodulators Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19

Last Updated: August 27, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

#### Dexamethasone

- On the basis of the preliminary report from the Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using **dexamethasone** 6 mg per day for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first, for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients who are mechanically ventilated (**AI**) and in hospitalized patients who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (**BI**).
- The Panel **recommends against** using **dexamethasone** for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who do not require supplemental oxygen (AI).
- If dexamethasone is not available, the Panel recommends using alternative glucocorticoids such as prednisone, methylprednisolone, or hydrocortisone (see Additional Considerations in the <u>Corticosteroids</u> section for dosing recommendations) (AIII).

#### **Other Immunomodulators**

There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of the following immunomodulators for the treatment of COVID-19:

- Interleukin (IL)-1 inhibitors (e.g., anakinra)
- Interferon beta for the treatment of early (i.e., <7 days from symptom onset) mild and moderate COVID-19.

The Panel **recommends agains**t the use of the following immunomodulators for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial:

- Anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibodies (e.g., **sarilumab**, **tocilizumab**) or anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody (**siltuximab**) (**BI**).
- Interferons (alfa or beta) for the treatment of severely or critically ill patients with COVID-19 (AIII).
- Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g., acalabrutinib, ibrutinib, zanubrutinib) and Janus kinase inhibitors (e.g., baricitinib, ruxolitinib, tofacitinib) (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

### Corticosteroids

#### Last Updated: August 27, 2020

Patients with severe COVID-19 can develop a systemic inflammatory response that can lead to lung injury and multisystem organ dysfunction. It has been proposed that the potent anti-inflammatory effects of corticosteroids might prevent or mitigate these deleterious effects. The Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial, a multicenter, randomized, open-label trial in hospitalized patients with COVID-19, showed that the mortality rate was lower among patients who were randomized to receive dexamethasone than among those who received the standard of care.<sup>1</sup> This benefit was observed in patients who required supplemental oxygen at enrollment. No benefit of dexamethasone was seen in patients who did not require supplemental oxygen at enrollment. Details of the RECOVERY trial are discussed in Clinical Data to Date below.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Recommendations for Patients With COVID-19**

- On the basis of the preliminary report from the RECOVERY trial, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using **dexamethasone** 6 mg per day for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first, for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients who are mechanically ventilated (AI) and in hospitalized patients who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (**BI**).
- The Panel **recommends against** using **dexamethasone** for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who do not require supplemental oxygen (AI).
- If **dexamethasone** is not available, the Panel recommends using alternative glucocorticoids such as **prednisone**, **methylprednisolone**, or **hydrocortisone** (see Additional Considerations below for dosing recommendations) (AIII).

#### **Rationale for Use in Patients With COVID-19**

Both beneficial and deleterious clinical outcomes have been reported with use of corticosteroids (mostly prednisone or methylprednisolone) in patients with other pulmonary infections. In patients with *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia and hypoxia, prednisone therapy reduced the risk of death;<sup>2</sup> however, in outbreaks of other novel coronavirus infections (i.e., Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS] and severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS]), corticosteroid therapy was associated with delayed virus clearance.<sup>3,4</sup> In severe pneumonia caused by influenza viruses, corticosteroid therapy appears to result in worse clinical outcomes, including secondary bacterial infection and death.<sup>5</sup>

Corticosteroids have been studied in critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) with conflicting results.<sup>6-8</sup> Seven randomized, controlled trials that included 851 patients evaluated use of corticosteroids in patients with ARDS.<sup>7-13</sup> A meta-analysis of these trial results demonstrated that, compared with placebo, corticosteroid therapy reduced the risk of all-cause mortality (risk ratio 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59–0.95) and duration of mechanical ventilation (mean difference, -4.93 days; 95% CI, -7.81 to -2.06 days).<sup>14,15</sup>

#### Monitoring, Adverse Effects, and Drug-Drug Interactions

- Clinicians should closely monitor patients with COVID-19 who are receiving dexamethasone for adverse effects (e.g., hyperglycemia, secondary infections, psychiatric effects, avascular necrosis).
- Prolonged use of systemic corticosteroids may increase the risk of reactivation of latent infections (e.g., hepatitis B virus [HBV], herpesvirus infections, strongyloidiasis, tuberculosis).

- The risk of reactivation of latent infections for a 10-day course of dexamethasone (6 mg once daily) is not well-defined. When initiating dexamethasone, appropriate screening and treatment to reduce the risk of *Strongyloides* hyperinfection in patients at high risk of strongyloidiasis (e.g., patients from tropical, subtropical, or warm, temperate regions or those engaged in agricultural activities) or fulminant reactivations of HBV should be considered.<sup>16-19</sup>
- Dexamethasone is a moderate cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 inducer. As such, it may reduce the concentration and potential efficacy of concomitant medications that are CYP3A4 substrates. Clinicians should review a patient's medication regimen to assess potential interactions.
- Coadministration of remdesivir and dexamethasone has not been formally studied, but a clinically significant pharmacokinetic interaction is not predicted.
- Dexamethasone should be continued for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first.

#### **Additional Considerations**

- Whether use of other corticosteroids (e.g., prednisone, methylprednisolone, hydrocortisone) for the treatment of COVID-19 provides the same benefit as dexamethasone is unclear. The total daily dose equivalencies for these drugs to dexamethasone 6 mg (oral or intravenous [IV])<sup>20</sup> are:
  - Prednisone 40 mg
  - Methylprednisolone 32 mg
  - Hydrocortisone 160 mg
- Half-life, duration of action, and frequency of administration vary among corticosteroids.
  - Long-acting corticosteroid: dexamethasone; half-life: 36 to 72 hours, administer once daily.
  - *Intermediate-acting corticosteroids:* prednisone and methylprednisolone; half-life: 12 to 36 hours, administer once daily or in two divided doses daily.
  - *Short-acting corticosteroid:* hydrocortisone; half-life: 8 to 12 hours, administer in two to four divided doses daily.
- Hydrocortisone is commonly used to manage septic shock in patients with COVID-19; please refer to the <u>Critical Care</u> section for more information. Unlike other corticosteroids previously studied in ARDS, dexamethasone lacks mineralocorticoid activity and thus has minimal effect on sodium balance and fluid volume.<sup>10</sup>

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

A short course of betamethasone and dexamethasone, which are known to cross the placenta, is routinely used to decrease neonatal complications of prematurity in women with threatened preterm delivery.<sup>21,22</sup>

Given the potential benefit of decreased maternal mortality, and the low risk of fetal adverse effects for a short course of dexamethasone therapy, the Panel recommends using **dexamethasone** in hospitalized pregnant women with COVID-19 who are mechanically ventilated (AIII) or who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (BIII).

#### **Considerations in Children**

The safety and effectiveness of dexamethasone or other corticosteroids for COVID-19 treatment have not been sufficiently evaluated in pediatric patients. Importantly, the RECOVERY trial did not include a significant number of pediatric patients, and mortality rates are significantly lower among pediatric patients with COVID-19 than among adult patients with the disease. Thus, caution is warranted when extrapolating the results of this trial to patients aged <18 years. Dexamethasone may be beneficial in pediatric patients with COVID-19 respiratory disease who require mechanical ventilation. Use of dexamethasone in patients who require other forms of supplemental oxygen support should be considered on a case-by-case basis and is generally not recommended for pediatric patients who require only low levels of oxygen support (i.e., nasal cannula only). Additional studies are needed to evaluate the use of steroids for the treatment of COVID-19 in pediatric patients, including for multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C).

#### **Clinical Data to Date**

#### Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial of Dexamethasone Versus Standard of Care in Hospitalized Patients

#### Study Design

The RECOVERY study is an ongoing, multicenter, open-label, adaptive trial sponsored by the National Health Service in the United Kingdom. Eligible participants were randomized to receive one of several potential treatments for COVID-19 plus the standard of care or standard of care alone. In one of the study arms, dexamethasone 6 mg daily was administered either orally or intravenously for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, whichever came first. The primary study endpoint was all-cause mortality at 28 days after randomization. Secondary endpoints included time to hospital discharge, cause-specific mortality, need for renal replacement, major cardiac arrhythmia, and receipt and duration of ventilation.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Study Population**

Hospitalized patients in the United Kingdom with clinically suspected COVID-19 or laboratoryconfirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection were eligible for enrollment. Patients were not enrolled into the dexamethasone study arm (or included in the analysis) if their physicians determined that the risks of participation were too great based on their medical history or that corticosteroid therapy was indicated. Recruitment into the dexamethasone arm was stopped by the study steering committee on June 8, 2020, when enough participants were enrolled to assess the benefit of dexamethasone therapy.

#### **Preliminary Results**

#### Participant characteristics:

- The preliminary analysis included 6,425 participants: 2,104 participants in the dexamethasone arm and 4,321 in the standard of care alone arm.
- SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by laboratory testing in 89% of the participants.
- The mean age of the participants was 66.1 years, 64% of participants were male, and 56% had at least one major comorbidity, including 24% who had diabetes.
- At enrollment, 16% of participants required invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, 60% had received supplemental oxygen but not invasive ventilation, and 24% required no oxygen supplementation.
- Few participants received remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir/ritonavir, or tocilizumab (0% to 3% of participants in both arms); approximately 8% of participants in the standard of care alone arm received dexamethasone after randomization. Use of azithromycin was balanced in both arms (24% in the dexamethasone arm vs. 25% in the standard of care alone arm).

#### Study endpoint analyses:

• Overall, 22.9% of participants in the dexamethasone arm and 25.7% in the standard of care alone arm died within 28 days of study enrollment (age-adjusted rate ratio 0.83; 95% CI, 0.75–0.93; P < 0.001).

- There was an interaction between baseline severity of COVID-19 and the treatment effect of dexamethasone.
  - Survival benefit appeared greatest among participants who required invasive mechanical ventilation at randomization: 29.3% of participants in the dexamethasone arm died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 41.4% in the standard of care alone arm (rate ratio 0.64; 95% CI, 0.51–0.81).
  - Among patients who required supplemental oxygen but not mechanical ventilation at enrollment, 23.3% of participants in the dexamethasone arm and 26.2% in the standard of care alone arm died within 28 days of enrollment (rate ratio 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72–0.94).
  - No survival benefit was seen among participants who did not require oxygen therapy at enrollment; 17.8% of participants in the dexamethasone arm and 14.0% in the standard of care alone arm died within 28 days of enrollment (rate ratio 1.19; 95% CI, 0.91–1.55).
- The risk of progression to invasive mechanical ventilation was lower in the dexamethasone group than in the standard of care alone group (rate ratio 0.77; 95% CI, 0.62–0.95).
- Results for several secondary endpoints (e.g., cause-specific mortality, need for renal replacement, major cardiac arrhythmia) have not yet been reported.

#### Limitations

- The study was randomized, but open label.
- In this preliminary report, the results for key secondary endpoints, potential adverse events, and efficacy of dexamethasone in key subgroups (e.g., patients with comorbidities) have not been reported.
- Study participants with COVID-19 who, according to their providers, required oxygen but not mechanical ventilation were a heterogeneous group of patients with respect to their severity of illness; it is unclear whether use of dexamethasone will be beneficial for other participant subsets (e.g., those who require lower rather than higher levels of supplemental oxygen). There were also no standardized or objective criteria for oxygen supplementation.
- The age distribution of participants differed by respiratory status at randomization. The participants who received mechanical ventilation were more likely to be aged <70 years. Among the participants who were aged >80 years, only 1% were mechanically ventilated, while 62% and 37% were in the oxygen group and no oxygen group, respectively. Therefore, the survival benefit of dexamethasone for mechanically ventilated patients aged >80 years is unknown.
- Remdesivir was used in only five patients in the RECOVERY trial; therefore, the safety and efficacy of coadministering remdesivir and dexamethasone are not known.
- Very few pediatric or pregnant patients with COVID-19 were included in the RECOVERY trial; therefore, the safety and efficacy of dexamethasone for the treatment of COVID-19 in children or in pregnant individuals are unknown.

#### Interpretation

In patients with severe COVID-19 who required oxygen support, the use of dexamethasone 6 mg daily for up to 10 days reduced mortality at 28 days in a preliminary analysis. The benefit of dexamethasone was most apparent in hospitalized patients who were mechanically ventilated. There was no observed benefit of dexamethasone in patients who did not require oxygen support. Further clarity on the mortality benefit of dexamethasone by baseline levels of oxygenation, age, sex, comorbidities, and/ or duration of symptoms would better inform application of these findings. More details regarding the safety of dexamethasone and longer follow-up would assist in interpretation of this study.

#### Other Clinical Studies of Corticosteroid Use in COVID-19

Smaller retrospective cohort and case series studies have yielded conflicting results on the efficacy of corticosteroids for the treatment of COVID-19.<sup>23</sup> Several studies demonstrated the clinical benefit of using low-dose methylprednisolone early in the course of infection, including more rapid resolution of hypoxia, less need for mechanical ventilation, fewer intensive care unit transfers, and shorter hospital stays.<sup>24</sup> Additionally, other studies suggest a benefit of corticosteroids in lowering overall mortality in patients with moderate disease, severe disease, and ARDS,<sup>25-29</sup> which is consistent with results from the RECOVERY study.

Conversely, results reported for other studies, including a meta-analysis of 15 studies in patients with coronavirus infections (e.g., COVID-19, SARS, MERS)<sup>30</sup> and a retrospective review of critically ill patients with COVID-19, suggest an increased risk of multiorgan dysfunction and no mortality benefit (and potentially an increased risk of death) with use of corticosteroids.<sup>31</sup>

These study results should be interpreted with caution, as the studies are retrospective and have methodological problems.

#### **Clinical Trials**

Several clinical trials to evaluate corticosteroids for the treatment of COVID-19 are currently underway or in development. Please see <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for the latest information.

#### References

- 1. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32678530</u>.
- Bozzette SA, Sattler FR, Chiu J, et al. A controlled trial of early adjunctive treatment with corticosteroids for Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. California Collaborative Treatment Group. N Engl J Med. 1990;323(21):1451-1457. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/2233917</u>.
- 3. Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;197(6):757-767. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29161116</u>.
- 4. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(9):e343. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16968120</u>.
- Rodrigo C, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Lim WS. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;3:CD010406. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/26950335</u>.
- Meduri GU, Bridges L, Shih MC, Marik PE, Siemieniuk RAC, Kocak M. Prolonged glucocorticoid treatment is associated with improved ARDS outcomes: analysis of individual patients' data from four randomized trials and trial-level meta-analysis of the updated literature. *Intensive Care Med.* 2016;42(5):829-840. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26508525</u>.
- Meduri GU, Golden E, Freire AX, et al. Methylprednisolone infusion in early severe ARDS: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Chest*. 2007;131(4):954-963. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17426195</u>.
- Steinberg KP, Hudson LD, Goodman RB, et al. Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids for persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354(16):1671-1684. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/16625008</u>.
- 9. Liu L, Li J, Huang YZ, et al. [The effect of stress dose glucocorticoid on patients with acute respiratory *COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines*

distress syndrome combined with critical illness-related corticosteroid insufficiency]. *Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi*. 2012;51(8):599-603. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23158856</u>.

- 10. Villar J, Ferrando C, Martinez D, et al. Dexamethasone treatment for the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8(3):267-276. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32043986</u>.
- Rezk NA, Ibrahim AM. Effects of methyl prednisolone in early ARDS. *Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc*. 2013;62(1):167-172. Available at: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0422763813000265</u>.
- Tongyoo S, Permpikul C, Mongkolpun W, et al. Hydrocortisone treatment in early sepsis-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care*. 2016;20(1):329. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27741949</u>.
- Zhao WB, Wan SX, Gu DF, Shi B. Therapeutic effect of glucocorticoid inhalation for pulmonary fibrosis in ARDS patients. *Medical J Chinese People's Liberation Army*. 2014;39(9):741-745. Available at: <u>http://www.plamj.org/index.php/plamj/article/view/1009</u>.
- Mammen MJ, Aryal K, Alhazzani W, Alexander PE. Corticosteroids for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2020;130(4):276-286. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32186831</u>.
- 15. Alhazzani W, Moller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Crit Care Med.* 2020;48(6):e440-e469. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32224769</u>.
- Stauffer WM, Alpern JD, Walker PF. COVID-19 and dexamethasone: a potential strategy to avoid steroid-related strongyloides hyperinfection. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32761166</u>.
- Liu J, Wang T, Cai Q, et al. Longitudinal changes of liver function and hepatitis B reactivation in COVID-19 patients with pre-existing chronic HBV infection. *Hepatol Res.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32761993</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites—strongyloides: resources for health professionals. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/strongyloides/health\_professionals/index.html</u>. Accessed August 14, 2020.
- 19. Lier AJ, Tuan JL, Davis MW, et al. Case report: disseminated strongyloidiasis in a patient with COVID-19. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2020. Available at: <u>http://www.ajtmh.org/content/journals/10.4269/ajtmh.20-0699</u>.
- Czock D, Keller F, Rasche FM, Haussler U. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of systemically administered glucocorticoids. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2005;44(1):61-98. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15634032</u>.
- Liggins GC, Howie RN. A controlled trial of antepartum glucocorticoid treatment for prevention of the respiratory distress syndrome in premature infants. *Pediatrics*. 1972;50(4):515-525. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4561295</u>.
- Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Thom EA, Blackwell SC, et al. Antenatal betamethasone for women at risk for late preterm delivery. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(14):1311-1320. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26842679</u>.
- Keller MJ, Kitsis EA, Arora S, et al. Effect of systemic glucocorticoids on mortality or mechanical ventilation in patients with COVID-19. *J Hospl Med*. 2020;15(8):489-493. Available at: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32804611</u>.
- 24. Wang Y, Jiang W, He Q, et al. A retrospective cohort study of methylprednisolone therapy in severe patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2020;5(1):57. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32341331</u>.
- 25. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180(7):1-11.

Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32167524.

- Nelson BC, Laracy J, Shoucri S, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with methylprednisolone in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32772069</u>.
- 27. Corral L, Bahamonde A, Arnaiz delas Revillas F, et al. GLUCOCOVID: A controlled trial of methylprednisolone in adults hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.17.20133579v1</u>.
- 28. Fadel R, Morrison AR, Vahia A, et al. Early short course corticosteroids in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32427279</u>.
- Fernandez-Cruz A, Ruiz-Antoran B, Munoz-Gomez A, et al. A retrospective controlled cohort study of the impact of glucocorticoid treatment in SARS-CoV-2 infection mortality. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(9):e01168-20. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32571831</u>.
- Yang Z, Liu J, Zhou Y, Zhao X, Zhao Q, Liu J. The effect of corticosteroid treatment on patients with coronavirus infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect*. 2020;81(1):e13-e20. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32283144</u>.
- 31. Lu X, Chen T, Wang Y, Wang J, Yan F. Adjuvant corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):241. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32430057</u>.

## Interferons (Alfa, Beta)

#### Last Updated: August 27, 2020

Interferons are a family of cytokines with antiviral properties. They have been suggested as a potential treatment for COVID-19 because of their *in vitro* and *in vivo* antiviral properties.

#### Recommendation

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel **recommends against** the use of interferons for the treatment of patients with severe or critical COVID-19, except in a clinical trial **(AIII)**. There are insufficient data to recommend either for or against the use of **interferon beta** for the treatment of early (i.e., <7 days from symptom onset) mild and moderate COVID-19.

#### Rationale

Studies have shown no benefit of interferons in patients with other coronavirus infections (i.e., Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS], severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS]) who have severe or critical disease. In addition, interferons have significant toxicities that outweigh the potential for benefit. Interferons may have antiviral activity early in the course of infection. However, there is insufficient data to assess the potential benefit of interferon use during early disease versus the toxicity risks.

#### **Clinical Data for COVID-19**

#### Interferon Beta-1a

*Press release, July 20, 2020:* A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted in the United Kingdom evaluated inhaled interferon beta-1a (once daily for up to 14 days) in nonventilated patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Compared to the patients receiving placebo (n = 50), the patients receiving inhaled interferon beta-1a (n = 48) were more likely to recover to ambulation without restrictions (HR 2.19; 95% CI, 1.03–4.69; P = 0.04), had decreased odds of developing severe disease (OR 0.21; 95% CI, 0.04–0.97; P = 0.046), and had less breathlessness. Additional detail is required to fully evaluate these findings and their implications. Of note, inhaled interferon beta-1a as used in this study is not commercially available in the United States.<sup>1</sup>

*Preprint manuscript posted online, July 13, 2020:* An open-label, randomized trial at a single center in Iran evaluated subcutaneous interferon beta-1a (three times weekly for 2 weeks) in patients with severe COVID-19. There was no difference in the primary outcome of time to clinical response between the interferon beta-1a group (n = 42) and the control group (n = 39), and there was no difference between the groups in overall length of hospital stay, length of intensive care unit stay, or duration of mechanical ventilation. The reported 28-day overall mortality was lower in the interferon beta-1a group; however, four patients in the interferon beta-1a group who died before receiving the fourth dose of interferon beta-1a were excluded from the analysis, which makes it difficult to interpret these results.<sup>2</sup>

## Combination of Interferon Beta-1b, Lopinavir/Ritonavir, and Ribavirin in the Treatment of Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19

An open-label, Phase 2 clinical trial randomized 127 participants (median age of 52 years) 2:1 to combination antiviral therapy or lopinavir/ritonavir. In the combination antiviral therapy group, the treatment regimen differed by time from symptom onset to hospital admission. Participants hospitalized within 7 days of symptom onset (n = 76) were randomized to triple drug therapy (interferon beta-1b 8 million units administered subcutaneously every other day for up to 7 days total, lopinavir/ritonavir,

and ribavirin); those hospitalized  $\geq$ 7 days after symptom onset (n = 51) were randomized to double therapy (lopinavir/ritonavir and ribavirin) because of concerns regarding potential inflammatory effects of interferon. Patients in the control group received lopinavir/ritonavir alone regardless of the time from symptom onset to hospitalization. The study participants were patients in Hong Kong with confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection who were hospitalized, regardless of disease severity, until they had two negative nasopharyngeal (NP) swab tests.

The time to a negative result on a polymerase chain reaction SARS-CoV-2 test on an NP swab (the primary endpoint) was shorter in the combination therapy group than in the control group (median of 7 days vs. 12 days; P = 0.001). The combination group had more rapid clinical improvement as assessed by the National Early Warning Score (NEWS) 2 and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and a shorter hospital stay (median of 9 days for the combination group vs. 14.5 days for the control group; P = 0.016). There was no difference in oxygen use between the groups. The antiviral and clinical effect was more pronounced in the patients hospitalized within 7 days of symptom onset, suggesting that interferon beta-1b with or without ribavirin was the critical component of the combination antiviral therapy. The study provides no information about the effect of interferon beta-1b when administered  $\geq$ 7 days after symptom onset.<sup>3</sup>

#### Interferon Alfa-2b

In a retrospective cohort study of 77 adults with moderate COVID-19 in China, participants were treated with nebulized interferon alfa-2b, nebulized interferon alfa-2b with umifenovir, or umifenovir only. The time to viral clearance in the upper respiratory tract and reduction in systemic inflammation was faster in the interferon alfa-2b groups than in the umifenovir only group. However, the results of this study are difficult to interpret because participants in the interferon alfa-2b with umifenovir group were substantially younger than those in the umifenovir only group (mean age of 40 years in the interferon alfa-2b with umifenovir group vs. 65 years in the umifenovir only group) and had fewer comorbidities (15% in the interferon alfa-2b with umifenovir group vs. 54% in the umifenovir only group) at study entry. The nebulized interferon alfa-2b formulation is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use in the United States.<sup>4</sup>

#### Clinical Data for SARS and MERS

Interferon beta used alone and in combination with ribavirin in patients with SARS and MERS has failed to show a significant positive effect on clinical outcomes.<sup>5-9</sup>

In a retrospective observational analysis of 350 critically ill patients with MERS<sup>6</sup> from 14 hospitals in Saudi Arabia, the mortality rate was higher among patients who received ribavirin and interferon (beta-1a, alfa-2a, or alfa-2b) than among those who did not receive either drug.

A randomized clinical trial that included 301 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome<sup>10</sup> found that intravenous interferon beta-1a had no benefit over placebo as measured by ventilator-free days over a 28-day period (median of 10.0 days in the interferon beta-1a group vs. 8.5 days in the placebo group) or mortality (26.4% in the interferon beta-1a group vs. 23.0% in the placebo group).

#### **Clinical Trials**

See <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for a list of <u>ongoing clinical trials for interferon and COVID-19</u>.

#### **Adverse Effects**

The most frequent adverse effects of interferon alfa include flu-like symptoms, nausea, fatigue, weight loss, hematological toxicities, elevated transaminases, and psychiatric problems (e.g., depression and

suicidal ideation). Interferon beta is better tolerated than interferon alfa.<sup>11,12</sup>

#### **Drug-Drug Interactions**

The most serious drug-drug interactions with interferons are the potential for added toxicity with concomitant use of other immunomodulators and chemotherapeutic agents.<sup>11,12</sup>

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

Analysis of data from several large pregnancy registries did not demonstrate an association between exposure to interferon beta-1b preconception or during pregnancy and an increased risk of adverse birth outcomes (e.g., spontaneous abortion, congenital anomaly),<sup>13,14</sup> and exposure did not influence birth weight, height, or head circumference.<sup>15</sup>

#### **Considerations in Children**

There are limited data on the use of interferons for the treatment of respiratory viral infections in children.

#### References

- Synairgen announces positive results from trial of SNG001 in hospitalised COVID-19 patients [press release]. July 20, 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.synairgen.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/200720-Synairgen-announces-positive-results-from-trial-of-SNG001-in-hospitalised-COVID-19-patients.pdf</u>. Accessed August 24, 2020.
- Davoudi-Monfared E, Rahmani H, Khalili H, et al. A randomized clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of interferon beta-1a in treatment of severe COVID-19. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(9):e01061-20. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3266100</u>6.
- 3. Hung IF, Lung KC, Tso EY, et al. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, Phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10238):1695-1704. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32401715.
- 4. Zhou Q, Chen V, Shannon CP, et al. Interferon-alpha2b treatment for COVID-19. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:1061. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32574262.
- 5. Al-Tawfiq JA, Momattin H, Dib J, Memish ZA. Ribavirin and interferon therapy in patients infected with the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: an observational study. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2014;20:42-46. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24406736</u>.
- 6. Arabi YM, Shalhoub S, Mandourah Y, et al. Ribavirin and interferon therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome: a multicenter observational study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;70(9):1837-1844. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31925415</u>.
- Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, et al. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. *Thorax*. 2004;59(3):252-256. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/14985565</u>.
- 8. Omrani AS, Saad MM, Baig K, et al. Ribavirin and interferon alfa-2a for severe Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014;14(11):1090-1095. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25278221</u>.
- Shalhoub S, Farahat F, Al-Jiffri A, et al. IFN-alpha2a or IFN-beta1a in combination with ribavirin to treat Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus pneumonia: a retrospective study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(7):2129-2132. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25900158</u>.
- 10. Ranieri VM, Pettila V, Karvonen MK, et al. Effect of intravenous interferon beta-1a on death and days free from mechanical ventilation among patients with moderate to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32065831</u>.

- 11. Interferon alpha-2b (Intron A) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/103132Orig1s5199lbl.pdf</u>.
- 12. Interferon beta-1a (Rebif) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/103780s5204lbl.pdf</u>.
- Sandberg-Wollheim M, Alteri E, Moraga MS, Kornmann G. Pregnancy outcomes in multiple sclerosis following subcutaneous interferon beta-1a therapy. *Mult Scler*. 2011;17(4):423-430. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21220368</u>.
- Hellwig K, Duarte Caron F, Wicklein EM, Bhatti A, Adamo A. Pregnancy outcomes from the global pharmacovigilance database on interferon beta-1b exposure. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. 2020;13:1756286420910310. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32201504</u>.
- Burkill S, Vattulainen P, Geissbuehler Y, et al. The association between exposure to interferon-beta during pregnancy and birth measurements in offspring of women with multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(12):e0227120. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31887199</u>.

### Interleukin-1 Inhibitors

#### Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### Recommendation

• There are insufficient data to recommend for or against the use of interleukin (IL)-1 inhibitors, such as **anakinra**, for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### Rationale

There are case series data but no clinical trial data on the use of IL-1 inhibitors in patients with COVID-19.

Anakinra is a recombinant human IL-1 receptor antagonist. It is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat rheumatoid arthritis and cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes, specifically neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease.<sup>1</sup> It is also used off-label for severe chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR T-cell)-mediated cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and macrophage activation syndrome (MAS)/secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis.

#### Rationale for Use in Patients with COVID-19

Endogenous IL-1 is elevated in patients with COVID-19 and other conditions, such as severe CAR T-cell-mediated CRS. Case reports and case series have described favorable responses to anakinra in patients with these syndromes, including a survival benefit in patients with sepsis and reversal of cytokine storm after tocilizumab failure in adults with MAS.<sup>2,3</sup>

#### **Clinical Data for COVID-19**

A case-control study compared outcomes in 52 consecutive patients with COVID-19 treated with anakinra and 44 historical controls. The patients in both groups were all admitted to the same hospital in Paris, France. Case patients were consecutive admissions from March 24 to April 6, 2020, with laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection or lung infiltrates on chest imaging typical of COVID-19, and either significant hypoxia  $(\text{SpO}_2 \leq 93\% \text{ with} \geq 6L/\text{min O}_2)$  or worsening hypoxia  $(\text{SpO}_2 \leq 93\% \text{ with} > 3L/\text{min O}_2 \text{ and a loss})$ of  $\geq 3\%$  of O<sub>2</sub> saturation on room air in the previous 24 hours). The historic controls were patients who fulfilled the same eligibility criteria and admitted to the hospital during the same period. As standard of care for both groups, some patients received hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, or parenteral beta-lactam antibiotics. Anakinra was dosed as 100 mg subcutaneous (SQ) twice daily for 72 hours, followed by anakinra 100 mg SQ daily for 7 days. Clinical characteristics were similar between the groups, except that the cases had a lower mean body mass index than the controls (25.5 kg/m2 vs. 29.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively), longer duration of symptoms (mean of 8.4 days for cases vs. 6.2 days for controls), and a higher frequency of hydroxychloroguine use (90% for cases vs. 61% for controls) and azithromycin use (49% for cases vs. 34% for controls). The primary outcome of admission to the intensive care unit for mechanical ventilation or death occurred among 13 case patients (25%) and 32 control patients (73%) (hazard ratio 0.22; 95%) confidence interval, 0.11 to 0.41). However, within the first 2 days of follow up, in the control group, six patients (14%) had died and 19 patients (43%) had reached the composite primary outcome, which further limited intragroup comparisons and specifically analyses of time to event. C-reactive protein (CRP) levels decreased by Day 4 among those receiving anakinra. Thromboembolic events occurred in 10 patients (19%) who received anakinra and in five control patients (11%). The clinical implications of these findings are uncertain due to limitations in the

study design related to unmeasured confounding combined with the very high early event rate among the retrospective controls.<sup>4</sup>

- A single-center, retrospective cohort study compared outcomes in 29 patients following open-label use of anakinra to outcomes in 16 historical controls enrolled at the same medical center in Italy. All patients had COVID-19 with moderate to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) that required non-invasive ventilation and evidence of hyperinflammation (CRP  $\geq$ 100 mg/L and/ or ferritin ≥900 ng/mL). High-dose intravenous anakinra 5 mg/kg twice daily was administered for a median of 9 days, followed by SO administration of anakinra 100 mg twice daily for 3 days to avoid inflammatory relapses. Patients in both the anakinra and control groups received hydroxychloroquine and lopinavir/ritonavir. In the anakinra group, reductions in CRP levels were noted over several days following anakinra initiation, and the 21-day survival rate was higher than in the control group (90% vs. 56%, respectively; P = 0.009). However, the patients in the anakinra group were younger than those in the control group (median age 62 years vs. 70 years, respectively), and fewer patients in the anakinra group had chronic kidney disease. High-dose anakinra was discontinued in seven patients (24%) because of adverse events (four patients developed bacteremia and three patients had elevated liver enzymes); however, retrospective assessment showed that these events occurred with similar frequency in the control group. An additional group of seven patients received low-dose SQ anakinra 100 mg twice daily; however, treatment in this group was stopped after 7 days because of lack of clinical or anti-inflammatory effects.5
- Other small case series have reported anakinra use for the treatment of COVID-19 and anecdotal evidence of improvement in outcomes.<sup>6</sup>

#### **Clinical Trials**

See <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for a list of clinical trials evaluating anakinra for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### **Adverse Effects**

Anakinra was not associated with any significant safety concerns when used in clinical trials for the treatment of sepsis.<sup>7-9</sup> Increased rates of infection were reported with prolonged anakinra use in combination with tumor necrosis factor-alpha blockade, but not with short-term use.<sup>10</sup>

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

There is limited evidence on which to base a recommendation in pregnancy, but unintentional first trimester exposure is unlikely to be harmful.<sup>11</sup>

#### **Considerations in Children**

Anakinra has been used extensively in the treatment of severely ill children with complications of rheumatologic conditions, including MAS. Pediatric data on the use of anakinra in ARDS/sepsis are limited.

#### **Drug Availability**

Procuring anakinra may be a challenge at some hospitals in the United States. Anakinra is FDAapproved only for SQ injection.

#### References

1. Anakinra (kineret) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2012. Available at: https://www.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2012/103950s5136lbl.pdf. Accessed April 8, 2020.

- 2. Shakoory B, Carcillo JA, Chatham WW, et al. Interleukin-1 receptor blockade is associated with reduced mortality in sepsis patients with features of macrophage activation syndrome: reanalysis of a prior Phase III trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2016;44(2):275-281. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26584195.
- 3. Monteagudo LA, Boothby A, Gertner E. Continuous intravenous anakinra infusion to calm the cytokine storm in macrophage activation syndrome. *ACR Open Rheumatol*. 2020;2(5):276-282. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32267081</u>.
- Huet T, Beaussier H, Voisin O, et al. Anakinra for severe forms of COVID-19: a cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatology*. 2020;2(7):e393-e400. Available at: <u>https://www.theLancet.com/pdfs/journals/lanrhe/PIIS2665-9913(20)30164-8.pdf</u>.
- Cavalli G, De Luca G, Campochiaro C, et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatology*. 2020;2(6): e325-e331. Available at: <u>https://www.theLancet.com/journals/lanrhe/article/</u> PIIS2665-9913(20)30127-2/fulltext.
- Aouba A, Baldolli A, Geffray L, et al. Targeting the inflammatory cascade with anakinra in moderate to severe COVID-19 pneumonia: case series. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u> <u>pubmed/32376597</u>.
- Fisher CJ, Jr., Dhainaut JF, Opal SM, et al. Recombinant human interleukin 1 receptor antagonist in the treatment of patients with sepsis syndrome. Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Phase III rhIL-1ra Sepsis Syndrome Study Group. *JAMA*. 1994;271(23):1836-1843. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8196140</u>.
- 8. Fisher CJ, Jr., Slotman GJ, Opal SM, et al. Initial evaluation of human recombinant interleukin-1 receptor antagonist in the treatment of sepsis syndrome: a randomized, open-label, placebo-controlled multicenter trial. *Crit Care Med.* 1994;22(1):12-21. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8124953</u>.
- Opal SM, Fisher CJ, Jr., Dhainaut JF, et al. Confirmatory interleukin-1 receptor antagonist trial in severe sepsis: a Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. The Interleukin-1 Receptor Antagonist Sepsis Investigator Group. *Crit Care Med.* 1997;25(7):1115-1124. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9233735</u>.
- Winthrop KL, Mariette X, Silva JT, et al. ESCMID Study Group for Infections in Compromised Hosts (ESGICH) consensus document on the safety of targeted and biological therapies: an infectious diseases perspective (soluble immune effector molecules [II]: agents targeting interleukins, immunoglobulins and complement factors). *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2018;24 Suppl 2:S21-S40. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29447987</u>.
- Flint J, Panchal S, Hurrell A, et al. BSR and BHPR guideline on prescribing drugs in pregnancy and breastfeeding-Part II: analgesics and other drugs used in rheumatology practice. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2016;55(9):1698-1702. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26750125</u>.

## Interleukin-6 Inhibitors

#### Last Updated: August 27, 2020

Interleukin (IL)-6 is a pleiotropic, pro-inflammatory cytokine produced by a variety of cell types, including lymphocytes, monocytes, and fibroblasts. Infection by the severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) induces a dose-dependent production of IL-6 from bronchial epithelial cells.<sup>1</sup> COVID-19-associated systemic inflammation and hypoxic respiratory failure can be associated with heightened cytokine release, as indicated by elevated blood levels of IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP), D-dimer, and ferritin.<sup>2-4</sup> It is hypothesized that modulating the levels of IL-6 or its effects may alter the course of disease.

There are two classes of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved IL-6 inhibitors: anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibodies (e.g., sarilumab, tocilizumab) and anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibodies (siltuximab). These classes of drugs have been evaluated for the management of patients with COVID-19 who have systemic inflammation. The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel's (the Panel's) recommendations and clinical data to date are described below.

#### Recommendation

• The Panel **recommends against** the use of anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibodies (**e.g.**, **sarilumab**, **tocilizumab**) or anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody (**siltuximab**) for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (**BI**).

#### Rationale

Preliminary, unpublished data from randomized, controlled trials failed to demonstrate efficacy of sarilumab or tocilizumab in patients with COVID-19. There are only limited, unpublished data describing the efficacy of siltuximab in patients with COVID-19.<sup>11</sup>

#### Anti-Interleukin-6 Receptor Monoclonal Antibodies

#### Sarilumab

Sarilumab is a recombinant humanized anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody that is approved by the FDA for use in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. It is available as a subcutaneous (SQ) formulation and is not approved for the treatment of cytokine release syndrome (CRS). A placebo-controlled clinical trial is evaluating the use of an intravenous (IV) formulation of sarilumab administered as a single dose for COVID-19.

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

*Press Release: July 2, 2020:* The efficacy and safety of sarilumab 400 mg IV and sarilumab 200 mg IV versus placebo was evaluated in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in an adaptive Phase 2 and 3, randomized (2:2:1), double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (*ClinicalTrials.gov* Identifier NCT04315298). Randomization was stratified by severity of illness (i.e., severe, critical, multisystem organ dysfunction) and use of systemic corticosteroids for COVID-19. The Phase 2 component of the trial verified that sarilumab (at either dose) reduced CRP levels. The primary outcome for Phase 3 of the trial was change on a seven-point ordinal scale, and this phase was modified to focus on the dose of sarilumab 400 mg among the patients in the critically ill group. During the conduct of the trial, there were numerous amendments that increased the sample size and modified the dosing strategies being studied, and multiple interim analyses were performed. Ultimately, the trial findings to date do not support a clinical benefit of sarilumab for any of the disease severity subgroups or dosing strategies studied. Additional

detail (as would be included in a published manuscript) is required to fully evaluate the implications of these study findings.<sup>5</sup>

#### Adverse Effects

The primary lab abnormalities that have been reported with sarilumab treatment are transient and/ or reversible elevations in liver enzymes that appear to be dose dependent and rare occurrences of neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. Risk for serious infections (e.g., tuberculosis [TB], bacterial or fungal infections) and bowel perforation have been reported only with long-term use of sarilumab.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

There are insufficient data to determine whether there is a drug-associated risk for major birth defects or miscarriage. Monoclonal antibodies are actively transported across the placenta as pregnancy progresses (with greatest transfer during the third trimester) and may affect immune responses *in utero* in the exposed fetus.

#### **Drug Availability**

The SQ formulation of sarilumab is not approved for the treatment of CRS. The IV formulation is not approved by the FDA, but it is being studied in a clinical trial of hospitalized patients with COVID-19. A list of current clinical trials is available at <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov</u></u>.</u>* 

#### Tocilizumab

Tocilizumab is a recombinant humanized anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody that is approved by the FDA for use in patients with rheumatologic disorders and CRS induced by chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR-T) therapy. Tocilizumab can be dosed for IV or SQ injection. For CRS, the IV formulation should be used.<sup>6</sup>

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

*Press Release: July 29, 2020:* In the industry-sponsored Phase 3 COVACTA trial (*ClinicalTrials.gov* Identifier NCT04320615), 450 adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19-related pneumonia were randomized to receive tocilizumab or placebo. The trial failed to meet its primary endpoint or several key secondary endpoints. The primary outcome was improved clinical status, which was measured using a seven-point ordinal scale to assess clinical status based on the need for intensive care and/or ventilator use and the requirement for supplemental oxygen over a 4-week period. Key secondary outcomes included 4-week mortality. Differences in the primary outcome between the tocilizumab and placebo groups were not statistically significant (OR 1.19; 95% CI, 0.81-1.76; P = 0.36). At Week 4, mortality rates did not differ between the tocilizumab and placebo groups (19.7% vs. 19.4%; difference of 0.3%; 95% CI, -7.6% to 8.2%; P = 0.94). The difference in median number of ventilator-free days between the tocilizumab and placebo groups did not reach statistical significance (22 days for tocilizumab group vs. 16.5 days for placebo group; difference of 5.5 days; 95% CI, -2.8 to 13.0 days; P = 0.32). Infection rates at Week 4 were 38.3% in the tocilizumab and placebo groups, respectively.<sup>7</sup>

#### **Published Study**

Sixty-three adult patients hospitalized with COVID-19 were enrolled in a prospective, open-label study of tocilizumab for severe COVID-19. Criteria for inclusion in the study were polymerase chain reaction-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection; pulmonary involvement, assessed either by oxygen saturation (SaO<sub>2</sub>) <93% on room air or PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio <300 mm Hg; and at least three of the following:

- CRP >10 times normal values,
- Ferritin >1,000 ng/mL,
- D-dimer >10 times normal values, or
- Lactate dehydrogenase >2 times the upper limit of normal.

The patients' mean age was 62.6 years and most of the patients (88%) were male; 39.7% of the patients were febrile, and 95.7% had bilateral pulmonary infiltrates. Five patients were on mechanical ventilation at baseline. All patients received off-label antiretroviral protease inhibitors. Patients received either tocilizumab (8 mg/kg) IV or tocilizumab (324 mg) SQ; within 24 hours after this initial dose of tocilizumab, a second dose was administered to 52 of the 63 patients. Following administration of tocilizumab, fevers resolved in all but one patient, and CRP, ferritin, and D-dimer levels declined. The mean PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio of the patients increased between admission (152 +/- 53 mm Hg) and Day 7 of hospitalization (284 +/- 116 mm Hg). No moderate or severe adverse events attributable to tocilizumab were reported. The overall mortality rate was 11% (7 of 63 patients). No details were provided regarding the rate of secondary infections after tocilizumab use. The authors report an association between earlier use of tocilizumab and reduced mortality; however, interpretation of this result is limited because the study results did not describe a comparison group or specify an a priori comparison.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Clinical Trials**

See <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for ongoing trials that are evaluating the use of tocilizumab for the treatment of COVID-19.

#### Adverse Effects

The primary laboratory abnormalities reported with tocilizumab treatment are elevated liver enzyme levels that appear to be dose dependent. Neutropenia or thrombocytopenia are uncommon. Additional adverse effects, such as risk for serious infections (e.g., TB, bacterial or fungal infections) and bowel perforation, have been reported only in the context of continuous dosing of tocilizumab.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

There are insufficient data to determine whether there is a drug-associated risk for major birth defects or miscarriage. Monoclonal antibodies are actively transported across the placenta as pregnancy progresses (with greatest transfer during the third trimester) and may affect immune responses *in utero* in the exposed fetus.

#### **Considerations in Children**

In children, tocilizumab is frequently used for CRS following CAR-T therapy<sup>9</sup> and it is occasionally used for macrophage activation syndrome.<sup>10</sup> Pediatric data for its use in acute respiratory distress syndrome/sepsis are limited.

#### **Drug Availability**

Procuring IV tocilizumab may be a challenge at some hospitals in the United States.

#### Anti-Interleukin-6 Monoclonal Antibody

#### Siltuximab

Siltuximab is a recombinant human-mouse chimeric monoclonal antibody that binds IL-6 and is approved by the FDA for use in patients with Castleman's disease. Siltuximab prevents the binding of IL-6 to both soluble and membrane-bound IL-6 receptors, inhibiting IL-6 signaling. Siltuximab is dosed as an IV infusion.

#### Clinical Data in COVID-19

There are limited, unpublished data describing the efficacy of siltuximab in patients with COVID-19.<sup>11</sup> There are no data describing clinical experiences using siltuximab for patients with other novel coronavirus infections (i.e., severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS], Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS]).

#### **Clinical Trials**

See <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for a list of current clinical trials for siltuximab and COVID-19.

#### Adverse Effects

The primary adverse effects reported for siltuximab have been related to rash. Additional adverse effects (e.g., serious bacterial infections) have been reported only with long-term dosing of siltuximab once every 3 weeks.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

There are insufficient data to determine whether there is a drug-associated risk for major birth defects or miscarriage. Monoclonal antibodies are transported across the placenta as pregnancy progresses (with greatest transfer during the third trimester) and may affect immune responses in utero in the exposed fetus.

#### **Drug Availability**

Procuring siltuximab may be a challenge at some hospitals in the United States.

#### References

- Yoshikawa T, Hill T, Li K, Peters CJ, Tseng CT. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirusinduced lung epithelial cytokines exacerbate SARS pathogenesis by modulating intrinsic functions of monocyte-derived macrophages and dendritic cells. *J Virol.* 2009;83(7):3039-3048. Available at: <u>https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19004938</u>.
- 2. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10229):1054-1062. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32171076</u>.
- 3. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31986264</u>.
- 4. Wang Z, Yang B, Li Q, Wen L, Zhang R. Clinical features of 69 cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32176772</u>.
- 5. Sanofi. Sanofi and Regeneron provide update on Kevzara® (sarilumab) Phase 3 U.S. trial in COVID-19 patients. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.sanofi.com/en/media-room/press-releases/2020/2020-07-02-22-30-00</u>. Accessed August 10, 2020.
- Le RQ, Li L, Yuan W, et al. FDA approval summary: tocilizumab for treatment of chimeric antigen receptor T cell-induced severe or life-threatening cytokine release syndrome. *Oncologist*. 2018;23(8):943-947. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29622697">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29622697</a>.
- Roche. Roche provides an update on the Phase III COVACTA trial of Actemra/RoActemra in hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19 associated pneumonia. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.roche.com/investors/updates/inv-update-2020-07-29.htm</u>. Accessed August 10, 2020.
- Sciascia S, Apra F, Baffa A, et al. Pilot prospective open, single-arm multicentre study on off-label use of tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2020;38(3):529-532. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32359035</u>.
- 9. Gardner RA, Ceppi F, Rivers J, et al. Preemptive mitigation of CD19 CAR T-cell cytokine release syndrome

without attenuation of antileukemic efficacy. *Blood*. 2019;134(24):2149-2158. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.</u>nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31697826.

- Yokota S, Itoh Y, Morio T, Sumitomo N, Daimaru K, Minota S. Macrophage activation syndrome in patients with systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis under treatment with tocilizumab. *J Rheumatol*. 2015;42(4):712-722. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25684767</u>.
- 11. Gritti G, Raimondi F, Ripamonti D, et al. Use of siltuximab in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia requiring ventilatory support. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.01.20048561v1</u>.

# Kinase Inhibitors: Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors and Janus Kinase Inhibitors

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### Recommendation

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel **recommends against** the use of **Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors**, such as **acalabrutinib**, **ibrutinib**, and **zanubrutinib**; and **Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors**, such as **baricitinib**, **ruxolitinib**, and **tofacitinib**; for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial **(AIII)**.

#### Rationale

BTK inhibitors and JAK inhibitors have broad immunosuppressive effects. Ongoing clinical trials should help clarify their role in the treatment of COVID-19.

BTK inhibitors are licensed by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of B-cell malignancies.<sup>1</sup> BTK is a signaling molecule of the B-cell antigen receptor and cytokine receptor pathways. BTK's role in signaling through the B-cell surface receptors results in activation of pathways necessary for B-cell trafficking, chemotaxis, and adhesion.<sup>2</sup>

JAK inhibitors are potent immunosuppressive agents that are FDA approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, polycythemia vera, myelofibrosis, ulcerative colitis, and graft-versus-host disease. JAK inhibitors interfere with phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins<sup>3,4</sup> that are involved in vital cellular functions, including signaling, growth, and survival. Phosphorylation of STAT proteins involved in these pathways can increase or decrease their function, and aberrant activation of these proteins has been associated with autoimmune disorders and cancers.<sup>5</sup> JAKs transmit cytokine signaling by pairing with another JAK (e.g., JAK1/JAK2, JAK1/JAK3); however, whether inhibition of specific JAKs is relevant to therapeutic effectiveness is unknown.

#### **Rationale for Use in Patients With COVID-19**

The kinase inhibitors are proposed as treatments for COVID-19 because they can prevent phosphorylation of key proteins involved in the signal transduction that leads to immune activation and inflammation (e.g., the cellular response to proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin [IL]-6).<sup>6</sup> This immunosuppression could potentially reduce the inflammation and associated immunopathologies that have been observed in patients with COVID-19. Additionally, JAK inhibitors, particularly baricitinib, have theoretical direct antiviral activity through interference with viral endocytosis, potentially preventing entry into and infection of susceptible cells.<sup>7</sup>

#### **Adverse Effects**

Most of the data on adverse effects of BTK and JAK inhibitors refer to chronic use of the agents. Adverse effects include infections (typically respiratory and urinary tract infections) and the reactivation of herpes viruses. Additional toxicities include myelosuppression and transaminase elevations. Hemorrhage and cardiac arrhythmia have occurred in patients who received BTK inhibitors. Thrombotic events and gastrointestinal perforation have occurred in patients who received JAK inhibitors.

#### **Considerations in Pregnancy**

• BTK inhibitors: There is a paucity of data on human pregnancy and BTK inhibitor use. In

animal studies, in doses exceeding the therapeutic human dose, acalabrutinib and ibrutinib were associated with interference with embryofetal development.<sup>8,9</sup> Based on these data, BTK inhibitors may be associated with fetal malformations when use occurs during organogenesis. The impact of use later in pregnancy is unknown. Risks of use should be balanced against potential benefits.

• JAK inhibitors: There is a paucity of data on the use of JAK inhibitors in pregnancy. Fetal risk cannot be ruled out. Pregnancy registries provide some outcome data on tofacitinib used during pregnancy for other conditions (e.g., ulcerative colitis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis). Among the 33 cases reported, pregnancy outcomes were similar to those among the general pregnant population.<sup>10-12</sup> Risks of use should be balanced against potential benefits.

#### **Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors**

#### Acalabrutinib

Acalabrutinib is a second-generation, oral BTK inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat B-cell malignancies (i.e., chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma, mantle cell lymphoma). It has a better toxicity profile than first-generation BTK inhibitors (e.g., ibrutinib) due to less off-target activity for other kinases.<sup>13</sup> Acalabrutinib is proposed for use in patients with COVID-19 because it can modulate signaling that promotes inflammation.

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

Data regarding acalabrutinib are limited to a retrospective case series of 19 patients with severe COVID-19.<sup>14</sup> However, data interpretation to discern any clinical benefit is limited by the study's small sample size and lack of a control group.

#### **Clinical Trials**

Please check <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for the latest information on studies of acalabrutinib and COVID-19.

#### Ibrutinib

Ibrutinib is a first-generation BTK inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat various B-cell malignancies<sup>9</sup> and prevent chronic graft-versus-host disease in stem cell transplant recipients.<sup>15</sup> Based on results from a small case series, ibrutinib has been theorized to improve inflammation and protect against ensuing lung injury in patients with COVID-19.<sup>16</sup>

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

Data regarding ibrutinib are limited to an uncontrolled, retrospective case series of six patients with COVID-19 who were receiving ibrutinib for a condition other than COVID-19.<sup>16</sup> However, evaluation of the data for any clinical benefit is limited by the series's small sample size and lack of a control group.

#### **Clinical Trials**

Please check <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for the latest information on studies of ibrutinib and COVID-19.

#### Zanubrutinib

Zanubrutinib is a second-generation, oral BTK inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat mantle cell lymphoma.<sup>17</sup> It has been shown to have fewer toxicities than first-generation BTK inhibitors (e.g., ibrutinib) due to less off-target activity for other kinases.<sup>18</sup> Zanubrutinib is proposed to be of use in patients with COVID-19 by modulating signaling that promotes inflammation.

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

There is no clinical data on the use of zanubrutinib to treat COVID-19.

#### **Clinical Trials**

Please check <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for the latest information on studies of zanubrutinib and COVID-19.

#### **Janus Kinase Inhibitors**

#### Baricitinib

Baricitinib is an oral JAK inhibitor that is selective for JAK1 and JAK2 and FDA approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis.<sup>19</sup> Among the JAK inhibitors studied, baricitinib has been postulated to have the greatest theoretical antiviral efficacy in inhibiting severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from entering and infecting lung cells because of its affinity for adaptor-associated kinase-1 (AAK1), a regulator of viral endocytosis in pulmonary alveolar type 2 (AT2) epithelial cells.<sup>20</sup> In addition, baricitinib can modulate downstream inflammatory responses via inhibition of JAK1/JAK2 kinase and has exhibited dose-dependent inhibition of IL-6-induced STAT3 phosphorylation.<sup>21</sup>

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

#### This study has not been peer-reviewed.

A small, nonrandomized study in patients with moderate COVID-19 pneumonia compared combination therapy with baricitinib and lopinavir/ritonavir to standard of care (SOC) therapy (i.e., combination lopinavir/ritonavir and hydroxychloroquine). Both study groups included 12 patients. Compared to SOC therapy, combination therapy with baricitinib and lopinavir/ritonavir demonstrated a statistically significant shorter time to improvement of clinical and respiratory symptoms and a greater reduction of C-reactive protein levels.<sup>22</sup>

#### **Clinical Trials**

Please check <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for the latest information on studies of baricitinib and COVID-19.

#### Ruxolitinib

Ruxolitinib is an oral JAK inhibitor selective for JAK1 and JAK2 and is currently approved for myelofibrosis, polycythemia vera, and acute graft-versus-host disease.<sup>23</sup> Like baricitinib, it is theorized to have antiviral properties through inhibition of AAK1, which may prevent viral entry and infection of pulmonary AT2 epithelial cells.<sup>7</sup>

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

A small, prospective, single-blind, randomized controlled Phase 2 trial in patients with COVID-19 in China compared ruxolitinib 5 mg orally twice daily (n = 20) with placebo (administered as vitamin C 100 mg; n = 21), both given in combination with SOC therapy. The median age of the patients was 63 years. There were no significant demographic differences between the two arms. Treatment with ruxolitinib was associated with a nonsignificant reduction in the median time to clinical improvement (12 days for ruxolitinib vs. 15 days for placebo; P = 0.15), defined as a two-point improvement on a seven-category ordinal scale or as hospital discharge. There was no difference between the groups in the median time to discharge (17 days for ruxolitinib vs. 16 days for placebo; P = 0.94). More patients in the ruxolitinib group than in the placebo group had radiographic improvement on computerized tomography scans of the chest at Day 14 (90% for ruxolitinib vs. 61.9% for placebo; P = 0.05) and a shorter time to recovery from initial lymphopenia (5 days for ruxolitinib vs. 8 days for placebo; P = 0.03), when it was present. The use of ruxolitinib group vs. three deaths [14%] in the control group). Despite the theoretical antiviral properties of JAK inhibitors, there was no significant difference in the time to viral clearance among the patients who had detectable viral loads at the time of randomization to ruxolitinib treatment (n = 8) or placebo (n = 9). Limitations of this study include the small sample size, the exclusion of ventilated patients at study entry, and the frequent concomitant use (among 70% of patients) of antivirals and steroids.<sup>24</sup>

A small, retrospective, single-arm study in Germany reported no safety concerns in 14 patients with severe COVID-19 who received a brief course of ruxolitinib therapy (with a median of 9 days of treatment).<sup>25</sup>

#### **Clinical Trials**

Please check <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for the latest information on studies of ruxolitinib and COVID-19.

#### Tofacitinib

Tofacitinib is the prototypical JAK inhibitor, predominantly selective for JAK1 and JAK3, with modest activity against JAK2, and, as such, can block signaling from gamma-chain cytokines (e.g., IL-2, IL-4) and gp 130 proteins (e.g., IL-6, IL-11, interferons). It is an oral agent first approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and has been shown to decrease levels of IL-6 in patients with this disease.<sup>26</sup> Tofacitinib is also FDA approved for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis and ulcerative colitis.<sup>27</sup>

#### Clinical Data for COVID-19

There is no clinical data on the use of tofacitinib to treat COVID-19.

#### **Clinical Trials**

Please check <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> for the latest information on studies of tofacitinib and COVID-19.

#### References

- Wang Y, Zhang LL, Champlin RE, Wang ML. Targeting Bruton's tyrosine kinase with ibrutinib in B-cell malignancies. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;97(5):455-468. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25669675</u>.
- 2. Chen SS, Chang BY, Chang S, et al. BTK inhibition results in impaired CXCR4 chemokine receptor surface expression, signaling and function in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2016;30(4):833-843. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26582643">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26582643</a>.
- 3. Babon JJ, Lucet IS, Murphy JM, Nicola NA, Varghese LN. The molecular regulation of Janus kinase (JAK) activation. *Biochem J*. 2014;462(1):1-13. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25057888</u>.
- 4. Bousoik E, Montazeri Aliabadi H. "Do we know jack" about JAK? A closer look at JAK/STAT signaling pathway. *Front Oncol.* 2018;8:287. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30109213</u>.
- Fragoulis GE, McInnes IB, Siebert S. JAK-inhibitors. New players in the field of immune-mediated diseases, beyond rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2019;58(Suppl 1):i43-i54. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30806709</u>.
- 6. Zhang W, Zhao Y, Zhang F, et al. The use of anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of people with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): the perspectives of clinical immunologists from China. *Clin Immunol.* 2020;214:108393. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32222466.
- 7. Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(4):400-402. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32113509</u>.
- 8. Acalabrutinib (Calquence) [Package Insert]. Food and Drug Administration. November 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2017/210259s000lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2017/210259s000lbl.pdf</a>.
- 9. Ibrutinib (Imbruvica) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. April 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2020/205552s030,210563s006lblPI.pdf</u>.
- 10. Clowse ME, Feldman SR, Isaacs JD, et al. Pregnancy outcomes in the tofacitinib safety databases for rheumatoid arthritis and psoriasis. *Drug Saf.* 2016;39(8):755-762. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>

pubmed/27282428.

- 11. Mahadevan U, Dubinsky MC, Su C, et al. Outcomes of pregnancies with maternal/paternal exposure in the tofacitinib safety databases for ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2018;24(12):2494-2500. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29982686">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29982686</a>.
- 12. Wieringa JW, van der Woude CJ. Effect of biologicals and JAK inhibitors during pregnancy on healthrelated outcomes in children of women with inflammatory bowel disease. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. 2020;44-45:101665. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32359679.
- Owen C, Berinstein NL, Christofides A, Sehn LH. Review of Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors for the treatment of relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma. *Curr Oncol.* 2019;26(2):e233-e240. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31043832</u>.
- 14. Roschewski M, Lionakis MS, Sharman JP, et al. Inhibition of Bruton tyrosine kinase in patients with severe COVID-19. *Sci Immunol*. 2020;5(48). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32503877</u>.
- 15. Food and Drug Administration. FDA expands ibrutinib indications to chronic GVHD. 2017. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-expands-ibrutinib-indications-chronic-gvhd</u>. Accessed July 14, 2020.
- Treon SP, Castillo JJ, Skarbnik AP, et al. The BTK inhibitor ibrutinib may protect against pulmonary injury in COVID-19-infected patients. *Blood*. 2020;135(21):1912-1915. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32302379</u>.
- 17. Zanubrutinib (Brukinsa) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. November 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/213217s000lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/213217s000lbl.pdf</a>.
- 18. Tam C, Grigg AP, Opat S, et al. The BTK inhibitor, BGB-3111, is safe, tolerable, and highly active in patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies: initial report of a Phase 1 first-in-human trial. Available at: <a href="https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/126/23/832/136525/The-BTK-Inhibitor-Bgb-3111-Is-Safe-Tolerable-and">https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/126/23/832/136525/The-BTK-Inhibitor-Bgb-3111-Is-Safe-Tolerable-and</a>.
- 19. Baricitinib (Olumiant) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. October 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207924s001lbl.pdf</u>.
- 20. Richardson P, Griffin I, Tucker C, et al. Baricitinib as potential treatment for 2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):e30-e31. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32032529</u>.
- McInnes IB, Byers NL, Higgs RE, et al. Comparison of baricitinib, upadacitinib, and tofacitinib mediated regulation of cytokine signaling in human leukocyte subpopulations. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2019;21(1):183. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31375130</u>.
- Cantini F, Niccoli L, Matarrese D, Nicastri E, Stobbione P, Goletti D. Baricitinib therapy in COVID-19: a pilot study on safety and clinical impact. *J Infect*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32333918</u>.
- 23. J Ruxolitinib (Jakafi) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. January 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2020/202192Orig1s019Rpllbl.pdf</u>.
- 24. Cao Y, Wei J, Zou L, et al. Ruxolitinib in treatment of severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A multicenter, single-blind, randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020;146(1):137–146. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32470486</u>.
- 25. La Rosee F, Bremer HC, Gehrke I, et al. The Janus kinase 1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib in COVID-19 with severe systemic hyperinflammation. *Leukemia*. 2020;34(7):1805-1815. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32518419</u>.
- 26. Migita K, Izumi Y, Jiuchi Y, et al. Effects of Janus kinase inhibitor tofacitinib on circulating serum amyloid A and interleukin-6 during treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2014;175(2):208-214. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24665995</u>.
- 27. Tofacitinib (Xeljanz) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. July 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/203214s024,208246s010lbl.pdf</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

## Table 3a. Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19: Clinical Data to Date

#### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Information presented in this table may include data from preprint/non-peer reviewed articles. This table will be updated as new information becomes available.

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> )
Blood-Derived P	Products		
COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma	<ul> <li>Convalescent plasma is not approved by the FDA. It has received an EUA from the FDA for the treatment of hospitalized patients with COVID-19.<sup>1</sup> Both High Titer (i.e., Ortho VITROS SARS-CoV-2 IgG tested with signal-to- cutoff ratio ≥12) and Low Titer COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma are authorized for use.<sup>2,3</sup> Please refer to the FDA's <u>Recommendations</u> for Investigational <u>COVID-19</u> <u>Convalescent Plasma</u> <u>website</u> for guidance on the transfusion of investigational convalescent plasma while blood establishments develop the necessary operating procedures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Plasma donated from individuals who have recovered from COVID-19 includes antibodies to SARS-CoV-2.<sup>4</sup> Thousands of U.S. patients have received convalescent plasma through clinical trials, expanded access treatment trials, and EIND applications. However, the standards and methods for screening donated plasma for SARS- CoV-2 binding and neutralizing antibodies have not been established. The variability in SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels in donor plasma may impact the product's efficacy. Currently, there are insufficient data from well-controlled, adequately powered, randomized clinical trials to evaluate the efficacy and safety of convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19.</li> </ul>	For COVID-19: • Open-Label, Randomized Clinical Trial of Convalescent Plasma in 103 Hospitalized Patients With Severe or Life-Threatening COVID-19: Investigators conducted an open-label, randomized clinical trial of convalescent plasma versus SOC for patients with severe or life-threatening laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in 7 medical centers in Wuhan, China, from February 14–April 1, 2020. The primary outcome was time to clinical improvement within 28 days, which was defined as patient discharged alive or a reduction of 2 points on a 6-point disease severity scale. Only plasma units with SARS-COV-2 viral spike-receptor binding domain-specific IgG titer ≥1:640 were transfused. The median dose of ABO-compatible convalescent plasma was 200 mL. The time from symptom onset to randomization was 27 days in the treatment group and 30 days in the control group. Due to control of the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, the trial was terminated early after 103 of the planned for 200 patients were enrolled. The convalescent plasma and control groups were well balanced by age (median age of 70 years vs. 69 years, respectively), but the control group had a higher proportion of men (65%) than the convalescent plasma group (52%). Baseline severity scores (45 patients had severe disease and 58 had life-threatening disease) and use of concomitant therapies were similar between the 2 groups. There was no significant difference between the groups in the primary outcome of time to clinical improvement within 28 days (HR 1.40; 95% Cl, 0.79–2.49; <i>P</i> = 0.26). Among those with severe disease, 91% of the convalescent plasma recipients and 68% of the control patients improved by Day 28 (difference 23%; OR 1.34; 95% Cl, 0.98–1.83; <i>P</i> = 0.07). Among those with life- threatening disease, 21% of the convalescent plasma recipients and 24% of the control patients improved by Day 28 (difference -3.4%; OR 0.86; 95% Cl, 0.33–2.24; <i>P</i> = 0.75). There was no significant difference in 28-day mortality between the groups (16% vs.

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> )
Blood-Derived P	roducts, continued		
Blood-Derived P COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma, continued	Products, continued to manufacture COVID-19 convalescent plasma in accordance with the Conditions of Authorization set forth in the EUA.		<ul> <li>38%, <i>P</i> &lt;0.001 at 72 hours). Two transfusion-related events were reported, including 1 severe event; both events resolved with supportive care. The study's primary limitations were its open-label design and that, on average, the convalescent plasma was transfused approximately 1 month into the disease course. In addition, the study was terminated early, and thus the sample size was insufficient to detect differences in clinical outcomes.<sup>5</sup></li> <li><i>Open-Label, Randomized, Multicenter Clinical Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 (ConCOVID Study):</i> An open-label, randomized clinical trial of convalescent plasma versus SOC for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 was conducted in 14 hospitals in the Netherlands from April 8–July 1, 2020. Only plasma confirmed to have anti-SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies by a SARS-CoV-2 PRNT and a PRNT50 titer ≥1:80 was transfused. The primary endpoint was in-hospital mortality up to 60 days after admission. The trial was halted prematurely by the investigators and the study's data safety monitoring board when the baseline SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody itlers of participants had anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies at baseline despite being symptomatic for a median time of only 10 days. Among 56 participants whose blood was tested using SARS-CoV-2 PRNT, 44 (79%) had neutralizing antibody levels that were comparable to those of 115 donors (median titers of 1:160 vs. 1:160, respectively, <i>P</i> = 0.40).When the trial was halted, 86 participants had been enrolled. No differences in mortality (<i>P</i> = 0.95), length of hospital stay (<i>P</i> = 0.68), or disease severity at Day 15 (<i>P</i> = 0.58) were observed between the study arms. The study was terminated early, and thus lacked sufficient power to detect differences in clinical outcomes between the study groups.<sup>6</sup></li> <li><i>Open-Label, Randomized, Multicenter Clinical Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 (PLACID Trial): Not Peer Reviewed.</i> An open-label, randomized</li></ul>
			200 mL plasma, transfused 24 hours apart. Antibody testing to assess titers of donated plasma was not available when the trial started. Four-hundred and sixty-four participants were randomized; 235 were randomized into the convalescent plasma arm and 229 were randomized into the SOC arm. The arms were well-balanced with regard to age

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Blood-Derived P	roducts, continued	·	·
<b>COVID-19</b> <b>Convalescent</b> <b>Plasma</b> , continued			(median of 52 years in both arms) and days from symptom onset to enrollment (median of 8 days in both arms). There was no difference in the primary outcome (time to disease progression and 28-day mortality) across the trial arms. The composite outcome occurred in 44 patients (18.7%) in the convalescent plasma arm and 41 (17.9%) in the control arm. Thirty-four participants (14.5%) in the convalescent plasma arm and 31 patients in the control arm (13.6%) died. In each arm, 17 participants progressed to severe disease (7.2% in the convalescent plasma arm vs. 7.4% in the SOC arm). SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing was not used to select donated convalescent plasma units; therefore, many participants may have received units with low titers of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Additionally, the study was not blinded. <sup>7</sup>
			<ul> <li>Preliminary Safety Analysis of the First Consecutive 5,000 Patients to Receive Open-Label COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma Through a National Expanded Access Program.<sup>8</sup> The Expanded Access to Convalescent Plasma for the Treatment of Patients with COVID-19 program was an open-label, nonrandomized protocol primarily designed to provide patients with severe or life-threatening (critical) COVID-19 with access to convalescent plasma, which is an investigational product in the United States. Secondary objectives were to obtain safety data on the product. The protocol was sponsored by the Mayo Clinic and included a diverse range of clinical sites. Plasma donors were required to have documented COVID-19, with complete resolution of symptoms for at least 14 days prior to donation, and be either male, female without history of pregnancy, or female with history of pregnancy and negative HLA testing after the most recent pregnancy. SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing of donors was not mandated. ABO-compatible convalescent plasma was transfused preferentially, but in the absence of ABO-compatible plasma, patients could receive either Group A plasma or low anti-A titer Group O plasma, as available. The Mayo Clinic EAP was discontinued on August 28, 2020. This safety analysis describes the first 5,000 patients, enrolled between April 7–May 3, 2020. Participants were adults with a median age of 62 years; 63% were male and 81% had severe or life-threatening COVID-19. The main safety outcomes for the safety analysis were SAEs including death; SAEs were reported at 4 hours and at 7 days after transfusion; OAEs included 15 deaths, including 4 possibly or probably related to the convalescent plasma treatment. The 21 nonfatal SAEs included 7 TACO events, 11 TRALI events, and 3 severe allergic reactions. The overall 7-day mortality rate was 14.9%. In this study, COVID-19 convalescent plasma therapy was associated with a low rate (&lt;1%) of serious transfusion-related events. The study design, which does not include a control arm, pre</li></ul>
			• Retrospective Exploratory Analyses of Outcomes Among Tens of Thousands of Patients Receiving Open-Label COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma Through the Mayo Clinic EAP:

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
<b>Blood-Derived Pro</b>	ducts, continued		
<b>COVID-19</b> <b>Convalescent</b> <b>Plasma</b> , continued			Both the FDA and the Mayo Clinic performed retrospective, indirect evaluations of the efficacy of COVID-19 convalescent plasma by using subsets of EAP data, hypothesizing that patients who received plasma units with higher titers of neutralizing antibodies would have better clinical outcomes than those who received plasma units with lower titers of antibodies. This analytic approach was not prespecified in the Mayo Clinic EAP protocol.
			• <i>FDA Efficacy Analysis:</i> This analysis included 4,330 patients, and donor neutralizing antibody titers were measured by the Broad Institute using a pseudovirus assay. <sup>2</sup> The analysis revealed no difference in 7-day mortality between the patients who received high-titer plasma and those who received low-titer plasma, in the patient population overall, or in the subset of patients who were intubated. However, among nonintubated patients (approximately two-thirds of those analyzed), mortality within 7 days of transfusion was 11% for those who received high-titer plasma and 14% for those who received low-titer plasma and 14% for those who received low-titer plasma ( $P = 0.03$ ). <sup>1</sup> In a post hoc analysis of patients aged <80 years who were not intubated and who were treated within 72 hours of COVID-19 diagnosis, 7-day mortality was lower among the patients who received high-titer plasma than among those who received low-titer plasma ( $6.3\%$ vs. 11.3\%, respectively; $P = 0.0008$ ). <sup>2</sup>
			• <i>Mayo Clinic Efficacy Analysis: Not Peer Reviewed.</i> This analysis included 3,082 participants who received a single unit of plasma out of the 35,322 participants who had received plasma through the EAP by July 4, 2020. Antibody titers were measured by using the Ortho Clinical Diagnostics COVID-19 IgG assay, and outcomes in patients transfused with low- (lowest 18%), medium-, and high- (highest 17%) titer plasma were compared. After adjusting for baseline characteristics, the 30-day mortality in the low-titer group was 29% and 25% in the high-titer group. This difference did not reach statistical significance. Similar to the FDA analyses, post hoc subgroup analyses suggested a benefit of high-titer plasma in patients aged <80 years who received plasma within 3 days of COVID-19 diagnosis and who were not intubated. <sup>9</sup>
			• <i>Limitations of the EAP Analyses:</i> The lack of an untreated control arm limits interpretation of the safety and efficacy data. For example, the possibility that differences in outcomes are attributable to harm from low-titer plasma rather than benefit from high-titer plasma cannot be excluded. In addition:
			• The EAP data may be subject to multiple confounders, including regional differences and temporal trends in the management of COVID-19.
			• There is no widely available and generally agreed-upon best test for measuring neutralizing antibodies, and the antibody titers in convalescent plasma from patients who have recovered from COVID-19 are highly variable.

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u><i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i>)</u>
<b>Blood-Derived Prod</b>	lucts, continued		
Blood-Derived Proc COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma, continued	lucts, continued		<ul> <li>The efficacy analyses rely on a subset of EAP patients who only represent a fraction of the patients who received convalescent plasma through the EAP.</li> <li>The subgroup that demonstrated the largest estimated effect between high-titer and low-titer convalescent plasma-patients aged &lt;80 years who were not intubated and who were transfused within 3 days of COVID-19 diagnosis was selected post hoc by combining several subset rules which favored subgroups that showed a trend toward benefit of high-titer plasma. This approach tends to overestimate the treatment effect.</li> <li>The FDA analysis relied on 7-day mortality, which may not be clinically meaningful in the context of the prolonged disease course of COVID-19. Because participants in this observational study were not rigorously followed after they were discharged from the hospital, the 30-day mortality estimates are uncertain.</li> <li><i>Retrospective, Single-Center, Case-Control Study Evaluating Convalescent Plasma Plus SOC Versus SOC Without Convalescent Plasma.</i><sup>10</sup> Not Peer Reviewed. This case-control study reports clinical outcomes among 39 consecutive patients who received COVID-19 convalescent plasma through the FDA's single patient EIND program while hospitalized at Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City during the period of March 24–April 8, 2020. Recipients were transfused with 2 units of ABO-compatible convalescent plasma from donors with a SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike antibody titer of 1:320 dilution. The control group (n = 156) was identified retrospectively from the hospital's EHR database. The control patients were hospitalized during the same period as the patients in the convalescent plasma. They were matched 4:1 to the convalescent plasma recipients and 10% through invasive mechanical ventilation. By Day 14, the clinical condition had worsened in 18% of the convalescent plasma aptients and 24% of the control patients had died (<i>P</i> = 0.04, log-rank test) and 72% of the transfused patient selection bias.</li> <li><i>Retr</i></li></ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u><i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i>)</u>
Blood-Derived Pro	ducts, continued		
COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma, continued			<ul> <li>matched controls. As of May 1, 2020, 13% of the plasma recipients and 24% of the matched control patients had died (<i>P</i> = 0.04, log-rank test), and 72% and 67% of the transfused patients and control patients, respectively, had been discharged from the hospital. Subgroup analyses suggested a benefit of convalescent plasma among patients who were not intubated, had a shorter duration of symptoms, and received therapeutic anticoagulation.<sup>10</sup></li> <li><i>Retrospective Case-Controlled Study of COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma Versus SOC:</i> This study compared convalescent plasma with SOC in patients with COVID-19 who were hospitalized between March 28 and July 6, 2020, at 8 Houston Methodist hospitals. Outcomes for the first 136 convalescent plasma recipients who reached Day 28 post-transfusion were compared with the outcomes for two sets of propensity-score matched controls at 28 days after admission. The analyses suggested a trend towards benefit of convalescent plasma, with larger differences in mortality seen primarily among subgroups of patients who were transfused early (i.e., within 72 hours of admission) with high-titer plasma (i.e., anti-spike protein receptor binding domain titer ≥1:1350).<sup>11</sup></li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Other smaller, uncontrolled case series describing clinical outcomes in patients with COVID-19 have been reported and also suggest that SAEs are uncommon following COVID-19 convalescent plasma treatment.<sup>12-17</sup></li> </ul>
SARS-CoV-2- Specific Immunoglobulins	• Not approved by the FDA	• Concentrated antibody preparations derived from pooled plasma collected from individuals who have recovered from COVID-19 can be manufactured as SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin, which could potentially suppress the virus and modify the inflammatory response.	• No clinical data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS
Non-SARS- CoV-2-Specific Intravenous Immunoglobulins	<ul> <li>Primary immune disorders</li> <li>Thrombocytopenic purpura</li> <li>Kawasaki disease</li> <li>Motor neuropathy</li> </ul>	• Currently, only a small proportion of the U.S. population has been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, products derived from the plasma of donors without confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 infection are not likely to	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Not Peer Reviewed. A retrospective, nonrandomized cohort study of IVIG for the treatment of COVID-19 was conducted across 8 treatment centers in China between December 2019 and March 2020. The study found no difference in 28-day or 60-day mortality between 174 patients who were treated with IVIG and 151 patients who were not treated with IVIG. Patients who received IVIG were hospitalized for longer (median stay of 24 days for IVIG group vs. 16 days for no IVIG group) and experienced longer duration of disease (median of 31 days for IVIG group vs. 23 days for no IVIG group).</li> </ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Blood-Derived Pro	ducts, continued		
Non-SARS- CoV-2-Specific Intravenous Immunoglobulins, continued	Prophylaxis of various bacterial and viral infections	contain SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Furthermore, although IVIG contains other blood components that may have general immunomodulatory effects, it is unclear whether these theoretical immunomodulatory effects will benefit patients with COVID-19.	More IVIG-treated patients had severe disease at study entry (71 patients [41%] with critical status in the IVIG group vs. 32 patients [21%] in the non-IVIG group). A subgroup analysis that was limited to the critically ill patients suggested a mortality benefit at 28 days, which was no longer significant at 60 days. The results are difficult to interpret because of important limitations in the study design. In particular, patients were not randomized to receive IVIG or no IVIG, and the patients in the IVIG group were older and more likely to have coronary heart disease than those in the no IVIG group. The IVIG group also had more patients with severe COVID-19 disease at study entry. Also, patients in both groups received many concomitant therapies for COVID-19. <sup>18</sup>
Mesenchymal Stem Cells	• Not approved by the FDA	<ul> <li>Multipotent adult stem cells that are present in most human tissues including the umbilical cord</li> <li>It is hypothesized that MSCs could reduce the acute lung injury and inhibit the cell- mediated inflammatory response induced by SARS- COV-2.</li> <li>MSCs lack the ACE2 receptor that SARS-COV-2 uses for viral entry into cells; therefore, MSCs are resistant to infection.<sup>19,20</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>A pilot study of IV MSC transplantation in China enrolled 10 patients with confirmed COVID-19 categorized according to the National Health Commission of China criteria as critical, severe, or common-type disease. Seven patients (1 with critical illness, 4 with severe illness, and 2 with common-type disease) received MSCs; 3 patients with severe illness received placebo. All 7 patients who received MSCs recovered. Among the 3 severely ill control patients, 1 died, 1 developed ARDS, and 1 remained stable with severe disease.<sup>21</sup></li> <li>A small clinical trial evaluated human umbilical cord MSC (hUC-MSC) infusion in patients with severe COVID-19 who had not responded to SOC therapies after 7 to 10 days of treatment. The SOC therapies included supplemental oxygen, umifenovir/ oseltamivir, antibiotics if indicated, and glucocorticosteroids. The study was intended as a randomized controlled trial; however, due to the lack of sufficient hUC-MSCs, it was not possible to randomize the participants as originally planned. Among the 41 patients eligible to participate in the study, 12 received hUC-MSC infusion and 29 received SOC therapies only. The study arms were well balanced with regard to demographic characteristics, laboratory test results, and disease severity. All 12 participants who received hUC-MSC infusion recovered without requiring mechanical ventilation and were discharged to home, whereas 4 patients who received only SOC therapies progressed to critical illness requiring mechanical ventilation, and 3 of these patients died. These results are not statistically significant and interpretation of the study is limited by its lack of randomization and small sample size.<sup>22</sup></li> <li>For Other Viruses:</li> <li>In an open-label study of MSCs for the treatment of H7N9 influenza in China, 17 patients received MSC treatment plus SOC, and 44 patients received SOC only. In the MSC group, 3 patients (17.6%) died; in the control group, 24 patients (54.5%) died.</li> </ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
<b>Blood-Derived Pro</b>	ducts, continued		
Mesenchymal Stem Cells, continued			The 5-year follow-up was limited to 5 patients in the MSC group. No safety concerns were identified. <sup>23</sup>
Immunomodulator	'S		
Corticosteroids			
Dexamethasone	<ul> <li>FDA-Approved Indications:</li> <li>Allergic states (e.g., severe or incapacitating asthma, dermatitis, drug HSRs)</li> <li>Dermatologic diseases (e.g., bullous dermatitis, Stevens- Johnson syndrome)</li> <li>Endocrine disorders (e.g., adrenocortical insufficiency)</li> <li>Gastrointestinal diseases (e.g., ulcerative colitis)</li> <li>Hematologic disorders (e.g., hemolytic anemia, idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura, pure red cell aplasia)</li> <li>Neoplastic diseases (e.g., palliative treatment of leukemia, lymphoma)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Long-acting potent synthetic glucocorticoid with minimal mineralocorticoid activity. Glucocorticoid activity includes anti-inflammatory, immunosuppressive, anti-proliferative, and vasoconstrictive effects.<sup>25</sup></li> <li>Potent anti-inflammatory effects may mitigate or prevent the systemic inflammatory response associated with severe COVID-19.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Preliminary results from the RECOVERY study, a large, multicenter, randomized, open-label trial in patients hospitalized with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 in the United Kingdom, showed that fewer patients randomized to dexamethasone 6 mg daily (n = 2,104) died within 28 days of enrollment than those who received the SOC (n = 4,321) (22.9% vs. 25.7%; age-adjusted rate ratio 0.83; 95% Cl, 0.75–0.93; <i>P</i> &lt; 0.001). The survival benefit was greatest among participants in the dexamethasone group died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 41.4% in the SOC group (rate ratio 0.64; 95% Cl, 0.51–0.81). Among patients who required supplemental oxygen but not invasive mechanical ventilation at enrollment, 23.3% in the dexamethasone arm died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 26.2% in the SOC arm (rate ratio 0.82; 95% Cl, 0.72–0.94). No survival benefit was seen among participants who did not require oxygen therapy at enrollment; 17.8% of dexamethasone participants died within 28 days of enrollment compared with 14.0% in the SOC arm (rate ratio 0.82; 95% Cl, 0.91–1.55). Interpretation of these results is limited by several factors: full analysis of the trial is ongoing; results for key secondary endpoints, potential AEs, and dexamethasone efficacy in key subgroups have not been reported; there were no standardized or objective criteria for oxygen supplementation; and the age distribution of patients differed by respiratory status at randomization (patients who received invasive mechanical ventilation were more likely to be aged &lt;70 years).<sup>26</sup></li> <li>Small, retrospective cohort studies and case series have yielded conflicting results regarding corticosteroids, with some suggesting benefits associated with short courses of corticosteroids, with some suggesting benefits and site of 15 studies in patients with coronavirus infections (e.g., COVID-19, SARS, MERS)<sup>32</sup> and a retrospective review of critically ill patients with COVID-19 suggest an increased risk of multiorgan dysfunc</li></ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Immunomodulator	'S		
Corticosteroids			
Dexamethasone, continued	<ul> <li>Nervous system disorders (e.g., multiple sclerosis, cerebral edema)</li> <li>Ophthalmic diseases (e.g.,</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>temporal arteritis, uveitis)</li> <li>Renal diseases (e.g., to induce diuresis or remission of proteinuria in idiopathic nephrotic syndrome)</li> </ul>		
	Respiratory diseases (e.g., eosinophilic pneumonia)		
	• Rheumatic disorders (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus) <sup>24</sup>		
Interferon Alfa and	Interferon Beta		
Interferon Alfa	<ul> <li>IFN alfa-2b: Leukemia, melanoma, lymphoma, condylomata acuminata, Kaposi sarcoma, hepatitis B, hepatitis C</li> <li>IFN alfa-1b is not available in the United States.</li> </ul>	• Elicits antiviral, antiproliferative, and immunomodulatory activities on numerous cell types <sup>34-36</sup>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Not Peer Reviewed. In a retrospective cohort study of 77 adults with moderate COVID-19 in China, those who used nebulized IFN alfa-2b with or without umifenovir (Arbidol) achieved viral clearance in the upper respiratory tract faster and had lower systemic inflammation than those who used only umifenovir. However, results are difficult to interpret because participants in the IFN alfa-2b group were substantially younger</li> </ul>
Interferon Beta	• Multiple sclerosis (IFN beta-1a, IFN beta-1b)	<ul> <li>Elicits antiviral, antiproliferative, and immunomodulatory activities on numerous cell types (T cell, B cell, and cytokine function)<sup>34,41</sup></li> <li>Among IFN subtypes, IFN beta-1b shows greatest <i>in vitro</i> inhibition of MERS-CoV.<sup>42,43</sup></li> <li><i>In vitro</i> activity against MERS- CoV in lung cells.<sup>44</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>than those in the umifenovir-only group (mean age 40 years vs. 65 years) and had fewer comorbidities (15% vs. 54%) at study entry. The nebulized formulation of IFN alfa-2b is not FDA approved for use in the United States.<sup>37</sup></li> <li><i>Press Release.</i> A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial conducted in the United Kingdom evaluated inhaled IFN beta-1a (once daily for up to 14 days) in nonventilated patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Compared to the patients receiving placebo (n = 50), the patients receiving inhaled IFN beta-1a (n = 48) were more likely to recover to ambulation without restrictions (HR 2.19; 95% CI, 1.03–4.69; P = 0.04), had decreased odds of developing severe disease (OR 0.21; 95% CI, 0.04–0.97; P = 0.046),</li> </ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> )
Interferon Alfa and	d Interferon Beta, continued		
Interferon Alfa	<ul> <li>IFN alfa-2b: Leukemia, melanoma, lymphoma, condylomata acuminata, Kaposi sarcoma, hepatitis B, hepatitis C</li> <li>IFN alfa-1b is not available in the United States.</li> </ul>	• Elicits antiviral, antiproliferative, and immunomodulatory activities on numerous cell types <sup>34-36</sup>	<ul> <li>and had less breathlessness. Additional detail is required to fully evaluate these findings and their implications. Note that the inhaled IFN beta-1a formulation used in this study is not commercially available in the United States.<sup>38</sup></li> <li>An open-label, randomized trial at a single center in Iran evaluated SQ IFN beta-1a (3 times weekly for 2 weeks) in patients with severe COVID-19. There was no difference in the primary outcome of time to clinical response between the IFN beta-1a group (n = 42) and the control group (n = 39), and there was no difference between the arouns in our all length of beside in the part of the set of</li></ul>
Interferon Beta	• Multiple sclerosis (IFN beta- 1a, IFN beta-1b)	<ul> <li>Elicits antiviral, antiproliferative, and immunomodulatory activities on numerous cell types (T cell, B cell, and cytokine function)<sup>34,41</sup></li> <li>Among IFN subtypes, IFN beta-1b shows greatest <i>in vitro</i> inhibition of MERS-CoV.<sup>42,43</sup></li> <li><i>In vitro</i> activity against MERS-CoV in lung cells.<sup>44</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>no difference between the groups in overall length of hospitalization, length of ICU stay, or duration of mechanical ventilation. The reported 28-day overall mortality was lower in the IFN beta-1a group, but 4 patients in that group who died before receiving the fourth dose of IFN beta-1a were excluded from the analysis, which makes it difficult to interpret these results.<sup>39</sup></li> <li>An open-label, Phase 2 clinical trial randomized 127 participants (median age 52 years) 2:1 to combination antiviral therapy or LPV/r. In the combination antiviral therapy group, the treatment regimen differed by time from symptom onset to hospital admission. Participants admitted within 7 days of symptom onset (n = 76) were randomized to triple drug therapy (IFN beta-1b 8 million international units SQ every other day for up to 7 days total, LPV/r, and ribavirin); those admitted ≥7 days after symptom onset (n = 51) were randomized to double therapy (LPV/r and ribavirin) because of concerns regarding potential inflammatory effects of IFN. All participants in the control group received LPV/r alone regardless of time from symptom onset to hospitalization. The study participants were patients in Hong Kong with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 PCR on an NP swab (the primary endpoint) was shorter for the combination group than for the control group (7 days vs. 12 days, <i>P</i> = 0.001). The combination group had more rapid clinical improvement as assessed by NEWS2 and SOFA score and a shorter hospital stay (9 days for combination group vs. 14.5 days for control group. <i>P</i> = 0.016). There was no difference in oxygen use between the groups. The antivirial and clinical effect was more pronounced in the patients hospitalized within 7 days of symptom onset suggesting that IFN beta-1b with or without ribavirin was the critical component of the combination therapy. The study provides no information about the effect of IFN beta-1b administered ≥7 days after symptom onset.<sup>40</sup></li> </ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Interleukin-1 Inhib	itor		
Anakinra	<ul> <li>Rheumatoid arthritis</li> <li>Cryopyrin-associated periodic syndromes, specifically neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease<sup>45</sup></li> <li>IV formulation is not approved for use in the United States.</li> </ul>	Competitively inhibits IL-1 binding to the IL-1 type I receptor	For COVID-19: • A case-control study compared outcomes in 52 consecutive patients with COVID-19 treated with anakinra to outcomes in 44 historical controls. The patients in both groups were admitted to the same hospital system in Paris, France. Cases were consecutive admissions from March 24–April 6, 2020, with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection or lung infiltrates on chest imaging typical of COVID-19, and either significant hypoxia (Sp0 <sub>2</sub> s93% with ≥6 L/min 0 <sub>2</sub> ) or worsening hypoxia (Sp0 <sub>2</sub> s93% with >3 L/min 0 <sub>2</sub> and a loss of ≥3% of 0 <sub>2</sub> saturation on room air in the previous 24 hours). Historic controls were patients fulfilling the same eligibility criteria and admitted to the hospital from March 18–March 24, 2020. SOC for both groups entailed use of HCQ, AZM, and parenteral beta-lactam antibiotics. Patients in the anakinra group received anakinra 100 mg SQ twice daily for 72 hours, followed by anakinra 100 mg daily for 7 days. Clinical characteristics were similar between the groups, except that the case patients had a lower mean BMI (25.5 kg/m² for cases vs. 29.0 kg/m² for controls), longer duration of symptoms (8.4 days for cases vs. 61% for controls), and a higher frequency of HCQ use (90% for cases vs. 61% for controls), and a higher frequency of HCQ use (90% for cases vs. 61% for controls), and a higher frequency of HCQ use (25%) and 32 controls (73%) (HR 0.22; 95% CI, 0.11–0.41). However, within the first 2 days of follow up in the control group, 6 patients (14%) had died and 19 patients (43%) had reached the composite primary outcome, which further limited intragroup comparisons and specifically analyses of time to event. CRP levels decreased by Day 4 among those receiving anakinra. Thromboembolic events occurred in 10 patients (19%) in the case group and 5 patients (11%) in the control group. The clinical implications of these findings are uncertain, due to limitations in the study design related to unmeasured confounding combined with the very high early event

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Interleukin-1 Inhibi	tor, continued		
Anakinra, continued			<ul> <li>the first dose of the drug. Good clinical outcomes were observed in the other 8 patients as assessed by oxygen flow, decline in CRP, and no progression in infiltrates on serial CT scans. Three patients had elevated liver transaminase levels. Results are difficult to interpret because of the low number of patients in the case series, the short follow-up, and the absence of a comparison group.<sup>47</sup></li> <li>A single-center, retrospective, cohort study in Italy compared outcomes in</li> </ul>
			A single-center, retrospective, conort study in haly compared outcomes in 29 patients following open-label anakinra use with outcomes in 16 historical controls. All patients had COVID-19 with moderate to severe ARDS requiring noninvasive ventilation and evidence of hyperinflammation. High-dose IV anakinra 5 mg/kg twice daily was administered for a median of 9 days, followed by SQ administration (anakinra 100 mg twice daily) for 3 days to avoid inflammatory relapses. Both the anakinra and control (standard treatment) groups received HCQ and LPV/r. In the high-dose anakinra group, reductions in CRP levels were noted following anakinra initiation. The 21-day survival rate was 90% in the anakinra group and 56% in the control group ( <i>P</i> = 0.009); however, the patients in the anakinra group were younger (median age of 62 years in anakinra group vs. 70 years in control group), and fewer patients had chronic kidney disease. High-dose anakinra was discontinued in 7 patients (24%) due to AEs (bacteremia in 4 patients, elevated liver enzymes in 3 patients); however, retrospective assessment showed that these events occurred with similar frequency in the control group. An additional group of 7 patients received low-dose SQ anakinra (100 mg twice daily); however, treatment in this group was stopped after 7 days because of lack of clinical or anti-inflammatory effects. <sup>48</sup>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u><i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i>)</u>
<i>Interleukin-6 Inhi</i> Elevations in IL-6 these effects.		r when severe systemic inflamma	atory responses occur in some patients with COVID-19; IL-6 inhibition may reduce
Sarilumab	• Rheumatoid arthritis <sup>49</sup>	<ul> <li>Human recombinant monoclonal antibody</li> <li>IL-6 receptor antagonist<sup>50</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Press Release: In a Phase 2 and 3 clinical trial (<i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> Identifier <u>NCT04315298</u>), patients hospitalized with COVID-19 were randomized (2:2:1) to receive sarilumab 400 mg, sarilumab 200 mg, or placebo. Randomization was stratified by severity of illness (i.e., severe, critical, multisystem organ dysfunction) and use of systemic corticosteroids for COVID-19. The Phase 2 component of the trial verified that sarilumab (at either dose) reduced CRP levels. The primary outcome for Phase 3 of the trial was change on a 7-point scale, and this phase was modified to focus on the dose of sarilumab 400 mg among the patients in the critically ill group. During the conduct of the trial, there were numerous amendments that increased the sample size and modified the dosing strategies being studied, and multiple interim analyses were performed. The trial findings to date do not support a clinical benefit of sarilumab for any of the disease severity subgroups or dosing strategies studied. Additional detail (as would be included in a published manuscript) is required to fully evaluate the implications of these study findings.<sup>51</sup></li> </ul>
Siltuximab	• Multicentric Castleman disease	<ul> <li>Recombinant human- mouse chimeric monoclonal antibody</li> <li>IL-6 antagonist<sup>52</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Not Peer Reviewed. In a single-center observational study of 21 patients with COVID-19 who developed pneumonia and ARDS and received treatment with IV siltuximab, some patients experienced decreased CRP levels (16 of 21 patients) and improved clinical condition (7 of 21 patients) following siltuximab treatment. Other patients experienced no clinically relevant change in condition (9 of 21 patients) or worsening condition (5 of 21 patients). Among the 5 patients with worsening condition, there was 1 death and 1 cerebrovascular event (median follow-up of 8 days).<sup>53</sup></li> </ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Interleukin-6 Inhib	<i>itors</i> , continued		
Tocilizumab	<ul> <li>Cytokine release syndrome (induced by CAR T-cell therapy)</li> <li>Rheumatoid arthritis</li> <li>Giant cell arteritis</li> <li>Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis</li> <li>Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis<sup>54</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody</li> <li>IL-6 receptor antagonist</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li><i>Press Release:</i> The industry-sponsored Phase 3 COVACTA trial (<i>ClinicalTrials. gov</i> Identifier NCT04320615), randomized 450 adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19-related pneumonia to receive tocilizumab or placebo. The trial failed to meet its primary endpoint or several key secondary endpoints. The primary outcome was improved clinical status, which was measured using a 7-point ordinal scale to assess clinical status based on the need for intensive care and/ or ventilator use and the requirement for supplemental oxygen over a 4-week period. Key secondary outcomes included 4-week mortality. Differences in the primary outcome between the tocilizumab and placebo groups were not statistically significant (OR 1.19; 95% CI, 0.81–1.76; <i>P</i> = 0.36). At Week 4, mortality rates did not differ between the tocilizumab and placebo groups (19.7% vs. 19.4%; difference of 0.3%; 95% CI, -7.6% to 8.2%; <i>P</i> = 0.94). The difference in median number of ventilator-free days between the tocilizumab group vs. 16.5 days for placebo group; difference of 5.5 days; 95% CI, -2.8 to 13.0 days; <i>P</i> = 0.32). Infection rates at Week 4 were 38.3% in the tocilizumab group and 40.6% in the placebo group; serious infection rates were 21.0% and 25.9% in the tocilizumab and placebo groups. If experimental of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (n = 129) at 7 sites in France. The patients, who had moderate or severe disease at study entry, were randomized trial of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (n = 64). The dosing strategy was tocilizumab 8 mg/kg on Day 1; if there was no response (i.e., no decrease of oxygen requirement), a second infusion was repeated on Day 3. In this preliminary report, the proportion of participants who died or needed ventilation (noninvasive or mechanical) was lower in the tocilizumab group than in the SOC alone group. Detailed results of the trial have not been reported.</li> <li>Sixty-three adults hospitalized with COVID-19 were enrolled in a prospective open-label study of tociliz</li></ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Interleukin-6 Inhib	<i>pitors</i> , continued		
Tocilizumab, continued			increased between admission (152 +/-53 mm Hg) and Day 7 (284 +/-116 mm Hg). No moderate or severe AEs attributable to tocilizumab were reported. Overall mortality rate was 11% (7 deaths among the 63 patients). No details were provided regarding the rate of secondary infections after tocilizumab use. The authors report an association between earlier use of tocilizumab and reduced mortality, but provide no details regarding a comparison group or specify an a priori comparison, which limits interpretation of this result. <sup>56</sup>
			<ul> <li>An uncontrolled, retrospective cohort study of 21 hospitalized COVID-19 patients who received tocilizumab reported improvement in oxygenation and systemic inflammation. At study entry, among the 21 patients (mean age 56 years; range 25 to 88 years), 17 had severe disease and 4 had critical disease. All patients were febrile, had abnormal chest CT findings, and required oxygen supplementation (2 required mechanical ventilation). Mean CRP level was 75 mg/L, mean IL-6 expression level was 153 pg/mL, mean D-dimer level was 0.80 μg/mL, and mean lymphocyte percentage was 15.5%. Eighteen patients were given tocilizumab IV infusion once, and within 12 hours, 3 patients received a second infusion for indication of fever. Following tocilizumab administration, fevers normalized, lymphocyte percentages improved, and CRP levels declined. By Day 5, oxygen requirements were reduced in 15 of 20 participants (75%). There were no serious AEs attributed to tocilizumab, and no concurrent bacterial, fungal, or viral infections were observed during the treatment. The interpretability of this retrospective case series is limited due to its small sample size and lack of control group.<sup>57</sup></li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Additional data supporting the use of tocilizumab for COVID-19 include a small retrospective cohort study, a case series, and a case-control study.<sup>58-60</sup></li> </ul>
Kinase Inhibitors			
Bruton's Tyrosine	Kinase Inhibitors		
Acalabrutinib	<ul> <li>Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma</li> <li>Mantle cell lymphoma<sup>61</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Second-generation oral BTK inhibitor</li> <li>Inhibits BTK signaling of the B-cell antigen receptor and cytokine receptor pathways</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Data regarding acalabrutinib are limited to a retrospective case series in 19 patients with severe COVID-19. However, data interpretation to discern any clinical benefit is limited by the study's small sample size and lack of a control group.<sup>63</sup></li> </ul>
		Potential modulation of signaling that promotes inflammation and cytokine storm <sup>62</sup>	

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u><i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i>)</u>
Bruton's Tyrosine	Kinase Inhibitors, continued		
Ibrutinib	<ul> <li>Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/ small lymphocytic lymphoma</li> <li>Mantle cell lymphoma</li> <li>Marginal zone lymphoma</li> <li>Waldenström macroglobulinemia</li> <li>Chronic graft-versus-host disease in stem cell transplant recipients<sup>64</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>First-generation oral BTK inhibitor</li> <li>Inhibits BTK signaling of the B-cell antigen receptor and cytokine receptor pathways</li> <li>Potential modulation of signaling that promotes inflammation and cytokine storm<sup>65</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Data regarding ibrutinib are limited to an uncontrolled, retrospective case series of 6 patients with COVID-19 who were receiving ibrutinib for a condition other than COVID-19. However, evaluation of the data for any clinical benefit is limited by the study's small sample size and lack of control group.<sup>65</sup></li> </ul>
Zanubrutinib	• Mantle cell lymphoma <sup>66</sup>	<ul> <li>Second-generation oral BTK inhibitor</li> <li>Inhibits BTK signaling of the B-cell antigen receptor and cytokine receptor pathways</li> <li>Potential modulation of signaling that promotes inflammation and cytokine storm<sup>62</sup></li> </ul>	• No clinical data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS
Janus Kinase Inhi	bitors	1	
Baricitinib	• Rheumatoid arthritis <sup>67</sup>	<ul> <li>JAK inhibitor selective for JAK1, JAK2, and TYK2, relative to JAK3</li> <li>Theoretical direct antiviral activity through inhibition of kinases (AAK1 and cyclin G-associated kinase) that regulate viral endocytosis in pulmonary AT2 epithelial cells, which may prevent SARS-CoV-2 entry into and infection of susceptible cells.</li> <li>Dose-dependent inhibition of IL-6 induced STAT3 phosphorylation<sup>68</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>Not Peer Reviewed. A small, nonrandomized study of 12 patients with moderate COVID-19 pneumonia compared therapy with baricitinib and LPV/r with SOC alone (i.e., combination LPV/r and HCQ). Baricitinib and LPV/r therapy demonstrated a statistically significant time to improvement in clinical and respiratory symptoms and reduction in measured CRP.<sup>69</sup></li> </ul>

Drug Name	FDA-Approved Indications	Pre-Clinical Data/Mechanism of Action/Rationale for Use in COVID-19	Clinical Data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS (Find clinical trials on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u> )
Janus Kinase Inhi	<i>bitors</i> , continued		
Ruxolitinib	<ul> <li>Myelofibrosis</li> <li>Polycythemia vera</li> <li>Steroid-refractory acute graft-versus- host disease<sup>70</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JAK inhibitor selective for JAK1 and JAK2</li> <li>Theoretical antiviral properties through inhibition of AAK1 which may prevent viral entry into and infection of pulmonary AT2 alveolar epithelial cells<sup>71,72</sup></li> <li>Inhibition of IL-6 via JAK1/JAK2 pathway inhibition</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For COVID-19:</li> <li>A small, prospective, single-blind randomized controlled Phase 2 trial in patients with COVID-19 in China compared ruxolitinib 5 mg PO twice daily (n = 20) to placebo (vitamin C 100 mg; n = 21), both given in combination with SOC therapy. The median age of the patients was 63 years. There were no significant demographic differences between the 2 arms. Treatment with ruxolitinib was associated with a nonsignificant reduction in median time to clinical improvement (12 days for ruxolitinib vs. 15 days for placebo; <i>P</i> = 0.15), defined as a 2-point improvement on a 7-category ordinal scale or hospital discharge. There was no difference between the groups in the median time to discharge (17 days for ruxolitinib vs. 16 days for placebo; <i>P</i> = 0.94). More patients in the ruxolitinib group than in the placebo group had radiographic improvement on CT scans of the chest at Day 14 (90% for ruxolitinib vs. 61.9% for placebo; <i>P</i> = 0.05), and a shorter time to recovery from initial lymphopenia when present (5 days for ruxolitinib vs. 8 days for placebo; <i>P</i> = 0.03). The use of ruxolitinib was not associated with an increased risk of AEs or mortality (no deaths in the ruxolitinib group vs. 3 deaths [14% of patients] in the control group). Despite the theoretical antiviral properties of JAK inhibitors, there was no significant difference in time to viral clearance among patients who had detectable viral loads at randomization to ruxolitinib (n = 8) or placebo (n = 9). Limitations of this study include the small sample size, the exclusion of patients who required invasive mechanical ventilation at study entry, and the concomitant use of antivirals and steroids by 70% of patients.<sup>73</sup></li> <li>A small, retrospective, single-arm study in Germany reported no safety concerns in 14 patients with severe COVID-19 who received a brief course of ruxolitinib therapy (median 9 days).<sup>74</sup></li> </ul>
Tofacitinib	<ul> <li>Rheumatoid arthritis</li> <li>Psoriatic arthritis</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis<sup>75</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>JAK inhibitor selective for JAK1 and JAK3 with modest activity against JAK2</li> <li>Blocks signaling from gamma- chain cytokines (IL-2, IL-4) and gp130 proteins (IL-6, IL-11, IFNs)</li> <li>Shown to decrease levels of IL-6 in rheumatoid arthritis<sup>76</sup></li> </ul>	• No clinical data for COVID-19, SARS, or MERS

**Key:** AAK1 = Adaptor-associated kinase 1; ADE = antibody-dependent enhancement; AE = adverse event; ARDS = acute respiratory distress syndrome; ARV = antiretroviral; AT2 = alveolar type 2; AZM = azithromycin; BTK = Bruton's tyrosine kinase; CAR = chimeric antigen receptor; CRP = C-reactive protein; CI = confidence interval; CT = computerized tomography; EHR = electronic health record; EUA = Emergency Use Authorization; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; GAK = cyclin G-associated kinase; HCQ = hydroxychloroquine; HR = hazard ratio; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; ICU = intensive care unit; IDMC = independent data monitoring committee; IFN = interferon; IL = interleukin; IND = Investigational New Drug application; IV = intravenous; IVIG = intravenous immune globulin; LPV/r = lopinavir/ ritonavir; JAK = Janus kinase inhibitor; MERS = Middle East respiratory syndrome; MERS-CoV = Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; MSC = mesenchymal stem cells; NP = nasopharyngeal; NEWS2 = National Early Warning Score 2; OR = odds ratio; PaQ\_/FiQ\_ = ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PI = protease inhibitor; PRNT = plaque reduction neutralization test; RR = age-adjusted rate ratio; SAE = adverse event; SARS = severe acute respiratory syndrome; SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SOC = standard of care; SOFA = sequential organ failure assessment; SQ = subcutaneous; STAT3 = signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TACO = transfusion-associated circulatory overload, TRALI = transfusion-related acute lung injury

# References

- 1. Food and Drug Administration. EUA 26382: Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) Decision Memo. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/141480/download</u>. Accessed August 31, 2020.
- 2. Food and Drug Administration. EUA 26382: emergency use authorization (EUA) request. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.fda.gov/media/141481/download">https://www.fda.gov/media/141481/download</a>.
- 3. Food and Drug Administration. EUA of COVID-19 convalescent plasma for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients: fact sheet for health care providers. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/141478/download</u>. Accessed September 22, 2020.
- 4. Wang X, Guo X, Xin Q, et al. Neutralizing antibodies responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 inpatients and convalescent patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32497196</u>.
- 5. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on time to clinical improvement in patients with severe and life-threatening COVID-19: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32492084</u>.
- 6. Gharbharan A, Jordans CCE, GeurtsvanKessel C, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.01.20139857v1</u>.
- 7. Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, et al. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate COVID-19 in India: an open-label parallelarm Phase II multicentre randomized controlled trial (PLACID Trial). *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/</u> <u>content/10.1101/2020.09.03.20187252v2</u>.
- 8. Joyner MJ, Wright RS, Fairweather D, et al. Early safety indicators of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 5,000 patients. *J Clin Invest*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32525844">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32525844</a>.
- 9. Joyner MJ, Senefeld JW, Klassen SA, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma on mortality among hospitalized patients with COVID-19: initial three-month experience. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32817978</u>.
- 10. Liu STH, Lin HM, Baine I, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment of severe COVID-19: a propensity score-matched control study. *Nat Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32934372</u>.

11. Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA, et al. Treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 patients with convalescent plasma reveals a signal of significantly

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

decreased mortality. Am J Pathol. 2020. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32795424.

- 12. Salazar E, Perez KK, Ashraf M, et al. Treatment of COVID-19 patients with convalescent plasma in Houston, Texas. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511574">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511574</a>.
- Ahn JY, Sohn Y, Lee SH, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in two COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in Korea. J Korean Med Sci. 2020;35(14):e149. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32281317</u>.
- 14. Pei S, Yuan X, Zhang Z, et al. Convalescent plasma to treat COVID-19: Chinese strategy and experiences. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <a href="https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.07.20056440v1">https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.07.20056440v1</a>.
- 15. Ye M, Fu D, Ren Y, et al. Treatment with convalescent plasma for COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32293713</u>.
- 16. Zeng Q, Yu Z, Gou J, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on viral shedding and survival in COVID-19 patients. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2020; In press. Available at: <u>https://academic.oup.com/jid/advance-article/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiaa228/5826985</u>.
- 17. Duan K, Liu B, Li C, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19 patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32253318">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32253318</a>.
- 18. Shao Z, Feng Y, Zhong L, et al. Clinical efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in critical patients with COVID-19: A multicenter retrospective cohort study. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.11.20061739v2</u>.
- 19. Lukomska B, Stanaszek L, Zuba-Surma E, Legosz P, Sarzynska S, Drela K. Challenges and controversies in human mesenchymal stem cell therapy. *Stem Cells Int*. 2019;2019:9628536. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31093291</u>.
- 20. Shetty AK. Mesenchymal stem cell infusion shows promise for combating coronavirus (COVID-19)-induced pneumonia. *Aging Dis*. 2020;11(2):462-464. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32257554</u>.
- 21. Leng Z, Zhu R, Hou W, et al. Transplantation of ACE2(-) mesenchymal stem cells improves the outcome of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Aging Dis.* 2020;11(2):216-228. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32257537</u>.
- 22. Shu L, Niu C, Li R, et al. Treatment of severe COVID-19 with human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2020;11(1):361. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32811531</u>.
- 23. Chen J, Hu C, Chen L, et al. Clinical study of mesenchymal stem cell treating acute respiratory distress syndrome induced by epidemic Influenza A (H7N9) infection, a hint for COVID-19 treatment. *Engineering (Beijing)*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32292627">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32292627</a>.
- 24. Dexamethasone (DECADRON) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/011664s064lbl.pd</u>f.
- 25. Liu D, Ahmet A, Ward L, et al. A practical guide to the monitoring and management of the complications of systemic corticosteroid therapy. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol.* 2013;9(1):30. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23947590</u>.
- 26. Recovery Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19–preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32678530</u>.
- 27. Kolilekas L, Loverdos K, Giannakaki S, et al. Can steroids reverse the severe COVID-19 induced 'cytokine storm'? *J Med Virol*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32530507">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32530507</a>.

28. Fadel R, Morrison AR, Vahia A, et al. Early short course corticosteroids in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: *COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines* 

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32427279.

- 29. So C, Ro S, Murakami M, Imai R, Jinta T. High-dose, short-term corticosteroids for ARDS caused by COVID-19: a case series. *Respirol Case Rep.* 2020;8(6):e00596. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32514354</u>.
- 30. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32167524">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32167524</a>.
- 31. Yuan M, Xu X, Xia D, et al. Effects of corticosteroid treatment for non-severe COVID-19 pneumonia: a propensity score-based analysis. *Shock*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32496422</u>.
- 32. Yang Z, Liu J, Zhou Y, Zhao X, Zhao Q, Liu J. The effect of corticosteroid treatment on patients with coronavirus infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect*. 2020;81(1):e13-e20. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32283144">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32283144</a>.
- 33. Lu X, Chen T, Wang Y, Wang J, Yan F. Adjuvant corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):241. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32430057">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32430057</a>.
- 34. Spiegel M, Pichlmair A, Muhlberger E, Haller O, Weber F. The antiviral effect of interferon-beta against SARS-coronavirus is not mediated by MxA protein. *J Clin Virol*. 2004;30(3):211-213. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15135736</u>.
- 35. Interferon alfa-2b (INTRON A) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/103132Orig1s5199lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 36. Peginterferon alfa-2a (PEGASYS) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2017/103964s5270lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 37. Zhou Q, Chen V, Shannon CP, et al. Interferon-alpha2b Treatment for COVID-19. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:1061. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32574262">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32574262</a>.
- 38. Synairgen announces positive results from trial of SNG001 in hospitalised COVID-19 patients [press release]. July 20, 2020.
- 39. Davoudi-Monfared E, Rahmani H, Khalili H, et al. Efficacy and safety of interferon beta-1a in treatment of severe COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32661006.
- 40. Hung IF, Lung KC, Tso EY, et al. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, Phase 2 trial. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10238):1695-1704. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32401715">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32401715</a>.
- 41. Haji Abdolvahab M, Mofrad MR, Schellekens H. Interferon beta: from molecular level to therapeutic effects. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol*. 2016;326:343-372. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27572132</u>.
- 42. Arabi YM, Shalhoub S, Mandourah Y, et al. Ribavirin and interferon therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome: a multicenter observational study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31925415">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31925415</a>.
- 43. Martinez MA. Compounds with therapeutic potential against novel respiratory 2019 coronavirus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32152082">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32152082</a>.
- 44. Schofield A. Synairgen to start trial of SNG001 in COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://pharmafield.co.uk/pharma\_news/synairgen-to-start-trial-of-sng001-in-covid-19/</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.

- 45. Anakinra (Kineret) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2012. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2012/103950s5136lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 46. Huet T, Beaussier H, Voisin O, et al. Anakinra for severe forms of COVID-19: a cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatology*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lanrhe/PIIS2665-9913(20)30164-8.pdf</u>.
- 47. Aouba A, Baldolli A, Geffray L, et al. Targeting the inflammatory cascade with anakinra in moderate to severe COVID-19 pneumonia: case series. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32376597</u>.
- 48. Cavalli G, De Luca G, Campochiaro C, et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatology*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanrhe/article/PIIS2665-9913(20)30127-2/fulltext">https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanrhe/article/PIIS2665-9913(20)30127-2/fulltext</a>.
- 49. Sarilumab (KEVZARA) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/761037s001lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 50. Wang Z, Yang B, Li Q, Wen L, Zhang R. Clinical features of 69 cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32176772">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32176772</a>.
- 51. Regeneron and Sanofi provide update on U.S. Phase 2/3 adaptive-designed trial of KEVZARA® (sarilumab) in hospitalized COVID-19 patients [press release]. 2020.
- 52. Siltuximab (SYLVANT) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/125496s018lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 53. Gritti G, Raimondi F, Ripamonti D, et al. Use of siltuximab in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia requiring ventilatory support. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.01.20048561v1</u>.
- 54. Tocilizumab (ACTEMRA) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/125276s127,125472s040lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 55. Roche. Roche provides an update on the Phase III COVACTA trial of Actemra/RoActemra in hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19 associated pneumonia. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.roche.com/investors/updates/inv-update-2020-07-29.htm</u>. Accessed August 10, 2020.
- 56. Sciascia S, Apra F, Baffa A, et al. Pilot prospective open, single-arm multicentre study on off-label use of tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2020;38(3):529-532. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32359035">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32359035</a>.
- 57. Xu X, Han M, Li T, et al. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32350134</u>.
- 58. Morena V, Milazzo L, Oreni L, et al. Off-label use of tocilizumab for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Milan, Italy. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2020;76:36-42. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32448770</u>.
- 59. Capra R, De Rossi N, Mattioli F, et al. Impact of low dose tocilizumab on mortality rate in patients with COVID-19 related pneumonia. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2020;76:31-35. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32405160.
- 60. Campochiaro C, Della-Torre E, Cavalli G, et al. Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in severe COVID-19 patients: a single-centre retrospective cohort study. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2020;76:43-49. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32482597.
- 61. Acalabrutinib (CALQUENCE) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/

label/2017/210259s000lbl.pdf. Accessed June 26, 2020.

- 62. Zhang W, Zhao Y, Zhang F, et al. The use of anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of people with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): the perspectives of clinical immunologists from China. *Clin Immunol.* 2020;214:108393. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32222466">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32222466</a>.
- 63. Roschewski M, Lionakis MS, Sharman JP, et al. Inhibition of Bruton tyrosine kinase in patients with severe COVID-19. *Sci Immunol.* 2020;5(48). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32503877</u>.
- 64. Ibrutinib (IMBRUVICA) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2015. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2015/205552s002lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed May 28, 2020.
- 65. Treon SP, Castillo JJ, Skarbnik AP, et al. The BTK inhibitor ibrutinib may protect against pulmonary injury in COVID-19-infected patients. *Blood*. 2020;135(21):1912-1915. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32302379.
- 66. Zanubrutinib (BRUKINSA) [package insert].Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/213217s000lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed May 20, 2020.
- 67. Baricitinib (OLUMIANT) [package Insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207924s0011bl.pdf</u>. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- 68. McInnes IB, Byers NL, Higgs RE, et al. Comparison of baricitinib, upadacitinib, and tofacitinib mediated regulation of cytokine signaling in human leukocyte subpopulations. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2019;21(1):183. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31375130">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31375130</a>.
- 69. Cantini F, Niccoli L, Matarrese D, Nicastri E, Stobbione P, Goletti D. Baricitinib therapy in COVID-19: A pilot study on safety and clinical impact. *J Infect*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32333918</u>.
- 70. Ruxolitinib (JAKAFI) [package Insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/202192s017lbl.pdf</u>.
- 71. Richardson P, Griffin I, Tucker C, et al. Baricitinib as potential treatment for 2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):e30-e31. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32032529</u>.
- 72. Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(4):400-402. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32113509</u>.
- 73. Cao Y, Wei J, Zou L, et al. Ruxolitinib in treatment of severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a multicenter, single-blind, randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32470486</u>.
- 74. La Rosee F, Bremer HC, Gehrke I, et al. The Janus kinase 1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib in COVID-19 with severe systemic hyperinflammation. *Leukemia*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32518419</u>.
- 75. Tofacitinib (XELJANZ) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/203214s024,208246s010lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed May 28, 2020.
- 76. Migita K, Izumi Y, Jiuchi Y, et al. Effects of Janus kinase inhibitor tofacitinib on circulating serum amyloid A and interleukin-6 during treatment for rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2014;175(2):208-214. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24665995">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24665995</a>.

# Table 3b. Characteristics of Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19

Last Updated: August 27, 2020

- The information in this table is derived from data on the use of these drugs and biologic products for FDA-approved indications or in investigational trials; it is supplemented with data on their use in patients with COVID-19 where available.
- The effective dosing of these agents for the treatment of COVID-19 is unknown. Therefore, the doses listed below are primarily derived from FDA-approved indications or from clinical trials investigating therapies for COVID-19.
- There are limited or no data on dose modifications for patients with organ failure or those who require extracorporeal devices. Please refer to product labels, when available.
- Treatment-related AEs associated with immune-based therapy in patients with COVID-19 are not well defined. Whether the frequency and severity of AEs associated with use of these agents for FDA-approved indications are the same in patients with COVID-19, especially in critically ill patients, is unknown. AEs associated with long-term use of these drugs (i.e., months to years) are not included in this table because treatment for COVID-19 is not long term. Please refer to product labels, when available.
- There are currently not enough data to determine whether certain medications can be safely coadministered with therapies for the treatment of COVID-19. When using concomitant medications with similar toxicity profiles, consider additional safety monitoring.
- The potential additive, antagonistic, or synergistic effects and the safety of combination therapies for the treatment of COVID-19 are unknown. Clinicians are encouraged to report AEs to the <u>FDA *Medwatch* program</u>.
- For drug interaction information, please refer to product labeling and visit the Liverpool COVID-19 Drug Interactions website.
- For information on drugs that prolong the QTc interval, please visit <u>CredibleMeds.org</u>.

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimen</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Blood-Derived Proc	lucts				
COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma	1 or more transfusions based on patient response	<ul> <li>TRALI</li> <li>TACO</li> <li>Allergic reactions</li> <li>Antibody-mediated enhancement of infection</li> <li>Red cell alloimmunization</li> <li>Transmission of infectious pathogens<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Thrombotic events</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for transfusion- related reactions.</li> <li>Vital signs at baseline and during and after transfusion</li> </ul>	Drug products <b>should not be added</b> to the IV infusion line for the blood product.	<ul> <li>There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of COVID-19 convalescent plasma or SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins for the treatment of COVID-19.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Convalescent Plasma</li> </ul>
Immunoglobulins: SARS-CoV-2 Specific	Doses vary by clinical trial.	<ul> <li>TRALI</li> <li>TACO</li> <li>Allergic reactions</li> <li>Antibody-mediated enhancement of infection</li> <li>Red cell alloimmunization</li> <li>Transmission of infectious pathogens</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for transfusion- related reactions.</li> <li>Vital signs at baseline and during and after transfusion</li> </ul>	Drug products <b>should not be added</b> to the IV infusion line for the blood product.	<ul> <li>There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins for the treatment of COVID-19.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Immunoglobulin</u></li> </ul>

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimen</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Blood-Derived Proc	ducts, continued				
Immunoglobulins: Non-SARS-CoV-2 Specific	Doses vary based on indication and formulation.	<ul> <li>Allergic reactions including anaphylaxis</li> <li>Renal failure</li> <li>Thrombotic events</li> <li>Aseptic meningitis syndrome</li> <li>Hemolysis</li> <li>TRALI</li> <li>Transmission of infectious pathogens</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for transfusion- related reactions.</li> <li>Vital signs at baseline and during and after infusion</li> <li>Discontinue if renal function deteriorates during treatment.</li> </ul>	IVIG may interfere with immune response to certain vaccines.	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of non-SARS-CoV-2 specific IVIG for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII). This recommendation should not preclude the use of IVIG when otherwise indicated for treatment of complications that arise during COVID-19.</li> <li>AEs may vary by formulation.</li> <li>AEs may be precipitated by high-dose, rapid infusion, or underlying conditions.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Intravenous Immunoglobulin</li> </ul>
Mesenchymal Stem Cells	Doses vary by clinical trial. In the United States, mesenchymal stem cells <b>should not be used</b> in the United States for the treatment of COVID-19 outside of an FDA-approved clinical trial, expanded access protocol, or EIND process.	<ul> <li>Failure of the cells to work as expected<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Potential for mesenchymal stem cells to multiply or change into inappropriate cell types</li> <li>Product contamination</li> <li>Growth of tumors</li> <li>Infections</li> <li>Thrombus formation<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Administration site reactions<sup>4,5</sup></li> </ul>	• Monitor for administration site reactions.	Drug products <b>should not be added</b> to the IV infusion line for the mesenchymal stem cell product.	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AII).</li> <li>The FDA has issued several warnings about patients being potentially vulnerable to stem cell treatments that are illegal and potentially harmful.<sup>4</sup> A number of cord blood-derived products are currently licensed by the FDA for various indications such as the treatment of cancer (stem cell transplant) and rare genetic diseases. These products are not FDA approved for the treatment of COVID-19.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Mesenchymal Stem Cells</li> </ul>

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimen</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Corticosteroids		<u>.</u>			
Dexamethasone	For COVID-19: • Dexamethasone 6 mg daily IV or PO, for up to 10 days <sup>6</sup> • Dexamethasone should be continued for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first.	<ul> <li>Hyperglycemia</li> <li>Secondary infections</li> <li>Reactivation of latent infections (e.g., HBV, HSV, strongyloidiasis, TB)</li> <li>Psychiatric disturbances</li> <li>Avascular necrosis</li> <li>Adrenal insufficiency</li> <li>Increased blood pressure</li> <li>Peripheral edema</li> <li>Myopathy (particularly if used with neuromuscular blocking agents)</li> <li>When used during outbreaks of other novel coronavirus infections (i.e., MERS and SARS), corticosteroid therapy was associated with delayed virus clearance.<sup>7,8</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Blood glucose</li> <li>Blood pressure</li> <li>Sign and symptoms of new infection</li> <li>When initiating dexamethasone, appropriate screening and treatment to reduce the risk of <i>Strongyloides</i> hyperinfection in patients at high risk of strongyloidiasis (e.g., patients from tropical, or warm temperate regions or who engage in agricultural activities) or fulminant reactivations of HBV should be considered.<sup>9-11</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate CYP3A4 inducer</li> <li>CYP3A4 substrate</li> <li>Although coadministration of RDV and dexamethasone has not been formally studied, a clinically significant PK interaction is not predicted (Gilead, written communication, August 2020).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>On the basis of the preliminary report from the Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial, the Panel recommends using dexamethasone 6 mg per day for up to 10 days or until hospital discharge, whichever comes first, for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients who are mechanically ventilated (AI) and in hospitalized patients who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (BI).</li> <li>The Panel recommends against using dexamethasone for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who do not require supplemental oxygen (AI).</li> <li>If dexamethasone is not available, the Panel recommends using alternative glucocorticoids such as prednisone, methylprednisolone, or hydrocortisone (AIII).</li> <li>The approximate daily dose equivalencies for these glucocorticoids to dexamethasone 6 mg (PO or IV) are: prednisone 40 mg, methylprednisolone 32 mg, and hydrocortisone 160 mg.</li> <li>In the RECOVERY trial, only 5 patients received RDV; therefore, the safety and efficacy of coadministering RDV and dexamethasone are not known.</li> <li>In the United States, dexamethasone is available in the following formulations: oral tablet, oral solution, oral elixir, and IV solution.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Dexamethasone</li> </ul>

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimen</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Interferons Interferon Alfa	<ul> <li>Peginterferon alfa-2a 180 mcg SQ once weekly for 2 weeks for MERS<sup>12,13</sup></li> <li>IFN Alfa-2b: COVID-19 Clinical Trial Dosing:</li> <li>Nebulized IFN alfa-2b 5 million international units twice daily (no duration listed in the study)<sup>14</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flu-like symptoms (e.g., fever, fatigue, myalgia)<sup>15</sup></li> <li>Injection site reactions</li> <li>Liver function abnormalities</li> <li>Decreased blood counts</li> <li>Worsening depression</li> <li>Insomnia</li> <li>Irritability</li> <li>Nausea</li> <li>Vomiting</li> <li>Hypertension</li> <li>Induction of autoimmunity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Liver enzymes; avoid if Child- Pugh Score &gt;6</li> <li>Depression, psychiatric symptoms</li> <li>Reduce dose in patients with CrCl &lt;30 mL/ min.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential for drug interactions</li> <li>Inhibition of CYP1A2</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of IFNs for the treatment of patients with severe and critical COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>For COVID-19, IFN alfa has primarily been used as nebulization and usually as part of a combination regimen.</li> <li>Nebulized IFN alfa-2b is not approved by the FDA for use in the United States.</li> <li>IFN alfa-1b is not approved by the FDA for use in the United States.</li> <li>Use with caution with other hepatotoxic agents.</li> <li>Reduce dose if ALT &gt;5 times ULN; discontinue if accompanied by increase in bilirubin.</li> <li>Reduce dose or discontinue if neutropenia or thrombocytopenia occur.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Interferon</li> </ul>

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimen</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Interferons, con Interferon Beta	<ul> <li>tinued</li> <li>IFN Beta-1a:</li> <li>IFN beta-1a 44 mcg SQ 3 times weekly for MERS<sup>13</sup></li> <li>Duration for COVID-19 unknown</li> <li>IFN Beta-1b:</li> <li>IFN beta-1b 8 million international units SQ, every other day, up to 7 days total for COVID-19<sup>16</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flu-like symptoms (e.g., fever, fatigue, myalgia)<sup>17</sup></li> <li>Leukopenia, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, lymphopenia</li> <li>Liver function abnormalities (ALT &gt; AST)</li> <li>Injection site reactions</li> <li>Headache</li> <li>Hypertonia</li> <li>Pain</li> <li>Rash</li> <li>Worsening depression</li> <li>Induction of autoimmunity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Liver enzymes</li> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Worsening CHF</li> <li>Depression, suicidal ideation</li> </ul>	Low potential for drug interactions	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of IFNs for the treatment of patients with severe and critical COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>There are insufficient data to recommend either for or against the use of IFN beta for the treatment of early (i.e., &lt;7 days from symptom onset) mild and moderate COVID-19.</li> <li>Use with caution with other hepatotoxic agents.</li> <li>Reduce dose if ALT &gt;5 times ULN.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Interferon Availability:</li> <li>Several products are available in the United States; product doses differ.</li> <li><i>IFN Beta-1a Products:</i></li> <li>Avonex, Rebif</li> <li><i>IFN Beta-1b Products:</i></li> <li>Betaseron, Extavia</li> </ul>

Drug Name	Dosing Regimen There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Interleukin-1 Ir	hibitor				
Anakinra	<ul> <li>Standard adult dose is anakinra 100 mg SQ once daily</li> <li>Has also been used IV</li> <li>Duration unknown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Neutropenia (particularly in combination with other agents that can cause neutropenia)</li> <li>Anaphylaxis</li> <li>Headache, nausea, diarrhea, sinusitis, arthralgia, flu-like symptoms, and abdominal pain</li> <li>Injection site reactions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Renal function (reduce dose in patients with CrCl &lt;30 mL/ min)</li> <li>Liver enzymes</li> </ul>	Use with TNF- blocking agents <b>is</b> <b>not recommended</b> due to increased risk of infection.	<ul> <li>There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of IL-1 inhibitors (e.g., anakinra) for the treatment of COVID-19.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Anakinra</u></li> </ul>
		Liver enzyme elevations			
Interleukin-6 Ir					
Anti-Interleukin Sarilumab <sup>18</sup>	<ul> <li>-6 Receptor Monoclonal Antibol Clinical Trial Dosing (See ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT04315298):</li> <li>Sarilumab 400 mg IV (single dose)<sup>19</sup></li> <li>Note: The only FDA- approved sarilumab product is an SQ formulation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia</li> <li>Gastrointestinal perforation</li> <li>HSR</li> <li>Increased liver enzymes</li> <li>HBV reactivation</li> <li>Infusion reaction possible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for HSR</li> <li>Monitor for infusion reaction</li> <li>Neutrophils</li> <li>Platelets</li> <li>Liver enzymes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Elevated IL-6 may downregulate CYP enzymes; use of sarilumab may lead to increased metabolism of drugs that are CYP450 substrates.</li> <li>Effects on CYP450 may persist for weeks after therapy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of sarilumab for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (BI).</li> <li>May mask signs of acute inflammation or infection (i.e., suppression of fever and CRP)</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Sarilumab</u></li> </ul>

Drug Name	<b>Dosing Regimen</b> There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Tocilizumab <sup>20</sup>	<ul> <li>6 Receptor Monoclonal Antibout</li> <li>Clinical Trial Dosing:         <ul> <li>Tocilizumab 8 mg/kg IV once</li> <li>Dose should not exceed tocilizumab 800 mg.</li> <li>Dose may be repeated</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Infusion-related reactions</li> <li>HSR</li> <li>Gastrointestinal perforation</li> <li>Hepatotoxicity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for HSR</li> <li>Monitor for infusion reactions</li> <li>Neutrophils</li> </ul>	Elevated IL-6 may downregulate CYP enzymes; use of tocilizumab may lead to increased metabolism of drugs that are	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of tocilizumab for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (BI).</li> <li>May mask signs of acute inflammation or infection (i.e., suppression of fever and CRP)</li> <li>The SQ formulation of tocilizumab is not</li> </ul>
Anti-Interleukin	<ul> <li>Plose may be repeated</li> <li>Inspation (see <i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> Identifier <u>NCT04320615</u>).</li> <li>Treatment-related changes in neutrophils, platelets, lipids, and liver enzymes</li> <li>HBV reactivation</li> <li>Platelets</li> <li>CYP48</li> <li>Effects may p weeks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CYP450 substrates.</li> <li>Effects on CYP450 may persist for weeks after therapy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>intended for IV administration.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Tocilizumab</u></li> </ul>		
Siltuximab	<ul> <li>Siltuximab 11 mg/kg IV over 1 hour every 3 weeks for multicentric Castleman disease<sup>21</sup></li> <li>Dose and duration for COVID-19 unknown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Infusion-related reaction</li> <li>HSR</li> <li>Gastrointestinal perforation</li> <li>Neutropenia</li> <li>Hypertension</li> <li>Dizziness</li> <li>Rash</li> <li>Pruritus</li> <li>Hyperuricemia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitor for HSR</li> <li>Monitor for infusion reaction</li> <li>Neutrophils</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Elevated IL-6 may downregulate CYP enzymes; use of siltuximab may lead to increased metabolism of drugs that are CYP450 substrates.</li> <li>Effects on CYP450 may persist for weeks after therapy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of siltuximab for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (BI).</li> <li>May mask signs of acute inflammation or infection (i.e., suppression of fever and CRP)</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Siltuximab</u></li> </ul>

	Dosing Regimen				
Drug Name	There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Kinase Inhibito	rs	1	1	1	
Bruton's Tyrosii	ne Kinase Inhibitors				
Acalabrutinib	<ul> <li>Dose for FDA-Approved Indications:</li> <li>Acalabrutinib 100 mg PO every 12 hours</li> <li>Dose and duration for COVID-19 unknown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hemorrhage</li> <li>Cytopenias (neutropenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, lymphopenia)</li> <li>Atrial fibrillation and flutter</li> <li>Infection</li> <li>Headache</li> <li>Diarrhea</li> <li>Fatigue</li> <li>Myalgia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Signs and symptoms of bleeding (particularly when coadministered with anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy)</li> <li>Monitor for cardiac arrhythmias</li> <li>Monitor for new infections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Avoid concomitant use with strong CYP3A inhibitors or inducers.</li> <li>Dose reduction may be necessary with moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors.</li> <li>Avoid concomitant PPI use.</li> <li>H2-receptor antagonist should be administered 2 hours after acalabrutinib.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of BTK inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>Avoid use in patients with severe hepatic impairment.</li> <li>Patients with underlying cardiac risk factors, hypertension, or acute infections may be predisposed to atrial fibrillation.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Acalabrutinib</li> </ul>
Ibrutinib	<ul> <li>Doses for FDA-Approved Indications:</li> <li>Ibrutinib 420 mg or 560 mg PO once daily</li> <li>Dose and duration for COVID-19 unknown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hemorrhage</li> <li>Cardiac arrhythmias</li> <li>Serious infections</li> <li>Cytopenias (thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, anemia)</li> <li>Hypertension</li> <li>Diarrhea</li> <li>Musculoskeletal pain</li> <li>Rash</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Blood pressure</li> <li>Signs and symptoms of bleeding (particularly when coadministered with anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy)</li> <li>Monitor for cardiac arrhythmias</li> <li>Monitor for new infections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Avoid concomitant use with strong CYP3A inhibitors or inducers.</li> <li>Dose reduction may be necessary with moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of BTK inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>Avoid in patients with severe baseline hepatic impairment. Dose modifications required in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment.</li> <li>Patients with underlying cardiac risk factors, hypertension, or acute infections may be predisposed to cardiac arrhythmias.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Ibrutinib</u></li> </ul>

	Dosing Regimen				
Drug Name	There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Bruton's Tyrosii	ne Kinase Inhibitors, continued	·	·	·	·
Zanubrutinib	<ul> <li>Dose for FDA-Approved Indications:</li> <li>Zanubrutinib 160 mg PO twice daily or 320 mg PO once daily</li> <li>Dose and duration for COVID-19 unknown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hemorrhage</li> <li>Cytopenias (neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, leukopenia)</li> <li>Atrial fibrillation and flutter</li> <li>Infection</li> <li>Rash</li> <li>Bruising</li> <li>Diarrhea</li> <li>Cough</li> <li>Musculoskeletal pain</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Signs and symptoms of bleeding</li> <li>Monitor for cardiac arrhythmias</li> <li>Monitor for new infections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Avoid concomitant use with moderate or strong CYP3A inducers.</li> <li>Dose reduction required with moderate and strong CYP3A4 inhibitors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of BTK inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>Dose reduction required in patients with severe hepatic impairment.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Zanubrutinib</li> </ul>
Janus Kinase II	nhibitors				
Baricitinib <sup>22</sup>	<ul> <li>For Rheumatoid Arthritis:</li> <li>Baricitinib 2 mg PO once daily</li> <li>Doses for COVID-19 in Clinical Trials:</li> <li>Baricitinib 2 mg-4 mg PO once daily for 7–14 days</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lymphoma and other malignancies</li> <li>Thrombosis</li> <li>Gastrointestinal perforation</li> <li>Treatment- related changes in lymphocytes, neutrophils, hemoglobin, liver enzymes</li> <li>Herpes simplex</li> <li>Herpes zoster</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Renal function</li> <li>Liver enzymes</li> <li>Monitor for new infections</li> </ul>	Dose modification is recommended when concurrently administering with a strong OAT3 inhibitor.	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of JAK inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>Baricitinib is not recommended in patients with severe hepatic or renal impairment.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Baricitinib</li> </ul>

	Dosing Regimen				
Drug Name	There are no approved doses for the treatment of COVID-19. The doses listed here are for approved indications or from reported experiences or clinical trials.	Adverse Effects	Monitoring Parameters	Drug-Drug Interaction Potential	Panel Recommendations, Comments, and Links to Clinical Trials
Janus Kinase I	nhibitors, continued			, 	
Ruxolitinib	<ul> <li>Doses for FDA-approved indications range from ruxolitinib 5 mg PO twice daily to 20 mg PO twice daily.</li> <li>Doses in COVID-19 <u>clinical</u> <u>trials</u> range from ruxolitinib 5 mg PO twice daily to 20 mg PO twice daily, for 14 days.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thrombocytopenia</li> <li>Anemia</li> <li>Neutropenia</li> <li>Liver enzyme elevations</li> <li>Risk of infection</li> <li>Dizziness</li> <li>Headache</li> <li>Diarrhea</li> <li>CPK elevation</li> <li>Herpes zoster</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Liver enzymes</li> <li>Monitor for new infections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dose modifications required when administered with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors.</li> <li>Avoid use with fluconazole doses &gt;200 mg.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of JAK inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>Dose modification may be required in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment, hepatic impairment, or thrombocytopenia.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: <u>Ruxolitinib</u></li> </ul>
Tofacitinib	<ul> <li>Doses for FDA-Approved Indications:</li> <li>Tofacitinib 5 mg PO twice daily (rheumatoid and psoriatic arthritis)</li> <li>Tofacitinib 10 mg PO twice daily (ulcerative colitis)</li> <li>Dose and duration for COVID-19 is unknown; a planned COVID-19 clinical trial will be evaluating tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily for 14 days.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thrombotic events (pulmonary embolism, DVT, arterial thrombosis)</li> <li>Anemia</li> <li>Risk of infection</li> <li>Gastrointestinal perforation</li> <li>Diarrhea</li> <li>Headache</li> <li>Herpes zoster reactivation</li> <li>Lipid elevations</li> <li>Liver enzyme elevations</li> <li>Lymphoma and other malignancies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CBC with differential</li> <li>Liver enzymes</li> <li>Monitor for new infections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dose modifications required when administered with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors, or when used with a moderate CYP3A4 inhibitor coadministered with a strong CYP2C19 inhibitor.</li> <li>Avoid live vaccines.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel recommends against the use of JAK inhibitors for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).</li> <li>Avoid use in patients with ALC &lt;500 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, ANC &lt;1,000 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, or Hgb &lt;9 grams/dL.</li> <li>Dose modification may be required in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment or moderate hepatic impairment.</li> <li>A list of clinical trials is available: Tofacitinib</li> </ul>

**Key:** AE = adverse effect or adverse event; ALC = absolute lymphocyte count; ALT = alanine transaminase; ANC = absolute neutrophil count; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; BTK = Bruton's tyrosine kinase; CBC = complete blood count; CHF = congestive heart failure; CrCl = creatinine clearance; CPK = creatine phosphokinase; CRP = C-reactive protein; CYP = cytochrome P; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; EIND = Emergency Investigational New Drug; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; HBV = hepatitis B; Hgb = hemoglobin; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; HSV = herpes simplex virus; IFN = interferon; IL-1 = interleukin-1; IL-6 = interleukin-6; IV = intravenous; IVIG = intravenous immunoglobulin; JAK = Janus kinase; MERS = Middle East respiratory syndrome; OAT = organic anion transporter; PK = pharmacokinetic; PO = orally; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RDV = remdesivir; SARS = severe acute respiratory syndrome; SARS-CoV-2 = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SQ = subcutaneous; TACO = transfusion-associated circulatory overload; TB = tuberculosis; the Panel = the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel; TNF = tumor necrosis factor; TRALI = transfusion-related acute lung injury; ULN = upper limit of normal

# References

- 1. Marano G, Vaglio S, Pupella S, et al. Convalescent plasma: new evidence for an old therapeutic tool? *Blood Transfus*. 2016;14(2):152-157. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26674811">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26674811</a>.
- 2. Giordano A, Galderisi U, Marino IR. From the laboratory bench to the patient's bedside: an update on clinical trials with mesenchymal stem cells. *J Cell Physiol*. 2007;211(1):27-35. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17226788</u>.
- 3. Tatsumi K, Ohashi K, Matsubara Y, et al. Tissue factor triggers procoagulation in transplanted mesenchymal stem cells leading to thromboembolism. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2013;431(2):203-209. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23313481</u>.
- 4. Food and Drug Administration. FDA warns about stem cell therapies. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/consumers/consumer-updates/fda-warns-about-stem-cell-therapies</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Stem cell and exosome products. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/hai/outbreaks/stem-cell-products.html</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 6. Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY). Low-cost dexamethasone reduces death by up to one third in hospitalised patients with severe respiratory complications of COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.recoverytrial.net/news/low-cost-dexamethasone-reduces-death-by-up-to-one-third-in-hospitalised-patients-with-severe-respiratory-complications-of-covid-19.</u> Accessed June 23, 2020.
- 7. Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018;197(6):757-767. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29161116</u>.
- 8. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(9):e343. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.</u> <u>gov/pubmed/16968120</u>.
- 9. Stauffer WM, Alpern JD, Walker PF. COVID-19 and dexamethasone: a potential strategy to avoid steroid-related strongyloides hyperinfection. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32761166</u>.
- 10. Liu J, Wang T, Cai Q, et al. Longitudinal changes of liver function and hepatitis B reactivation in COVID-19 patients with pre-existing chronic HBV infection. *Hepatol Res*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32761993</u>.
- 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites—strongyloides: resources for health professionals. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/</u> parasites/strongyloides/health\_professionals/index.html. Accessed August 14, 2020.
- 12. Omrani AS, Saad MM, Baig K, et al. Ribavirin and interferon alfa-2a for severe Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014;14(11):1090-1095. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25278221">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25278221</a>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

- Shalhoub S, Farahat F, Al-Jiffri A, et al. IFN-alpha2a or IFN-beta1a in combination with ribavirin to treat Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus pneumonia: a retrospective study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(7):2129-2132. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25900158</u>.
- 14. Zhou Q, Chen V, Shannon CP, et al. Interferon-alpha2b Treatment for COVID-19. *Front Immunol*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32574262</u>.
- 15. Peginterferon alpha-2a (PEGASYS) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2017/103964s5270lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2017/103964s5270lbl.pdf</a>.
- 16. Hung IF, Lung KC, Tso EY, et al. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, Phase 2 trial. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10238):1695-1704. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32401715</u>.
- 17. Interferon beta-1a [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/103780s5204lbl.pdf</u>.
- 18. Sarilumab (KEVZARA) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/761037s001lbl.pdf</u>.
- Regeneron and Sanofi provide update on U.S. Phase 2/3 adaptive-designed trial of KEVZARA® (sarilumab) in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. News release. PRNewswire. April 27, 2020. Available at: <u>https://investor.regeneron.com/news-releases/news-release-details/regeneron-and-sanofi-provide-update-us-phase-23-adaptive</u>.
- 20. Tocilizumab (ACTEMRA) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/125276s127,125472s040lbl.pdf</u>.
- 21. Siltuximab (SYLVANT) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/125496s018lbl.pdf</u>.
- 22. Baricitinib (OLUMIANT) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207924s001lbl.pdf</u>.

# Adjunctive Therapy

# Last Updated: July 17, 2020

In addition to the <u>antiviral medications</u> and the <u>immune-based therapies</u> for the treatment of COVID-19 that are discussed elsewhere in the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines, adjunctive therapies are frequently used in patients with COVID-19 to prevent and/or treat the infection or its complications. Some of these agents are being studied in clinical trials.

Infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is associated with a prothrombotic state and an increased incidence of thromboembolic disease. <u>Antithrombotic Therapy</u> in <u>Patients with COVID-19</u> reviews the existing data and provides recommendations for the care of individuals who were receiving antithrombotic agents before they acquired SARS-CoV-2 and those who need these therapies to prevent or treat thromboembolic events during course of the infection.

Some clinicians advocate for the use of vitamin and mineral supplements to treat respiratory viral infections. Multiple ongoing studies are evaluating the use of vitamin and mineral supplements for both the treatment and prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The following sections describe the underlying rationale for the use of adjunctive therapies and summarize the existing clinical trial data. Additional adjunctive therapies will be added as new evidence emerges.

# Antithrombotic Therapy in Patients with COVID-19

#### Last Updated: May 12, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

#### Laboratory Testing:

- In non-hospitalized patients with COVID-19, there are currently no data to support the measurement of coagulation markers (e.g., D-dimers, prothrombin time, platelet count, fibrinogen) (AIII).
- In hospitalized patients with COVID-19, hematologic and coagulation parameters are commonly measured, although there are currently insufficient data to recommend for or against using this data to guide management decisions (BIII).

#### **Chronic Anticoagulant and Antiplatelet Therapy:**

• Patients who are receiving anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapies for underlying conditions should continue these medications if they receive a diagnosis of COVID-19 (AIII).

#### Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis and Screening:

- For non-hospitalized patients with COVID-19, anticoagulants and antiplatelet therapy should not be initiated for prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) or arterial thrombosis unless there are other indications (AIII).
- Hospitalized adults with COVID-19 should receive VTE prophylaxis per the standard of care for other hospitalized adults (AIII). A diagnosis of COVID-19 should not influence a pediatrician's recommendations about VTE prophylaxis in hospitalized children (BIII). Anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy should not be used to prevent arterial thrombosis outside of the usual standard of care for patients without COVID-19 (AIII).
- Reported incidence of VTE in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 varies. There are currently insufficient data to recommend for or against the use of thrombolytics or increasing anticoagulant doses for VTE prophylaxis in hospitalized COVID-19 patients outside the setting of a clinical trial (BIII).
- Hospitalized patients with COVID-19 should not routinely be discharged on VTE prophylaxis (AIII). Using Food and Drug Administration-approved regimens, extended VTE prophylaxis can be considered in patients who are at low risk for bleeding and high risk for VTE as per protocols for patients without COVID-19 (see text for details on defining atrisk patients) (BI).
- There are currently insufficient data to recommend for or against routine deep vein thrombosis screening in COVID-19 patients without signs or symptoms of VTE, regardless of the status of their coagulation markers (BIII).
- For hospitalized COVID-19 patients, the possibility of thromboembolic disease should be evaluated in the event of rapid deterioration of pulmonary, cardiac, or neurological function, or of sudden, localized loss of peripheral perfusion (AIII).

#### Treatment:

- Patients with COVID-19 who experience an incident thromboembolic event or who are highly suspected to have thromboembolic disease at a time when imaging is not possible should be managed with therapeutic doses of anticoagulant therapy as per the standard of care for patients without COVID-19 (AIII).
- Patients with COVID-19 who require extracorporeal membrane oxygenation or continuous renal replacement therapy or who have thrombosis of catheters or extracorporeal filters should be treated with antithrombotic therapy per the standard institutional protocols for those without COVID-19 (AIII).

#### **Special Considerations During Pregnancy and Lactation:**

- Management of anticoagulation therapy during labor and delivery requires specialized care and planning and should be managed similarly in pregnant patients with COVID-19 as other conditions that require anticoagulation in pregnancy (AIII).
- Unfractionated heparin, low molecular weight heparin, and warfarin do not accumulate in breast milk and do not induce an anticoagulant effect in the newborn; therefore, they can be used in breastfeeding women with or without COVID-19 who require VTE prophylaxis or treatment (AIII). In contrast, direct-acting oral anticoagulants are not routinely recommended due to lack of safety data (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

# Association Between COVID-19 and Thromboembolism

Infection with the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 and the resulting syndrome coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has been associated with inflammation and a prothrombotic state, with increases in fibrin, fibrin degradation products, fibrinogen, and D-dimers.<sup>1,2</sup> In fact, these markers have been associated with worse clinical outcomes.<sup>3,4</sup> Although the true incidence of these complications among those with different severities of disease is not completely defined, there have been reports of increased incidence of thromboembolic disease associated with COVID-19 in patients in the intensive care unit (ICU).<sup>5,6</sup> In a French prospective multicenter cohort of 150 ICU patients, 16.7% had pulmonary embolism despite prophylactic anticoagulation. Patients with COVID-19 and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) had increased incidence of pulmonary embolism compared to patients without COVID-19-associated ARDS.<sup>6</sup> A Dutch study of 184 ICU patients reported a cumulative incidence of venous thromboembolism (VTE) of 27% (95% confidence interval, 17% to 32%), despite prophylaxis.<sup>7</sup> A study that used routine ultrasounds reported VTE incidence of 69%<sup>5</sup> in those admitted to the ICU. However, other centers have reported lower event rates. An Italian study found a VTE rate of 22.2%.8 Among 393 patients from New York, only 13 patients (3.3%) experienced VTE; 10 of those patients (7.7%) were mechanically ventilated, and three (1.1%) were not mechanically ventilated.<sup>9</sup> Epidemiologic studies that control for clinical characteristics, underlying comorbidities, prophylactic anticoagulation, and COVID-19-related therapies are needed.

Notably, all of the studies described above relied on clinical findings that were suggestive of thromboembolic events to trigger a diagnosis of thromboembolism. Although the incidence of thromboembolic events, especially pulmonary emboli, can be quite high, there are, as of yet, no published data investigating the utility of routine surveillance for deep vein thrombosis via lower extremity ultrasound. However, for clinicians who routinely perform ultrasound examinations in critically ill patients, adding deep veins to the daily examination could be a useful adjunct to care.

There remains very little prospective data demonstrating the benefits of monitoring coagulation markers or the safety and efficacy of using therapeutic doses of anticoagulants in those with COVID-19 in the absence of other indications. A retrospective analysis of 2,773 patients from a single center in the United States reported in-hospital mortality in 22.5% of patients who received therapeutic anticoagulation and 22.8% of patients who did not receive anticoagulation. The study further reported that in a subset of 395 mechanically ventilated patients, 29.1% who received anticoagulation and 62.7% who did not receive anticoagulations for anticoagulant initiation, and descriptions of other therapies that the patients received that may have influenced mortality. In addition, the authors did not discuss the potential impact of survival bias on the study results. For these reasons, the data are not sufficient to influence standard of care, and this study further emphasizes the need for prospective trials to define the risks and potential benefits of therapeutic anticoagulation in patients with COVID-19.<sup>10</sup>

A number of randomized controlled trials have been developed to evaluate the risks and benefits of anticoagulation in patients with COVID-19 (visit <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for the current list of trials). Interim guidance on recognizing and managing coagulopathy in patients with COVID-19 has been released by the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH).<sup>11</sup> The American Society of Hematology has developed guidance statements about coagulopathy and venous thromboembolism. An additional paper that outlines issues related to thrombotic disease with implications for prevention and therapy has been endorsed by the ISTH, the North American Thrombosis Forum, the European Society of Vascular Medicine, and the International Union of Angiology.<sup>12</sup>

# Monitoring Coagulation Markers in Patients with COVID-19:

- Non-hospitalized patients with COVID-19 should not routinely be tested for measures of coagulopathy, such as D-dimer level, prothrombin time, fibrinogen level, and platelet count (AIII). Although abnormalities of these markers have been associated with worse outcomes, there is a lack of prospective data demonstrating that they can be used for risk stratification in those who are asymptomatic or those with mild SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- Hematologic and coagulation parameters are commonly measured in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Nevertheless, there are currently insufficient data to recommend for or against using such data to guide management decisions (BIII).

# Managing Coagulopathy in Patients with COVID-19

# Selection of Anticoagulant or Antiplatelet Drugs for Patients with COVID-19:<sup>13</sup>

- Any time anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy is being used, consideration must be given to potential drug-drug interactions with other concomitant drugs (AIII). The University of Liverpool has collated <u>a list of drug interactions</u>.
- Low molecular weight heparin or unfractionated heparin may be preferred in hospitalized, critically ill patients because of their shorter half-lives, ability to be administered intravenously or subcutaneously, and fewer drug-drug interactions compared with oral anticoagulants (AIII).
- Outpatients receiving warfarin who are unable to get international normalized ratio monitoring during isolation may be candidates for <u>direct oral anticoagulant therapy</u>. Patients with mechanical heart valves, ventricular assist devices, valvular atrial fibrillation, or antiphospholipid antibody syndrome or patients who are lactating should continue treatment with warfarin therapy (AIII).

# Chronic Anticoagulant or Antiplatelet Therapy:

• Patients with COVID-19 who are taking anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy for underlying medical conditions should continue their treatment unless significant bleeding develops or other contraindications are present (AIII).

# Patients with COVID-19 Who Are Managed as Outpatients:

• For non-hospitalized patients with COVID-19, anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy should not be initiated for VTE prophylaxis or at therapeutic doses (AIII).

# Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19:

- For adults who are admitted to a hospital with COVID-19, VTE prophylaxis, unless contraindicated (e.g., a patient has active hemorrhage or severe thrombocytopenia), should be prescribed using the recommendations for patients who have been admitted to a hospital for other indications (AIII). Although data supporting this recommendation are limited, a retrospective study showed reduced mortality in patients who received prophylactic anticoagulation, particularly if the patient had a sepsis-induced coagulopathy score ≥4.<sup>4</sup>
- A recent meta-analysis of COVID-19 infection in children did not discuss venous thromboembolism.<sup>14</sup> Given insufficient data, COVID-19 infection should not change VTE prophylaxis recommendations for hospitalized children (**BIII**).
- Anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy should not be used to prevent arterial thrombosis outside of the standard of care for those without COVID-19 (AIII). Anticoagulation is routinely used to prevent arterial thromboembolism in patients with heart arrhythmias. Although there are reports

of strokes and myocardial infarction in patients with COVID-19, the incidence of these events is unknown.

- Patients with COVID-19 who experience an incident thromboembolic event or who are highly suspected to have thromboembolic disease at a time when imaging is not possible should be managed with therapeutic doses of anticoagulant therapy as per the standard of care for patients without COVID-19 (AIII).
- There are currently insufficient data to recommend either for or against using therapeutic doses of antithrombotic or thrombolytic agents for COVID-19 in patients who are admitted to a hospital **(BIII)**. While there is evidence that multi-organ failure is more likely in patients with sepsis if they develop coagulopathy,<sup>15</sup> there are no convincing evidence to show that any specific antithrombotic treatment will influence outcomes in those with or without COVID-19. Participation in randomized trials is encouraged, if trials are available.
- Patients with COVID-19 who require extracorporeal membrane oxygenation or continuous renal replacement therapy or who have thrombosis of catheters or extracorporeal filters should be treated as per the standard institutional protocols for those without COVID-19 (AIII).

# Patients with COVID-19 Who Are Discharged from the Hospital:

- Routine post-discharge VTE prophylaxis is not recommended for patients with COVID-19

   (AIII). However, the benefits of post-discharge prophylaxis for certain high-risk patients without COVID-19 led to the Food and Drug Administration approval of two regimens: rivaroxaban 10 mg daily for 31 to 39 days, and betrixaban 160 mg on Day 1, followed by betrixaban 80 mg once daily for 35 to 42 days.<sup>16,17</sup> Inclusion criteria for the trials that studied these regimens included:
  - Modified IMPROVE-VTE score ≥4; *or*
  - Modified IMPROVE-VTE score  $\geq 2$  and D-dimer level  $\geq 2$  times the upper limit of normal;<sup>16</sup> or
  - Age  $\geq$ 75 years; *or*
  - Age >60 years and D-dimer level >2 times the upper limit of normal; or
  - Age 40 to 60 years, D-dimer level >2 times the upper limit of normal, and previous VTE event or cancer.<sup>17</sup>
- Any decision to use post-discharge VTE prophylaxis should consider the individual patient's risk factors, including reduced mobility, bleeding risks, and feasibility.

# Special Considerations for Pregnancy and Lactation

Several professional societies, including the American Society of Hematology and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, have guidelines that specifically address management of VTE in the context of pregnancy.<sup>18,19</sup> There is a lack of data on the use of these scoring systems to predict VTE risk in pregnant people. Additionally, the D-dimer level may not be a reliable predictor of VTE in pregnancy, because there is a physiologic increase of D-dimer levels throughout gestation.<sup>20-22</sup>

In general, the preferred anticoagulants during pregnancy are heparin compounds.<sup>2</sup> Because of its reliability and ease of administration, low-molecular weight heparin is recommended rather than unfractionated heparin for prevention and treatment of VTE in pregnancy.<sup>19</sup>

Direct-acting anticoagulants are not routinely used during pregnancy due to the lack of safety data in pregnant people.<sup>18</sup> The use of warfarin for the prevention or treatment of VTE should be avoided in pregnant people, regardless of their COVID-19 status; this is especially true during the first trimester, due to the concern for teratogenicity.

Specific recommendations for pregnant women with COVID-19 include:

- If antithrombotic therapy is prescribed during pregnancy for another indication, this therapy should be continued if the patient receives a diagnosis of COVID-19 (AIII).
- For pregnant patients admitted to the hospital with COVID-19, recommendations for VTE prophylaxis are the same as those for hospitalized nonpregnant patients (AIII).
- Management of anticoagulation therapy during labor and delivery requires specialized care and planning and should be managed similarly in pregnant patients with COVID-19 as other conditions that require anticoagulation in pregnancy (AIII).

# Thrombolytic Therapy in Pregnancy:

Due to the potential risk of maternal hemorrhage, during pregnancy, thrombolytic therapy should be reserved for acute pulmonary embolism with life-threatening hemodynamic instability regardless of whether a patient has COVID-19 (AIII).<sup>18</sup>

# Lactation:

Unfractionated heparin, low molecular weight heparin, and warfarin do not accumulate in breast milk and do not induce an anticoagulant effect in the newborn; therefore, they can be used in breastfeeding women with or without COVID-19 who require VTE prophylaxis or treatment (AIII).<sup>19</sup> In contrast, direct-acting oral anticoagulants are not routinely recommended due to the lack of safety data (AIII).<sup>18</sup>

# References

- 1. Han H, Yang L, Liu R, et al. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32172226.</u>
- 2. Driggin E, Madhavan MV, Bikdeli B, et al. Cardiovascular considerations for patients, health care workers, and health systems during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32201335</u>.
- 3. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32109013.</u>
- Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(5):1094-1099. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32220112</u>.
- Llitjos JF, Leclerc M, Chochois C, et al. High incidence of venous thromboembolic events in anticoagulated severe COVID-19 patients. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u> pubmed/32320517.
- Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020:[Preprint]. Available at: <u>https://www.esicm.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/863\_author\_proof.pdf.</u>
- 7. Klok FA, Kruip M, van der Meer NJM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32291094.</u>
- 8. Tavazzi G, Civardi L, Caneva L, Mongodi S, Mojoli F. Thrombotic events in SARS-CoV-2 patients: an urgent call for ultrasound screening. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32322918.</u>
- 9. Goyal P, Choi JJ, Pinheiro LC, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in New York City. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32302078.</u>
- 10. Paranjpe I, Fuster V, Lala A, et al. Association of treatment dose anticoagulation with in-hospital survival among hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2020. [In

Press]. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735109720352189?via%3Dihub.

- Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(5):1023-1026. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32338827.</u>
- 12. Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, et al. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32311448.
- 13. American Society of Hematology. COVID-19 and VTE/anticoagulation: frequently asked questions. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.hematology.org/covid-19/covid-19-and-vte-anticoagulation.</u> Accessed May 8, 2020.
- 14. Ludvigsson JF. Systematic review of COVID-19 in children shows milder cases and a better prognosis than adults. *Acta Paediatr*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32202343.</u>
- 15. Iba T, Nisio MD, Levy JH, Kitamura N, Thachil J. New criteria for sepsis-induced coagulopathy (SIC) following the revised sepsis definition: a retrospective analysis of a nationwide survey. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(9):e017046. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28963294.</u>
- 16. Spyropoulos AC, Lipardi C, Xu J, et al. Modified IMPROVE VTE Risk score and elevated D-dimer identify a high venous thromboembolism risk in acutely ill medical population for extended thromboprophylaxis. *TH Open*. 2020;4(1):e59-e65. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32190813</u>.
- Cohen AT, Harrington RA, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Extended thromboprophylaxis with betrixaban in acutely ill medical patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(6):534-544. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/27232649.</u>
- Bates SM, Rajasekhar A, Middeldorp S, et al. American Society of Hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: venous thromboembolism in the context of pregnancy. *Blood Adv.* 2018;2(22):3317-3359. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30482767.</u>
- 19. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 196 summary: thromboembolism in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 2018;132(1):243-248. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29939933.</u>
- 20. Wang M, Lu S, Li S, Shen F. Reference intervals of D-dimer during the pregnancy and puerperium period on the STA-R evolution coagulation analyzer. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2013;425:176-180. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23954836</u>.
- 21. Reger B, Peterfalvi A, Litter I, et al. Challenges in the evaluation of D-dimer and fibrinogen levels in pregnant women. *Thromb Res.* 2013;131(4):e183-187. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23481480.</u>
- 22. Hu W, Wang Y, Li J, et al. The predictive value of D-dimer test for venous thromboembolism during puerperium: a prospective cohort study. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost*. 2020;26:1076029620901786. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32090610">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32090610</a>.

### Vitamin C

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

### Rationale for Using Vitamin C in Patients With COVID-19

Vitamin C (ascorbic acid) is a water-soluble vitamin that is thought to have beneficial effects in patients with severe and critical illnesses. It is an antioxidant and free radical scavenger that has anti-inflammatory properties, influences cellular immunity and vascular integrity, and serves as a cofactor in the generation of endogenous catecholamines.<sup>1,2</sup> Because humans may require more vitamin C in states of oxidative stress, vitamin C supplementation has been evaluated in numerous disease states, including serious infections and sepsis. Because serious COVID-19 may cause sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), the potential role of high doses of vitamin C in ameliorating inflammation and vascular injury in patients with COVID-19 is being studied.

### **Recommendation for Non-Critically III Patients With COVID-19**

• There are insufficient data for the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) to recommend either for or against the use of vitamin C for the treatment of COVID-19 in non-critically ill patients.

### Rationale

Because patients who are not critically ill with COVID-19 are less likely to experience oxidative stress or severe inflammation, there is no compelling reason to use vitamin C in this setting.

### **Recommendation for Critically III Patients With COVID-19**

• There are insufficient data for the Panel to recommend either for or against the use of vitamin C for the treatment of COVID-19 in critically ill patients.

### Rationale

There are no completed controlled trials of vitamin C in patients with COVID-19, and the available observational data are sparse and inconclusive. Studies of vitamin C in sepsis patients and ARDS patients have shown variable efficacy and limited safety concerns (as described below).

### Clinical Data on Vitamin C in Critically III Patients Without COVID-19

In a small, three-arm, pilot study of two regimens of intravenous (IV) vitamin C versus placebo in 24 critically ill patients with sepsis, there were reductions over the 4-day study period in sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) scores and levels of proinflammatory markers in patients who received vitamin C 200 mg/kg per day and those who received vitamin C 50 mg/kg per day, compared with patients who received placebo.<sup>3</sup>

In a randomized, controlled trial in critically ill patients with sepsis-induced ARDS (n = 167), administration of IV vitamin C 200 mg/kg per day for 4 days did not change SOFA scores or levels of inflammatory markers. However, 28-day mortality was lower in the treatment group (29.8% vs. 46.3%; P = 0.03), coinciding with more days alive and free of the hospital and the intensive care unit (ICU).<sup>4</sup>

Two historically controlled studies found that the combination of vitamin C, thiamine, and hydrocortisone had beneficial effects in patients with sepsis or severe pneumonia.<sup>5,6</sup> In response, a randomized controlled trial in critically ill patients with septic shock compared the combination

of vitamin C (6 g per day), thiamine (400 mg per day), and hydrocortisone (200 mg per day) to hydrocortisone alone. The study reported that the combination therapy had no effect on the duration of shock. It also had no effect on the mortality rate in the ICU, at 28 days, or at 90 days (90-day mortality was 28.6% in the vitamin C group vs. 24.5% in the placebo group, P = 0.51). Only one of the 10 secondary outcomes differed between the two groups; the change in SOFA score from baseline to Day 3 favored the treatment group (median score change of -2 vs. -1, P = 0.02).<sup>7</sup>

### **Other Considerations**

- It is worth noting that high circulating concentrations of vitamin C may affect the accuracy of point-of-care glucometers.<sup>8</sup>
- Additional large, randomized clinical trials in severely ill patients with sepsis have completed enrollment. These studies may provide additional data on the safety and efficacy of vitamin C that support its potential use in treating patients with COVID-19.<sup>9,10</sup>
- Several trials of oral and IV vitamin C supplementation in people with COVID-19 are ongoing. Please check <u>*ClinicalTrials.gov*</u> for the latest information.

### References

- 1. Wei XB, Wang ZH, Liao XL, et al. Efficacy of vitamin C in patients with sepsis: an updated meta-analysis. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2020;868:172889. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31870831</u>.
- 2. Fisher BJ, Seropian IM, Kraskauskas D, et al. Ascorbic acid attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury. *Crit Care Med.* 2011;39(6):1454-1460. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21358394</u>.
- 3. Fowler AA III, Syed AA, Knowlson S, et al. Phase I safety trial of intravenous ascorbic acid in patients with severe sepsis. *J Transl Med*. 2014;12:32. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24484547</u>.
- Fowler AA III, Truwit JD, Hite RD, et al. Effect of vitamin C infusion on organ failure and biomarkers of inflammation and vascular injury in patients with sepsis and severe acute respiratory failure: the CITRIS-ALI randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019;322(13):1261-1270. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/31573637</u>.
- Marik PE, Khangoora V, Rivera R, Hooper MH, Catravas J. Hydrocortisone, vitamin C, and thiamine for the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective before-after study. *Chest.* 2017;151(6):1229-1238. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27940189</u>.
- Kim WY, Jo EJ, Eom JS, et al. Combined vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine therapy for patients with severe pneumonia who were admitted to the intensive care unit: propensity score-based analysis of a before-after cohort study. *J Crit Care*. 2018;47:211-218. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30029205</u>.
- 7. Fujii T, Luethi N, Young PJ, et al. Effect of vitamin C, hydrocortisone, and thiamine vs hydrocortisone alone on time alive and free of vasopressor support among patients with septic shock: the VITAMINS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2020;323(5):423-431. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31950979">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31950979</a>.
- 8. Hager DN, Martin GS, Sevransky JE, Hooper MH. Glucometry when using vitamin C in sepsis: a note of caution. *Chest.* 2018;154(1):228-229. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30044741</u>.
- 9. Hwang SY, Park JE, Jo IJ, et al. Combination therapy of vitamin C and thiamine for septic shock in a multicentre, double-blind, randomized, controlled study (ATESS): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2019;20(1):420. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31296251</u>.
- Hager DN, Hooper MH, Bernard GR, et al. The vitamin C, thiamine and steroids in sepsis (VICTAS) protocol: a prospective, multi-center, double-blind, adaptive sample size, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. *Trials*. 2019;20(1):197. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30953543</u>.

### Vitamin D

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

### Recommendation

• There are insufficient data to recommend either for or against the use of vitamin D for the prevention or treatment of COVID-19.

### **General Information**

Vitamin D is critical for bone and mineral metabolism. Because the vitamin D receptor is expressed on immune cells such as B cells, T cells, and antigen-presenting cells, and because these cells can synthesize the active vitamin D metabolite, vitamin D also has the potential to modulate innate and adaptive immune responses.<sup>1</sup>

Vitamin D deficiency (defined as a serum concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D  $\leq$ 20 ng/mL) is common in the United States, particularly among persons of Hispanic ethnicity and Black race. These groups are overrepresented among cases of COVID-19 in the United States.<sup>2</sup> Vitamin D deficiency is also more common in older patients and patients with obesity and hypertension; these factors have been associated with worse outcomes in patients with C8OVID-19. In observational studies, low vitamin D levels have been associated with an increased risk of community-acquired pneumonia in older adults<sup>3</sup> and children.<sup>4</sup>

Vitamin D supplements may increase the levels of T regulatory cells in healthy individuals and patients with autoimmune diseases; vitamin D supplements may also increase T regulatory cell activity.<sup>5</sup> In a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials, vitamin D supplementation was shown to protect against acute respiratory tract infection.<sup>6</sup> However, in two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials, administering high doses of vitamin D to critically ill patients with vitamin D deficiency (but not COVID-19) did not reduce the length of the hospital stay or the mortality rate when compared to placebo.<sup>7,8</sup> High levels of vitamin D may cause hypercalcemia and nephrocalcinosis.<sup>9</sup>

### Vitamin D and COVID-19

The role of vitamin D supplementation in the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 is not known. The rationale for using vitamin D is based largely on immunomodulatory effects that could potentially protect against COVID-19 infection or decrease the severity of illness. Ongoing observational studies are evaluating the role of vitamin D in preventing and treating COVID-19.

Some investigational trials on the use of vitamin D in people with COVID-19 are being planned or are already accruing participants. These trials will administer vitamin D alone or in combination with other agents to participants with and without vitamin D deficiency. The latest information on these clinical trials can be found on <u>ClinicalTrials.gov</u>.

### References

- 1. Aranow C. Vitamin D and the immune system. *J Investig Med*. 2011;59(6):881-886. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21527855</u>.
- 2. Forrest KY, Stuhldreher WL. Prevalence and correlates of vitamin D deficiency in US adults. *Nutr Res.* 2011;31(1):48-54. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21310306</u>.
- 3. Lu D, Zhang J, Ma C, et al. Link between community-acquired pneumonia and vitamin D levels in older patients. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. 2018;51(4):435-439. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>

pubmed/28477055.

- 4. Science M, Maguire JL, Russell ML, Smieja M, Walter SD, Loeb M. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and risk of upper respiratory tract infection in children and adolescents. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57(3):392-397. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23677871</u>.
- 5. Fisher SA, Rahimzadeh M, Brierley C, et al. The role of vitamin D in increasing circulating T regulatory cell numbers and modulating T regulatory cell phenotypes in patients with inflammatory disease or in healthy volunteers: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(9):e0222313. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31550254</u>.
- 6. Martineau AR, Jolliffe DA, Hooper RL, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory tract infections: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. *BMJ*. 2017;356:i6583. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28202713</u>.
- Amrein K, Schnedl C, Holl A, et al. Effect of high-dose vitamin D3 on hospital length of stay in critically ill patients with vitamin D deficiency: the VITdAL-ICU randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312(15):1520-1530. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25268295</u>.
- National Heart Lung and Blood Institute PCTN, Ginde AA, et al. Early high-dose vitamin D3 for critically ill, vitamin D-deficient patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(26):2529-2540. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31826336</u>.
- Ross AC, Taylor CL, Yaktine AL, Del Valle HB, eds. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2011. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK56070/</u>.

### Zinc Supplementation and COVID-19

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

### **Recommendations**

- There are insufficient data to recommend either for or against the use of zinc for the treatment of COVID-19.
- The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) **recommends against** using zinc supplementation above the recommended dietary allowance for the prevention of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (**BIII**).

### Rationale

Increased intracellular zinc concentrations efficiently impair replication in a number of RNA viruses.<sup>1</sup> Zinc has been shown to enhance cytotoxicity and induce apoptosis when used *in vitro* with a zinc ionophore (e.g., chloroquine). Chloroquine has also been shown to enhance intracellular zinc uptake *in vitro*.<sup>2</sup> The relationship between zinc and COVID-19, including how zinc deficiency affects the severity of COVID-19 and whether zinc supplements can improve clinical outcomes, is currently under investigation.<sup>3</sup> Zinc levels are difficult to measure accurately, as zinc is distributed as a component of various proteins and nucleic acids.<sup>4</sup>

Zinc supplementation alone or in combination with hydroxychloroquine for prevention and treatment of COVID-19 is currently being evaluated in <u>clinical trials</u>. The optimal dose of zinc for the treatment of COVID-19 is not established. The recommended dietary allowance for elemental zinc is 11 mg daily for men and 8 mg for nonpregnant women.<sup>5</sup> The doses used in registered clinical trials for COVID-19 vary between studies, with a maximum dose of zinc sulfate 220 mg (50 mg of elemental zinc) twice daily.

Long-term zinc supplementation can cause copper deficiency with subsequent reversible hematologic defects (i.e., anemia, leukopenia) and potentially irreversible neurologic manifestations (i.e., myelopathy, paresthesia, ataxia, spasticity).<sup>6,7</sup> Zinc supplementation for a duration as short as 10 months has been associated with copper deficiency.<sup>8</sup> In addition, oral zinc can decrease the absorption of medications that bind with polyvalent cations.<sup>5</sup> Because zinc has not been shown to have clinical benefit and may be harmful, the Panel **recommends against** using zinc supplementation above the recommended dietary allowance for the prevention of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial **(BIII)**.

### **Clinical Data**

### Retrospective Study of Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin With or Without Zinc

### This study has not been peer-reviewed.

A retrospective observational study compared zinc supplementation to no zinc supplementation in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who received hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin from March 2 to April 5, 2020. On March 25, the institution's standard of care was updated to include supplementation with zinc sulfate 220 mg orally twice daily. Patients who received any other investigational therapies were excluded. Only patients who were discharged from the hospital, transferred to hospice, or died were included in the analysis. Outcome measures included duration of hospital stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, maximum oxygen flow rate, average oxygen flow rate, average FiO<sub>2</sub>, maximum FiO<sub>2</sub>, admission to the intensive care unit (ICU), duration of ICU stay, death or transfer to hospice, need for intubation, and discharge destination.<sup>9</sup>

### Results

- A total of 932 patients were included in this analysis; 411 patients received zinc, and 521 did not.
- The two groups had similar demographic characteristics.
- Patients who received zinc had higher absolute lymphocyte count and lower troponin and procalcitonin levels at baseline than those who did not receive zinc.
- In univariate analysis, no differences were observed between the two groups in duration of hospital stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, maximum oxygen flow rate, average oxygen flow rate, or average FiO<sub>2</sub>.
- In bivariate logistic regression analysis, zinc supplementation was associated with a decreased mortality rate or rate of transfer to hospice; however, the association with a decreased mortality rate was no longer significant when analysis was limited to patients who were treated in the ICU.

### Limitations

- This is a retrospective review; patients were not randomized to receive zinc therapy or to receive no zinc. The statistical methods used do not account for confounding variables or patient differences between those who were treated with zinc sulfate and those who were not, with one exception: the authors attempted to account for the change in the institution's treatment standards by using a logistic regression analysis for patients admitted after March 25.
- The preprint did not include specific details on the timing of zinc initiation, and the patients' clinical statuses at the start of therapy were not reported.
- The preprint also did not specify how many patients did or did not receive zinc before and after the institution's treatment standards changed to include zinc sulfate on March 25. The authors used a logistic regression analysis to account for this, as discussed above.
- Only patients who died or who were transferred to hospice or discharged are included in the analyses. The exclusion of those who were still hospitalized as of April 5 makes it difficult to compare the clinical outcomes for those who received or did not receive zinc sulfate.

Given the nature of the study design and its limitations, the authors do not recommend using this study to guide clinical practice.

### References

- te Velthuis AJ, van den Worm SH, Sims AC, Baric RS, Snijder EJ, van Hemert MJ. Zn(2+) inhibits coronavirus and arterivirus RNA polymerase activity in vitro and zinc ionophores block the replication of these viruses in cell culture. *PLoS Pathog*. 2010;6(11):e1001176. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21079686</u>.
- 2. Xue J, Moyer A, Peng B, Wu J, Hannafon BN, Ding WQ. Chloroquine is a zinc ionophore. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(10):e109180. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25271834</u>.
- Calder PC, Carr AC, Gombart AF, Eggersdorfer M. Optimal nutritional status for a well-functioning immune system is an important factor to protect against viral infections. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(4). Available at: <u>https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32340216</u>.
- 4. Hambridge K. The management of lipohypertrophy in diabetes care. *Br J Nurs*. 2007;16(9):520-524. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17551441</u>.
- 5. National Institutes of Health. Office of Dietary Supplements. Zinc fact sheet for health professionals. 2020. Available at: <u>https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Zinc-HealthProfessional/</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 6. Myint ZW, Oo TH, Thein KZ, Tun AM, Saeed H. Copper deficiency anemia: review article. *Ann Hematol*. 2018;97(9):1527-1534. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29959467</u>.

- 7. Kumar N. Copper deficiency myelopathy (human swayback). *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2006;81(10):1371-1384. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17036563</u>.
- 8. Hoffman HN, 2nd, Phyliky RL, Fleming CR. Zinc-induced copper deficiency. *Gastroenterology*. 1988;94(2):508-512. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3335323</u>.
- 9. Carlucci P, Ahuja T, Petrilli CM, Rajagopalan H, Jones S, Rahimian J. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin plus zinc vs hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin alone: outcomes in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.02.20080036v1</u>.

# Considerations for Certain Concomitant Medications in Patients with COVID-19

Last Updated: July 30, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

### Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme (ACE) Inhibitors and Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs)

- Persons with COVID-19 who are prescribed ACE inhibitors or ARBs for cardiovascular disease (or other indications) should continue these medications (AIII).
- The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) **recommends against** the use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial **(AIII)**.

### Corticosteroids

### For management of COVID-19

- On the basis of the preliminary report from the Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial, the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using **dexamethasone** 6 mg per day for up to 10 days for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who are mechanically ventilated **(AI)** and in patients who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated **(BI)**.
- The Panel **recommends against** using **dexamethasone** for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who do not require supplemental oxygen (AI).
- If dexamethasone is not available, the Panel recommends using alternative glucocorticoids such as **prednisone**, **methylprednisolone**, or **hydrocortisone (AIII)**.
- See <u>Corticosteroids</u> for a detailed discussion of these recommendations.

### For patients on chronic corticosteroids

- Oral corticosteroid therapy that was used prior to COVID-19 diagnosis for another underlying condition (e.g., primary or secondary adrenal insufficiency, rheumatological diseases) should not be discontinued (AIII). On a case-by-case basis, supplemental or stress-dose steroids may be indicated (AIII).
- Inhaled corticosteroids that are used daily for patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for control of airway inflammation should not be discontinued in patients with COVID-19 (AIII).

### Considerations in pregnancy

• Given the potential benefit of decrease in maternal mortality and the low risk of fetal adverse effects for this short course of therapy, the Panel recommends using **dexamethasone** in pregnant women with COVID-19 who are mechanically ventilated (AIII) or who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (BIII).

### HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors (Statins)

- Persons with COVID-19 who are prescribed statin therapy for the treatment or prevention of cardiovascular disease should continue these medications (AIII).
- The Panel recommends against the use of statins for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).

### Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs)

- Persons with COVID-19 who are taking NSAIDs for a comorbid condition should continue therapy as previously directed by their physician (AIII).
- The Panel recommends that there be no difference in the use of antipyretic strategies (e.g., with acetaminophen or NSAIDs) between patients with or without COVID-19 (AIII).

### Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Angiotensin Receptor Blockers

### **Recommendations**

• Persons with COVID-19 who are prescribed angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) for cardiovascular disease (or other indications) should

continue these medications (AIII).

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends against the use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is the cell surface receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). It has been hypothesized<sup>1</sup> that the modulation of ACE2 associated with ACE inhibitors or ARBs could suppress or enhance SARS-CoV-2 replication.<sup>2</sup> Investigations of the role of ARBs and recombinant human ACE2 in the treatment and prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection are underway.<sup>3</sup>

Whether these medications are helpful, harmful, or neutral in the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 infection is unclear. Currently, there is a lack of sufficient clinical evidence demonstrating that ACE inhibitors or ARBs have any impact on the susceptibility of individuals to SARS-CoV-2 or on the severity or outcomes of infection. The Panel's recommendation against the use of these medications for the treatment of COVID-19 is in accord with a joint statement of the American Heart Association, the Heart Failure Society of America, and the American College of Cardiology.<sup>3</sup>

### Corticosteroids

It has been proposed that the anti-inflammatory effects of corticosteroids have a potential therapeutic role in suppressing cytokine-related lung injury in patients with COVID-19.<sup>4</sup> Data reported for other respiratory infections have shown that systemic corticosteroids can affect the pathogenesis of these infections in various ways. In outbreaks of other novel coronavirus infections<sup>5,6</sup> (i.e., Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS] and SARS), corticosteroid therapy was associated with delayed virus clearance. In severe pneumonia caused by influenza, corticosteroid therapy may lead to worse clinical outcomes, including secondary bacterial infection and mortality.<sup>7</sup>

Preliminary clinical trial data from a large, randomized, open-label trial suggest that dexamethasone reduces mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 who require mechanical ventilation or supplemental oxygen.<sup>8</sup> The recommendations for using corticosteroids in patients with COVID-19 depend on the severity of illness. Before initiating dexamethasone, clinicians should review the patient's medical history and assess the potential risks and benefits of administering corticosteroids to the patient.

### For Management of COVID-19

### Recommendations

- On the basis of the preliminary report from the Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial, the Panel recommends using **dexamethasone** 6 mg per day for up to 10 days for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who are mechanically ventilated (**AI**) and in patients who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (**BI**).
- The Panel **recommends against** using **dexamethasone** for the treatment of COVID-19 in patients who do not require supplemental oxygen (AI).
- If dexamethasone is not available, the Panel recommends using alternative glucocorticoids such as **prednisone**, **methylprednisolone**, or **hydrocortisone** (AIII).

See <u>Corticosteroids</u> for a detailed discussion of these recommendations.

### Patients on Chronic Systemic Corticosteroid Therapy

Patients with COVID-19 may also be receiving systemic corticosteroid therapy for a variety of underlying conditions.

### Recommendation

• Oral corticosteroid therapy that was used prior to COVID-19 diagnosis for another underlying condition (e.g., primary or secondary adrenal insufficiency, rheumatological diseases) should not be discontinued (AIII).<sup>9</sup> On a case-by-case basis, supplemental or stress-dose steroids may be indicated (AIII).

### Patients on Inhaled Corticosteroids

### Recommendation

• Inhaled corticosteroids that are used daily for patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for control of airway inflammation should not be discontinued in patients with COVID-19 (AIII). No studies to date have investigated the relationship between inhaled corticosteroids in these settings and virus acquisition, severity of illness, or viral transmission.

### **Pregnancy Considerations**

A short course of betamethasone and dexamethasone, which are corticosteroids known to cross the placenta, is routinely used to hasten fetal lung maturity and decrease the risk of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome in the premature infant with threatened delivery.<sup>10,11</sup>

• Given the potential benefit of decrease in maternal mortality and the low risk of fetal adverse effects for this short course of therapy, the Panel recommends using **dexamethasone** in pregnant women with COVID-19 who are mechanically ventilated (AIII) or who require supplemental oxygen but who are not mechanically ventilated (BIII).

### HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors (Statins)

### **Recommendations**

- Persons with COVID-19 who are prescribed statin therapy for the treatment or prevention of cardiovascular disease should continue these medications (AIII).
- The Panel **recommends against** the use of statins for the treatment of COVID-19, except in a clinical trial (AIII).

HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, or statins, affect ACE2 as part of their function in reducing endothelial dysfunction. It has been proposed that these agents have a potential role in managing patients with severe COVID-19.<sup>12</sup> Observational studies have reported that statin therapy may reduce cardiovascular morbidity in patients admitted with other respiratory infections, such as influenza and bacterial pneumonia.

### Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

### **Recommendations**

- Persons with COVID-19 who are taking nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for a comorbid condition should continue therapy as previously directed by their physician (AIII).
- The Panel recommends that there be no difference in the use of antipyretic strategies (e.g., with acetaminophen or NSAIDs) between patients with or without COVID-19 (AIII).

In mid-March 2020, news agencies promoted reports that anti-inflammatory drugs may worsen COVID-19. It has been proposed that NSAIDs such as ibuprofen can increase the expression of ACE2<sup>1</sup> and inhibit antibody production.<sup>13</sup> Shortly after these reports, the Food and Drug Administration stated that there is no evidence linking the use of NSAIDs with worsening of COVID-19 and advised patients to use NSAIDs as directed.<sup>14</sup>

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

### References

- Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8(4):e21. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32171062</u>.
- 2. Patel AB, Verma A. COVID-19 and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: what is the evidence? *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32208485</u>.
- 3. American College of Cardiology. HFSA/ACC/AHA statement addresses concerns re: using RAAS antagonists in COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/03/17/08/59/hfsa-acc-aha-statement-addresses-concerns-re-using-raas-antagonists-in-covid-19</u>. Accessed July 16, 2020.
- 4. Siddiqi HK, Mehra MR. COVID-19 illness in native and immunosuppressed states: a clinical-therapeutic staging proposal. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2020;39(5):405-407. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32362390</u>.
- Arabi YM, Mandourah Y, Al-Hameed F, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2018;197(6):757-767. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/29161116</u>.
- 6. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3(9):e343. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16968120</u>.
- Rodrigo C, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Lim WS. Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;3:CD010406. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/26950335</u>.
- 8. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson J, et al. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary report. *medRxiv*. 2020:[Preprint]. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.22.20137273v1</u>.
- 9. Kaiser UB, Mirmira RG, Stewart PM. Our response to COVID-19 as endocrinologists and diabetologists. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2020;105(5). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32232480</u>.
- Liggins GC, Howie RN. A controlled trial of antepartum glucocorticoid treatment for prevention of the respiratory distress syndrome in premature infants. *Pediatrics*. 1972;50(4):515-525. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4561295</u>.
- Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Thom EA, Blackwell SC, et al. Antenatal betamethasone for women at risk for late preterm delivery. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(14):1311-1320. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26842679</u>.
- 12. Fedson DS, Opal SM, Rordam OM. Hiding in plain sight: an approach to treating patients with severe COVID-19 infection. *mBio*. 2020;11(2). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32198163</u>.
- Bancos S, Bernard MP, Topham DJ, Phipps RP. Ibuprofen and other widely used non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs inhibit antibody production in human cells. *Cell Immunol.* 2009;258(1):18-28. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19345936</u>.
- Food and Drug Administration. FDA advises patients on use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for COVID-19. 2020. <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-advises-patients-use-non-steroidal-anti-inflammatory-drugs-nsaids-covid-19</u>. Accessed July 16, 2020.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

### **COVID-19 and Special Populations**

### Last Updated: October 9, 2020

To date, most of the data generated about the epidemiology, clinical course, prevention, and treatment of COVID-19 have come from studies of nonpregnant adults. More information is urgently needed regarding COVID-19 in other patient populations, such as in children, pregnant individuals, and other populations as outlined in the following sections of the Guidelines.

Although children with COVID-19 may have less severe disease overall than adults with COVID-19, the recently described multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) requires further study. Data are also emerging on the clinical course of COVID-19 in pregnant patients, pregnancy outcomes in the setting of COVID-19, and vertical transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). There are special considerations for transplant recipients, patients with cancer, persons with HIV, and patients with other immunocompromising conditions, as some of these patients may be at increased risk of serious complications as a result of COVID-19.

The following sections review the available data on COVID-19 in some of these populations and discuss the specific considerations that clinicians should take into account for the prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infections in these populations.

### Special Considerations in Pregnancy

### Last Updated: August 27, 2020

Key Considerations
There is current guidance from the <u>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)</u> , the <u>American College of</u> <u>Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)</u> , and the <u>Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM)</u> on the management of pregnant patients with COVID-19. <sup>1-4</sup> This section of the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines complements that guidance. Below are key considerations regarding the management of COVID-19 in pregnancy.
• Pregnant women should be counseled about the potential for severe disease from SARS-CoV-2 infection and the recommended measures to take to protect themselves and their families from infection.
• If hospitalization for COVID-19 is indicated in a pregnant woman, care should be provided in a facility that can conduct maternal and fetal monitoring, when appropriate.
Management of COVID-19 in the pregnant patient should include:
<ul> <li>Fetal and uterine contraction monitoring, when appropriate, based on gestational age</li> </ul>
Individualized delivery planning
<ul> <li>A multispecialty, team-based approach that may include consultation with obstetric, maternal-fetal medicine, infectious disease, pulmonary and critical care, and pediatric specialists, as appropriate</li> </ul>
• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends that potentially effective treatment for COVID-19 should not be withheld from pregnant women because of theoretical concerns related to the safety of therapeutic agents in pregnancy (AIII).
<ul> <li>Decisions regarding the use of drugs approved for other indications or investigational drugs for the treatment of COVID-19 in pregnant patients must be made with shared decision-making between the patient and the clinical team, considering the safety of the medication for the pregnant woman and the fetus and the severity of maternal disease. For detailed guidance on the use of COVID-19 therapeutic agents in pregnancy, please refer to Considerations in Pregnancy in the <u>Antiviral Therapy</u> and <u>Immune-Based Therapy</u> sections of these Guidelines.</li> </ul>
Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional
<b>Rating of Evidence:</b> I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

### **Epidemiology of COVID-19 in Pregnancy**

Initial reports of COVID-19 disease acquired in the third trimester were reassuring, although most early data were limited to case reports and case series.<sup>5-7</sup> Since that time, a large population-based cohort study in the United Kingdom evaluated outcomes in pregnant women hospitalized with confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. Among 427 pregnant women admitted to 197 obstetric units across the United Kingdom, the rates of critical care admission and severe SARS-CoV-2-associated maternal mortality were similar to those in the general population of women of reproductive age hospitalized with COVID-19 in the United Kingdom, although the pregnant women were not compared with age-matched, nonpregnant controls.8

In June 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released surveillance data evaluating SARS-CoV-2-related outcomes in reproductive aged women by pregnancy status. Among 326,335 women aged 15 to 44 years with positive test results for SARS-CoV-2, pregnant women were more likely to be hospitalized, be admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU), and receive mechanical ventilation. However, the overall absolute increase in rates of ICU admission and mechanical ventilation was low among the pregnant women and the nonpregnant women (1.5% vs. 0.9% for ICU admission, respectively, and 0.5% vs 0.3% for mechanical ventilation, respectively). COVID-19-related death rates were similar in the pregnant and nonpregnant populations. Pregnancy outcomes such as preterm birth or pregnancy loss were not evaluated.

This analysis has a number of significant limitations, including:

- Pregnancy status was only available for 28% of the women of reproductive age with SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- It was not possible to determine whether the reasons for hospitalization, ICU admission, or mechanical ventilation were related to COVID-19, pregnancy, and/or delivery.

Pregnant women who are Hispanic or Black may be disproportionately affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>9</sup> Pregnant women should be counseled about the potential for severe disease from SARS-CoV-2 and measures to protect themselves and their families from infection, including physical distancing, face coverings, and hand hygiene. CDC, ACOG, and SMFM highlight the importance of accessing prenatal care. ACOG provides an FAQ on using telehealth to deliver antenatal care, when appropriate.

ACOG has developed an <u>algorithm</u> to evaluate and manage pregnant outpatients with suspected or confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. As in nonpregnant patients, SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnant patients can present as asymptomatic/presymptomatic disease or with a wide range of clinical manifestations, from mild symptoms that can be managed with supportive care at home to severe disease and respiratory failure requiring ICU admission. As with other patients, in the pregnant patient with symptoms compatible with COVID-19, the illness severity, underlying comorbidities, and clinical status should all be assessed to determine whether in-person evaluation for potential hospitalization is needed.

If hospitalization is indicated, care should be provided in a facility that can conduct maternal and fetal monitoring, when appropriate. The management of COVID-19 in the pregnant patient may include:

- Fetal and uterine contraction monitoring, when appropriate, based on gestational age
- Individualized delivery planning
- A multispecialty, team-based approach that may include consultation with obstetric, maternal-fetal medicine, infectious disease, pulmonary and critical care, and pediatric specialists, as appropriate.

Other recommendations on the management of COVID-19, as outlined for the nonpregnant patient, also apply in pregnancy.

### **Timing of Delivery**

- Detailed guidance relating to timing of delivery and risk of vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is provided by ACOG.<sup>10</sup>
- In most cases, the timing of delivery should be dictated by obstetric indications rather than maternal diagnosis of COVID-19. For women who had suspected or confirmed COVID-19 early in pregnancy who recover, no alteration to the usual timing of delivery is indicated.
- Vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 via the transplacental route appears to be rare but possible.<sup>11-13</sup>

### Management of COVID-19 in the Setting of Pregnancy

- Potentially effective treatment for COVID-19 should not be withheld from pregnant women because of theoretical concerns related to the safety of therapeutic agents in pregnancy (AIII).
- Decisions regarding the use of drugs approved for other indications or investigational agents for the treatment of COVID-19 in pregnant patients must be made with shared decision-making between the patient and the clinical team, considering the safety of the medication for the woman

and the fetus and the severity of maternal disease. For detailed guidance on the use of COVID-19 therapeutic agents in pregnancy, please refer to Considerations in Pregnancy in the <u>Antiviral</u> <u>Therapy</u> and <u>Immune-Based Therapy</u> sections of these Guidelines.

• To date, most SARS-CoV-2-related clinical trials have excluded, or included only a very few, pregnant women and lactating women. This limitation makes it difficult to make evidence-based recommendations on the use of SARS-CoV-2 therapies in these vulnerable patients and potentially limits their COVID-19 treatment options. When possible, pregnant women and lactating women should not be excluded from clinical trials of therapeutic agents or vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

### **Post-Delivery**

 Specific guidance for post-delivery management of infants born to mothers with known or suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection, including breastfeeding recommendations, is provided by the CDC<sup>14,15</sup> and the <u>American Academy of Pediatrics</u>.<sup>16</sup>

### References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Considerations for inpatient obstetric healthcare settings. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/inpatient-obstetric-healthcare-guidance.html</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19): practice advisory. August 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/</u> <u>articles/2020/03/novel-coronavirus-2019</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- 3. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. Coronavirus (COVID-19) and Pregnancy: What Maternal Fetal Medicine Subspecialists Need to Know. July 2020. <u>https://s3.amazonaws.com/cdn.smfm.org/media/2468/</u>COVID19-What\_MFMs\_need\_to\_know\_revision\_7-23-20 (final).PDF. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- 4. Rasmussen SA, Smulian JC, Lednicky JA, Wen TS, Jamieson DJ. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and pregnancy: what obstetricians need to know. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;222(5):415-426. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32105680</u>.
- Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10226):809-815. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32151335</u>.
- 6. Liu Y, Chen H, Tang K, Guo Y. Clinical manifestations and outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy. *J Infect*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32145216</u>.
- World Health Organization. Report of the WHO-China joint mission on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- 8. Knight M, Bunch K, Vousden N, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women admitted to hospital with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK: national population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2020;369:m2107. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32513659</u>.
- Ellington S, Strid P, Tong VT, et al. Characteristics of women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status—United States, January 22-June 7, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(25):769-775. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32584795</u>.
- 10. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. COVID-19 FAQs for Obstetricians-Gynecologists, Obstetrics. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/physician-faqs/covid-19-faqs-for-ob-gyns-obstetrics</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- 11. Thomas P, Alexander PE, Ahmed U, et al. Vertical transmission risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the third trimester: a systematic scoping review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2020:1-8. Available at: <u>https://www.</u>

ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32611247.

- Matar R, Alrahmani L, Monzer N, et al. Clinical presentation and outcomes of pregnant women with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.</u> <u>nih.gov/pubmed/32575114</u>.
- 13. Vivanti AJ, Vauloup-Fellous C, Prevot S, et al. Transplacental transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):3572. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32665677</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evaluation and management considerations for neonates at risk for COVID-19. 2020. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/caring-for-newborns.html</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- 15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Care for breastfeeding women: interim guidance on breastfeeding and breast milk feeds in the context of COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/care-for-breastfeeding-women.html</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.
- 16. American Academy of Pediatrics. FAQs: management of infants born to mothers with suspected or confirmed COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://services.aap.org/en/pages/2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19-infections/</u> <u>clinical-guidance/faqs-management-of-infants-born-to-covid-19-mothers/</u>. Accessed August 26, 2020.

### **Special Considerations in Children**

### Last Updated: June 11, 2020

Data on disease severity and pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in children are limited. Overall, several large epidemiologic studies suggest that acute disease manifestations are substantially less severe in children than in adults, although there are reports of children with COVID-19 requiring intensive care unit (ICU)-level care.<sup>1-11</sup> Recently, SARS-CoV-2 has been associated with a potentially severe inflammatory syndrome in children (multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children [MIS-C], which is discussed below). Preliminary data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also show that hospitalization rates and ICU admission rates for children are lower than for adults. Severe cases of COVID-19 in children were associated with younger age and underlying conditions, although a significant number of the pediatric cases did not have complete data available at the time of the preliminary report. Without widespread testing, including for mild symptoms, the true incidence of severe disease in children is unclear. Data on perinatal vertical transmission to neonates are limited to small case series with conflicting results; some studies have demonstrated lack of transmission, whereas others have not been able to definitively rule out this possibility.<sup>12-14</sup> Specific guidance on the diagnosis and management of COVID-19 in neonates born to mothers with known or suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection is provided by the <u>CDC</u>.

Insufficient data are available to clearly establish risk factors for severe COVID-19 disease in children. Based on adult data and extrapolation from other pediatric respiratory viruses, severely immunocompromised children and those with underlying cardiopulmonary disease may be at higher risk for severe disease. Children with risk factors recognized in adults, including obesity, diabetes, and hypertension, may also be at risk, although there are no published data supporting this association and insufficient data to guide therapy. Guidance endorsed by the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society has recently been published, which provides additional specific risk categorization when considering therapy.<sup>15</sup> As data emerge on risk factors for severe disease, it may be possible to provide more directed guidance for specific populations at high risk for COVID-19 and to tailor treatment recommendations accordingly.

Currently, there are no Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved agents for the treatment of COVID-19. Based on preliminary clinical trial data, the investigational antiviral agent remdesivir is recommended for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients with severe disease (see <u>Remdesivir</u> for detailed information). Of note, remdesivir has not been evaluated in clinical trials that include children with COVID-19. Remdesivir is available for children through an FDA Emergency Use Authorization or through a compassionate use program.

For other agents outlined in these guidelines, there are insufficient data to recommend for or against the use of specific antivirals or immunomodulatory agents for the treatment of COVID-19 in pediatric patients. General considerations such as underlying conditions, disease severity, and potential for drug toxicity or drug interactions may inform management decisions on a case-by-case basis. Enrollment of children in clinical trials should be prioritized when trials are available. A number of additional drugs are being investigated for the treatment of COVID-19 in adults; clinicians can refer to the <u>Antiviral Therapy</u> and <u>Immune-Based Therapy</u> sections of these guidelines to review special considerations for use of these drugs in children and refer to <u>Table 2</u> and <u>Table 3b</u> for dosing recommendations in children.

### Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children

Emerging reports from Europe and the United States have suggested that COVID-19 may be associated with MIS-C (also referred to as pediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome–temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2 [PMIS-TS]). The syndrome was first described in the United Kingdom, where

previously healthy children with severe inflammation and Kawasaki disease-like features were identified to have current or recent infection with SARS-CoV-2.<sup>16,17</sup> Additional cases of MIS-C have been reported in other European countries, including Italy and France.<sup>18,19</sup> Emerging data suggest that MIS-C may be associated with pediatric patients who are slightly older than children typically seen with Kawasaki disease, and some cases of MIS-C in young adults have been reported.

In the United States, from April 16 through May 4, 2020, the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene received reports of 15 hospitalized children with clinical presentation consistent with MIS-C. Subsequently, the New York State Department of Health has been investigating several hundred cases and a few deaths in children with similar presentations, many of whom tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or serology.<sup>20</sup> Several other states are now reporting cases consistent with MIS-C.

The current case definition for MIS-C can be found on the <u>CDC website</u>. This case definition, which may evolve as more data become available, includes:

- Fever, laboratory evidence of inflammation, and evidence of clinically severe illness requiring hospitalization, with multiorgan involvement, *and*
- No alternate diagnosis, and
- Recent or current SARS-CoV-2 infection or exposure to COVID-19.

From the available data, patients with MIS-C present with persistent fever, evidence of systemic inflammation, and a variety of signs and symptoms of multiorgan system involvement, including cardiac, gastrointestinal, renal, hematologic, dermatologic, and neurologic involvement.

Some patients who meet criteria for MIS-C also meet criteria for complete or incomplete Kawasaki disease. An observational study compared data from Italian children with Kawasaki-like illness that was diagnosed before and after the onset of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. The data suggest that the SARS-CoV-2-associated cases occurred in children who were older than the children with Kawasaki-like illness diagnosed prior to the COVID-19 epidemic. In addition, the rates of cardiac involvement, associated shock, macrophage activation syndrome, and need for adjunctive steroid treatment were higher for the SARS-CoV-2-associated cases.<sup>18</sup> Many patients with MIS-C have abnormal markers of cardiac injury or dysfunction, including troponin and brain natriuretic protein. Echocardiographic findings include impaired left ventricular function, as well as coronary artery dilations, and rarely, coronary artery aneurysms. At presentation, few patients are SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive (nasopharyngeal or nasal swab or stool sample), but most have detectable antibodies to SARS-CoV-2. Emerging observations suggest that there may be a wider range of severity of symptoms than initially recognized. Epidemiologic and clinical data suggest that MIS-C may represent a post-infectious inflammatory phenomenon rather than a direct viral process. The role of asymptomatic infection and the pattern of timing between SARS-CoV-2 infection and MIS-C are not well understood, and currently a causal relationship is not established.

Currently, there is limited information available about risk factors, pathogenesis, clinical course, and treatment for MIS-C. Supportive care remains the mainstay of therapy. There are currently insufficient data for the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel to recommend either for or against any therapeutic strategy for the management of MIS-C. Although no definitive data are available, many centers consider the use of intravenous immune globulin, steroids, and other immunomodulators (including interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 inhibitors) for therapy, and antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy. The role of antiviral medications that specifically target SARS-CoV-2 is not clear at this time. MIS-C management decisions should involve a multidisciplinary team of pediatric specialists in intensive care, infectious diseases, cardiology, hematology, and rheumatology.

### References

- Sun D, Li H, Lu XX, et al. Clinical features of severe pediatric patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan: a single center's observational study. *World J Pediatr*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32193831</u>.
- Cui Y, Tian M, Huang D, et al. A 55-day-old female infant infected with COVID 19: presenting with pneumonia, liver injury, and heart damage. *J Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32179908</u>.
- 3. Cai J, Xu J, Lin D, et al. A Case Series of children with 2019 novel coronavirus infection: clinical and epidemiological features. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32112072.</u>
- 4. Kam KQ, Yung CF, Cui L, et al. A well infant with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) with high viral load. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32112082</u>.
- 5. Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of 2,143 pediatric patients with 2019 coronavirus disease in China. *Pediatrics*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32179660.</u>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children—United States, February 12–April 2, 2020. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6914e4.htm.</u> Accessed June 5, 2020.
- Cui X, Zhang T, Zheng J, et al. Children with coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19): a review of demographic, clinical, laboratory and imaging features in 2,597 pediatric patients. *J Med Virol*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32418216</u>.
- 8. Livingston E, Bucher K. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Italy. *JAMA*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32181795</u>.
- 9. Tagarro A, Epalza C, Santos M, et al. Screening and severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in children in Madrid, Spain. *JAMA* Pediatr. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32267485.</u>
- 10. DeBiasi RL, Song X, Delaney M, et al. Severe COVID-19 in children and young adults in the Washington, DC metropolitan region. *J Pediatr*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32405091</u>.
- Chao JY, Derespina KR, Herold BC, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of hospitalized and critically ill children and adolescents with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) at a Tertiary Care Medical Center in New York City. J Pediatr. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32407719</u>.
- Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10226):809-815. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32151335</u>.
- 13. Fan C, Lei D, Fang C, et al. Perinatal transmission of COVID-19 associated SARS-CoV-2: should we worry? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32182347.</u>
- Zeng L, Xia S, Yuan W, et al. Neonatal early-onset infection with SARS-CoV-2 in 33 neonates born to mothers with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32215598.</u>
- 15. Chiotos K, Hayes M, Kimberlin DW, et al. Multicenter initial guidance on use of antivirals for children with COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32318706.</u>
- 16. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Guidance: Paediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome temporally associated with COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2020-05/</u> <u>COVID-19-Paediatric-multisystem-%20inflammatory%20syndrome-20200501.pdf.</u> Accessed May 28, 2020.
- Riphagen S, Gomez X, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Wilkinson N, Theocharis P. Hyperinflammatory shock in children during COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10237):1607-1608. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386565</u>.
- 18. Verdoni L, Mazza A, Gervasoni A, et al. An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at the Italian epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.</u>

nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32410760.

- 19. Toubiana J, Poirault C, Corsia A, et al. Outbreak of Kawasaki disease in children during COVID-19 pandemic: a prospective observational study in Paris, France. *medRxiv*. 2020:[Preprint]. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.10.20097394v1.</u>
- 20. New York State. Childhood inflamatory disease related to COVID-19. 2020; <u>https://coronavirus.health.ny.gov/childhood-inflammatory-disease-related-covid-19.</u> Accessed June 1, 2020.

### Special Considerations in Adults and Children With Cancer

Last Updated: August 27, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel recommends molecular diagnostic testing for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in patients with cancer who develop signs and symptoms that suggest COVID-19 (AIII) and in asymptomatic patients prior to procedures that require anesthesia and before initiating cytotoxic chemotherapy and long-acting biologic therapy (BIII).
<ul> <li>The recommendations for treating COVID-19 in patients with cancer are the same as those for the general population (AIII) (see <u>Potential Antiviral Drugs Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19</u> and <u>Immune-Based Therapy</u> <u>Under Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19</u>).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Clinicians should pay careful attention to potential drug-drug interactions and overlapping toxicities between drugs that are used to treat COVID-19 and cancer-directed therapies, prophylactic antimicrobials, corticosteroids, and other medications (AIII).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Clinicians who are treating COVID-19 in patients with cancer should consult with a hematologist or oncologist before adjusting cancer-directed medications (AIII).</li> </ul>
• Decisions about administering cancer-directed therapy during SARS-CoV-2 infection should be made on a case-by- case basis; clinicians should consider the indication for chemotherapy, the goals of care, and the patient's history of tolerance to the treatment (BIII).
Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional
<b>Rating of Evidence:</b> I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

People who are being treated for cancer may be at increased risk of severe COVID-19, and their outcomes are worse than individuals without cancer.<sup>1-4</sup> A meta-analysis of 46,499 patients with COVID-19 showed that all-cause mortality (risk ratio 1.66; 95% CI, 1.33–2.07) was higher in patients with cancer, and that patients with cancer were more likely to be admitted to intensive care units (risk ratio 1.56; 95% CI, 1.31–1.87).<sup>5</sup> The risk for immunosuppression and susceptibility to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection varies between cancer types, treatments administered, and stages of therapy (e.g., patients who are actively being treated compared to those in remission). In a study that used data from the COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium Registry, cancer patients who were in remission or who had no evidence of disease were at a lower risk of death from COVID-19 than those who were receiving active treatment.<sup>6</sup> It is unclear whether cancer survivors are at increased risk for severe COVID-19 and its complications compared to people without a history of cancer.

Many organizations have outlined recommendations for treating patients with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as:

- <u>National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)</u>
- <u>American Society of Hematology</u>
- <u>American Society of Clinical Oncology</u>
- <u>Society of Surgical Oncology</u>
- <u>American Society for Radiation Oncology</u>
- International Lymphoma Radiation Oncology Group

This section of the COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines complements these sources and focuses on considerations regarding testing for SARS-CoV-2, management of COVID-19 in patients with cancer, and management of cancer-directed therapies during the COVID-19 pandemic. The optimal

management and therapeutic approach to COVID-19 in this population has not yet been defined.

### Testing for COVID-19 in Patients With Cancer

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends molecular diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 in patients with cancer who develop signs and symptoms of COVID-19 (AIII).

Patients with cancer who are receiving chemotherapy are at risk of developing neutropenia. The NCCN *Guidelines for Hematopoietic Growth Factors* categorizes cancer treatment regimens based on the risk of developing neutropenia. A retrospective study suggests that cancer patients with neutropenia have a higher mortality rate if they develop COVID-19.<sup>7</sup> Due to the potential risk of poor clinical outcomes in the setting of neutropenia and/or during the perioperative period, the Panel recommends performing molecular diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 prior to procedures that require anesthesia and before initiating cytotoxic chemotherapy and long-acting biologic therapy (**BIII**).<sup>8,9</sup>

### General Guidance on Medical Care for Cancer Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Patients with cancer frequently engage with the health care system to receive treatment and supportive care for cancer and/or treatment-related complications. Telemedicine can minimize the need for in-person services and reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 exposure. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention published a framework to help clinicians decide whether a patient should receive in-person or virtual care during the COVID-19 pandemic; this framework accounts for factors such as the potential harm of delayed care and the degree of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in a patient's community.<sup>10</sup> Telemedicine may improve access to providers for medically or socially vulnerable populations but could worsen disparities if these populations have limited access to technology. Nosocomial transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to patients and health care workers has been reported.<sup>11-13</sup> Principles of physical distancing and prevention strategies, including masking patients and health care workers and practicing hand hygiene, apply to all in-person interactions.<sup>14</sup>

Decisions about treatment regimens, surgery, and radiation therapy for the underlying malignancy should be made on an individual basis depending on the biology of the cancer, the need for hospitalization, the number of clinic visits required, and the anticipated degree of immunosuppression. Several key points should be considered:

- If possible, treatment delays should be avoided for curable cancers that have been shown to have worse outcomes when treatment is delayed (e.g., pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia).
- When deciding between equally effective treatment regimens, regimens that can be administered orally or those that require fewer infusions are preferred.<sup>15,16</sup>
- The potential risks of drug-related lung toxicity (e.g., from using bleomycin or PD1 inhibitors) must be balanced with the clinical efficacy of alternative regimens or the risk of delaying care.<sup>17</sup>
- Preventing neutropenia can decrease the risk of neutropenic fever and the need for emergency room evaluation and hospitalization during the COVID-19 pandemic. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) should be given with chemotherapy regimens that have intermediate (10% to 20%) or high (>20%) risks of febrile neutropenia.<sup>18</sup>
- Cancer treatment regimens that do not affect outcomes of COVID-19 in cancer patients may not
  need to be altered. In a prospective observational study, receipt of immunotherapy, hormonal
  therapy, or radiotherapy in the month prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection was not associated with
  an increased risk of mortality among cancer patients with COVID-19.<sup>19</sup> A retrospective study
  from Italy evaluated the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with prostate cancer and

found that 114 of 37,161 patients (0.3%) who were treated with therapies other than androgen deprivation therapy became infected, compared to four of 5,273 patients (0.08%) who were treated with androgen deprivation therapy (OR 4.05; 95% CI, 1.55–10.59). The viral spike proteins required for cell entry of SARS-CoV-2 are primed by TMPRSS2, an androgen-regulated gene. Whether androgen deprivation therapy protects against SARS-CoV-2 infection requires further investigation in larger cohorts.<sup>20</sup>

• Radiation therapy guidelines suggest increasing the dose per fraction and reducing the number of daily treatments in order to minimize the number of hospital visits during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>15,16</sup>

Blood supply shortages will likely continue during the COVID-19 pandemic due to social distancing, cancellation of blood drives, and infection among donors. Revised donor criteria have been proposed by the Food and Drug Administration to increase the number of eligible donors.<sup>21</sup> In patients with cancer, lowering the transfusion thresholds for blood products (e.g., red blood cells, platelets) in asymptomatic patients should be considered.<sup>22,23</sup> At this time, there is no evidence that COVID-19 can be transmitted through blood products.<sup>24,25</sup>

### **Febrile Neutropenia**

Cancer patients with febrile neutropenia should undergo molecular diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 and evaluation for other infectious agents; they should also be given empiric antibiotics, as outlined in the NCCN Guidelines.<sup>26</sup> Low-risk febrile neutropenia patients should be treated at home with oral antibiotics or intravenous infusions of antibiotics to limit nosocomial exposure to SARS-CoV-2. Patients with high-risk febrile neutropenia should be hospitalized per standard of care.<sup>26</sup> Empiric antibiotics should be continued per standard of care in patients who test positive for SARS-CoV-2. Clinicians should also continuously evaluate neutropenic patients for emergent infections.

## Treating COVID-19 and Managing Chemotherapy in Patients With Cancer and COVID-19

Retrospective studies suggest that patients with cancer who were admitted to the hospital with SARS-CoV-2 infection have a high case fatality rate, with higher rates observed in patients with hematologic malignancies than in those with solid tumors.<sup>27,28</sup>

Recommendations for treatment of COVID-19 are the same for cancer patients as for the general population (AIII) (see <u>Potential Antiviral Drugs Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19</u> and <u>Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19</u>). Dexamethasone treatment in patients with COVID-19 who require supplemental oxygen or mechanical ventilation has been associated with a lower mortality rate.<sup>29</sup> In cancer patients, dexamethasone is commonly used to prevent chemotherapy-induced nausea, as a part of tumor-directed therapy, and to treat inflammation associated with brain metastasis. The side effects of using dexamethasone to treat SARS-CoV-2 are not anticipated to be different between patients with or without cancer. If possible, treatments that are not currently recommended for SARS-CoV-2 infection should be administered as part of a clinical trial, since the safety and efficacy of these agents have not been well defined in patients with cancer.

The NCCN recommends discontinuing G-CSF and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in patients with cancer and acute SARS-CoV-2 infection who do not have bacterial or fungal infections to avoid the hypothetical risk of increasing inflammatory cytokines and pulmonary inflammation.<sup>18,30</sup> Secondary infections (e.g., invasive pulmonary aspergillosis) have been reported in critically ill patients with COVID-19.<sup>31,32</sup>

Decisions about administering cancer-directed therapy to patients with acute COVID-19 and those who are recovering from COVID-19 should be made on a case-by-case basis; clinicians should consider the indication for chemotherapy, the goals of care, and the patient's history of tolerance to the treatment **(BIII)**. The optimal duration of time between resolution of infection and initiating or restarting cancer-directed therapy is unclear. Withholding treatment until COVID-19 symptoms have resolved is recommended, if possible. Prolonged viral shedding (detection of SARS-CoV-2 by molecular testing) may occur in cancer patients,<sup>2</sup> although it is unknown how this relates to infectious virus and how it impacts outcomes. Therefore, there is no role for repeat testing in those recovering from COVID-19, and the decision to restart cancer treatments in this setting should be made on a case-by-case basis. The Panel recommends that clinicians who are treating COVID-19 in patients with cancer consult with a hematologist or oncologist before adjusting cancer-directed medications **(AIII)**.

### **Medication Interactions**

The use of potential antiviral or immune-based therapies to treat COVID-19 can present additional challenges in cancer patients. Clinicians should pay careful attention to potential drug-drug interactions and overlapping toxicities between drugs that are used to treat COVID-19 and cancer-directed therapies, prophylactic antimicrobials, corticosteroids, and other medications (AIII).

Several anti-neoplastic medications have known interactions with therapies that are being investigated for COVID-19.<sup>22</sup> Tocilizumab can interact with vincristine and doxorubicin. Any COVID-19 therapy that may cause QT prolongation must be used with caution in patients treated with venetoclax, gilteritinib, and tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy (e.g., nilotinib). Dexamethasone is commonly used as an antiemetic for cancer patients and is recommended for treatment of certain patients with COVID-19 (see <u>Corticosteroids</u> for more information). Dexamethasone is a weak to moderate cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 inducer; therefore, interactions with any CYP3A4 substrates need to be considered. Lopinavir/ritonavir is a CYP3A4 inhibitor, and it can increase methotrexate, vincristine, or ruxolitinib concentrations. Lopinavir/ritonavir **is not recommended** for the treatment of COVID-19; however, patients may receive it in a clinical trial. In general, concomitant use of lopinavir/ritonavir and CYP3A4 substrates should be avoided. If lopinavir/ritonavir is used in combination with a cytotoxic drug that is also a CYP34A substrate, clinicians should monitor for toxicities of the cytotoxic drug and adjust the dose if necessary.

### **Special Considerations in Children**

Preliminary published reports suggest that pediatric patients with cancer may have milder manifestations of COVID-19 than adult patients with cancer, although larger studies are needed.<sup>33-35</sup> Guidance on managing children with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic is available from an international group with input from the International Society of Paediatric Oncology, the Children's Oncology Group, St. Jude Global, and Childhood Cancer International.<sup>36</sup> Two publications include guidance for managing specific malignancies, guidance for supportive care, and a summary of web links from expert groups that are relevant to the care of pediatric oncology patients during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>36,37</sup> Special considerations for using antivirals in immunocompromised children, including those with malignancy, are available in a multicenter guidance statement.<sup>38</sup>

### References

- 1. Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, et al. Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: a multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Cancer Discov*. 2020;10(6):783-791. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32345594</u>.
- 2. Shah V, Ko Ko T, Zuckerman M, et al. Poor outcome and prolonged persistence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in

COVID-19 patients with haematological malignancies; King's College Hospital experience. *Br J Haematol.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32526039</u>.

- 3. Yang K, Sheng Y, Huang C, et al. Clinical characteristics, outcomes, and risk factors for mortality in patients with cancer and COVID-19 in Hubei, China: a multicentre, retrospective, cohort study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21(7):904-913. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32479787">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32479787</a>.
- 4. Robilotti EV, Babady NE, Mead PA, et al. Determinants of COVID-19 disease severity in patients with cancer. *Nat Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32581323</u>.
- 5. Giannakoulis VG, Papoutsi E, Siempos, II. Effect of cancer on clinical outcomes of patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis of patient data. *JCO Glob Oncol*. 2020;6:799-808. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32511066</u>.
- Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10241):1907-1918. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32473681</u>.
- Yarza R, Bover M, Paredes D, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in cancer patients undergoing active treatment: analysis of clinical features and predictive factors for severe respiratory failure and death. *Eur J Cancer*. 2020;135:242-250. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32586724</u>.
- American Society of Clinical Oncology. ASCO Special Report: A guide to cancer care delivery during the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.asco.org/sites/new-www.asco.org/files/content-files/2020-ASCO-Guide-Cancer-COVID19.pdf</u>. Accessed August 17, 2020.
- 9. American Society of Anesthesiologists. The ASA and APSF joint statement on perioperative testing for the COVID-19 virus. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.asahq.org/about-asa/newsroom/news-releases/2020/06/asa-and-apsf-joint-statement-on-perioperative-testing-for-the-covid-19-virus</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): framework for healthcare systems providing non-COVID-19 clinical care during the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/framework-non-COVID-care.html</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- 11. Wang X, Zhou Q, He Y, et al. Nosocomial outbreak of COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Eur Respir J*. 2020;55(6). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32366488</u>.
- Luong-Nguyen M, Hermand H, Abdalla S, et al. Nosocomial infection with SARS-CoV-2 within Departments of Digestive Surgery. *J Visc Surg.* 2020;157(3S1):S13-S18. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32381426</u>.
- Rivett L, Sridhar S, Sparkes D, et al. Screening of healthcare workers for SARS-CoV-2 highlights the role of asymptomatic carriage in COVID-19 transmission. *eLife*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u> <u>pubmed/32392129</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): how to protect yourself & others. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.</u> <u>html</u>. Accessed June 17, 2020.
- American Society for Radiation Oncology. COVID-19 recommendations and information: COVID-19 clinical guidance. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.astro.org/Daily-Practice/COVID-19-Recommendations-and-Information/Clinical-Guidance</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- Yahalom J, Dabaja BS, Ricardi U, et al. ILROG emergency guidelines for radiation therapy of hematological malignancies during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Blood*. 2020;135(21):1829-1832. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32275740</u>.
- 17. American Society of Hematology. COVID-19 and hodgkin lymphoma: frequently asked questions. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.hematology.org/covid-19/covid-19-and-hodgkin-lymphoma</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- 18. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN hematopoietic growth factors: short-term recommendations

specific to issues with COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2). 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.nccn.org/covid-19/pdf/</u> <u>HGF\_COVID-19.pdf</u>. Accessed: August 3, 2020.

- 19. Lee LYW, Cazier JB, Starkey T, et al. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10241):1919-1926. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32473682</u>.
- Montopoli M, Zumerle S, Vettor R, et al. Androgen-deprivation therapies for prostate cancer and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2: a population-based study (N = 4532). *Ann Oncol.* 2020;31(8):1040-1045. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32387456.
- 21. Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: FDA provides updated guidance to address the urgent need for blood during the pandemic. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/news-events/</u><u>press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-provides-updated-guidance-address-urgent-need-blood-during-pandemic</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- 22. American Society of Hematology. COVID-19 resources. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.hematology.org/</u> <u>covid-19</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- 23. American Society of Clinical Oncology. COVID-19 patient care information: cancer treatment & supportive care. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.asco.org/asco-coronavirus-resources/care-individuals-cancer-during-covid-19/cancer-treatment-supportive-care</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- 24. Food and Drug Administration. COVID-19 frequently asked questions. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/covid-19-frequently-asked-questions</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Clinical questions about COVID-19: questions and answers.
   2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/faq.html#Transmission</u>. Accessed August 3, 2020.
- 26. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Infectious disease management and considerations in cancer patients with documented or suspected COVID-19. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.nccn.org/covid-19/pdf/</u> <u>COVID\_Infections.pdf</u>. Accessed: August 3, 2020.
- 27. Mehta V, Goel S, Kabarriti R, et al. Case fatality rate of cancer patients with COVID-19 in a New York Hospital System. *Cancer Discov.* 2020;10(7):935-941. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32357994</u>.
- Meng Y, Lu W, Guo E, et al. Cancer history is an independent risk factor for mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a propensity score-matched analysis. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2020;13(1):75. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32522278</u>.
- 29. Recovery Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32678530</u>.
- Nawar T, Morjaria S, Kaltsas A, et al. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor in COVID-19: Is it stimulating more than just the bone marrow? *Am J Hematol*. 2020;95(8):E210-E213. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32419212</u>.
- 31. van Arkel ALE, Rijpstra TA, Belderbos HNA, van Wijngaarden P, Verweij PE, Bentvelsen RG. COVID-19associated pulmonary aspergillosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020;202(1):132-135. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32396381</u>.
- 32. Alanio A, Delliere S, Fodil S, Bretagne S, Megarbane B. Prevalence of putative invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8(6):e48-e49. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32445626">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32445626</a>.
- 33. Hrusak O, Kalina T, Wolf J, et al. Flash survey on severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 infections in paediatric patients on anticancer treatment. *Eur J Cancer*. 2020;132:11-16. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32305831</u>.

- 34. Andre N, Rouger-Gaudichon J, Brethon B, et al. COVID-19 in pediatric oncology from French pediatric oncology and hematology centers: High risk of severe forms? *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020;67(7):e28392. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3238382</u>7.
- 35. de Rojas T, Perez-Martinez A, Cela E, et al. COVID-19 infection in children and adolescents with cancer in Madrid. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020;67(7):e28397. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32383819</u>.
- 36. Sullivan M, Bouffet E, Rodriguez-Galindo C, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic: a rapid global response for children with cancer from SIOP, COG, SIOP-E, SIOP-PODC, IPSO, PROS, CCI, and St. Jude Global. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020;67(7):e28409. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32400924</u>.
- 37. Bouffet E, Challinor J, Sullivan M, Biondi A, Rodriguez-Galindo C, Pritchard-Jones K. Early advice on managing children with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic and a call for sharing experiences. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020;67(7):e28327. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32239747</u>.
- Chiotos K, Hayes M, Kimberlin DW, et al. Multicenter initial guidance on use of antivirals for children with COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u> <u>pubmed/32318706</u>.

### Special Considerations in Solid Organ Transplant, Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant, and Cellular Therapy Candidates, Donors, and Recipients

Last Updated: July 17, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

#### Potential Transplant and Cellular Therapy Candidates

- The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends diagnostic molecular testing for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) for all potential solid organ transplant (SOT), hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT), and cell therapy candidates with signs and symptoms that suggest acute COVID-19 infection (AIII).
- The Panel recommends following the guidance from medical professional organizations that specialize in providing care for SOT, HCT, or cell therapy recipients when performing diagnostic molecular testing for SARS-CoV-2 in these patients (AIII).
- If SARS-CoV-2 is detected or if infection is strongly suspected, transplantation should be deferred, if possible (BIII).

#### **Potential Transplant Donors**

- The Panel recommends assessing all potential SOT donors for signs and symptoms that are associated with COVID-19 according to guidance from medical professional organizations (AIII).
  - The Panel recommends performing diagnostic molecular testing for SARS-CoV-2 if symptoms are present (AIII).
  - If SARS-CoV-2 is detected or if infection is strongly suspected, donation should be deferred (BIII).
- The Panel recommends assessing all potential HCT donors for signs and symptoms that are associated with COVID-19 according to guidance from medical professional organizations (AIII).
  - The Panel recommends performing diagnostic molecular testing for SARS-CoV-2 when symptoms are present (AIII).
  - If SARS-CoV-2 is detected or if infection is strongly suspected, donation should be deferred (BIII).

#### Transplant and Cellular Therapy Recipients with COVID-19

- Clinicians should follow the guidelines for evaluating and managing COVID-19 in nontransplant patients when treating transplant and cellular therapy recipients (AIII). See <u>Management of Persons with COVID-19</u>, <u>Potential Antiviral Drugs</u> <u>Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19</u>, and <u>Immune-Based Therapy Under Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19</u> for more information.
- The Panel recommends that clinicians who are treating COVID-19 in transplant and cellular therapy patients consult with a transplant specialist before adjusting immunosuppressive medications (AIII).
- When treating COVID-19, clinicians should pay careful attention to potential drug-drug interactions and overlapping toxicities with immunosuppressants, prophylactic antimicrobials, and other medications (AIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

### Introduction

Treating COVID-19 in solid organ transplant (SOT), hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT), and cellular immunotherapy recipients can be challenging due to the presence of coexisting medical conditions, transplant-related cytopenias, and the need for chronic immunosuppressive therapy to prevent graft rejection and graft-versus-host disease. Transplant recipients may also potentially have increased exposure to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) given their frequent contact with the health care system. Since immunosuppressive agents modulate several aspects of the host's immune response, the severity of COVID-19 could potentially be affected by the type and the intensity

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

Downloaded from <a href="https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/">https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</a> on 10/17/2020

of the immunosuppressive effect of the agent, as well as by specific combinations of immunosuppressive agents. Some transplant recipients have medical comorbidities that have been associated with more severe cases of COVID-19 and a greater risk of mortality, which makes the attributable impact of transplantation on disease severity difficult to assess.

The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD),<sup>1</sup> the <u>International Society for</u> <u>Heart and Lung Transplantation</u>, the <u>American Society of Transplantation</u>, the <u>American Society</u> <u>for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy</u> (ASTCT), the <u>European Society for Blood and Marrow</u> <u>Transplantation</u> (EBMT), and the <u>Association of Organ Procurement Organizations</u> provide guidance for clinicians who are caring for transplant recipients with COVID-19, as well as guidance for screening potential donors and transplant or cell therapy candidates. This section of the Guidelines complements these sources and focuses on considerations for managing COVID-19 in SOT, HCT, and cellular therapy recipients. The optimal management and therapeutic approach to COVID-19 in these populations is unknown. At this time, the procedures for evaluating and managing COVID-19 in transplant recipients are the same as for nontransplant patients (**AIII**). See <u>Management of Persons with COVID-19</u>, <u>Potential Antiviral Drugs Under Evaluation for the Treatment of COVID-19</u>, and <u>Immune-Based Therapy Under</u> <u>Evaluation for Treatment of COVID-19</u> for more information. The medications that are used to treat COVID-19 may present different risks and benefits to transplant patients and nontransplant patients.

### Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Transplant and Cellular Therapy Candidates and Donors

The risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from donors to candidates is unknown. The probability of donor or candidate infection with SARS-CoV-2 may be estimated by considering epidemiologic risk, obtaining clinical history, and testing with molecular techniques. No current testing strategy is sensitive enough or specific enough to totally exclude active infection. Living solid organ donors should be counseled on strategies to prevent infection and monitored for exposures and symptoms in the 14 days prior to scheduled transplant.<sup>2</sup> HCT donors should practice good hygiene and avoid crowded places and large group gatherings during the 28 days prior to donation.<sup>3</sup>

### Assessment of Transplant and Cellular Therapy Candidates

Diagnostic molecular testing for SARS-CoV-2 is recommended for all potential SOT candidates with signs and symptoms that suggest acute COVID-19 infection (AIII). All potential SOT candidates should be assessed for exposure to COVID-19 and clinical symptoms that are compatible with COVID-19 before they are called in for transplantation and should undergo diagnostic molecular testing for SARS-CoV-2 shortly before SOT in accordance with guidance from medical professional organizations (AIII).

Clinicians should consider performing diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 in all HCT and cellular therapy candidates who exhibit symptoms. All candidates should also undergo diagnostic molecular testing for SARS-CoV-2 shortly before HCT or cell therapy (AIII).

### Assessment of Donors

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends following the guidance from medical professional organizations and assessing all potential HCT donors for exposure to COVID-19 and clinical symptoms that are compatible with COVID-19 before donation (AIII). Deceased donors should undergo screening for known symptoms and exposure to others with COVID-19 before transplantation, and decisions about using such organs should be made on a case-by-case basis (BIII). Recommendations for screening are outlined in the ASTCT and EBMT guidelines.

### If SARS-CoV-2 Infection Is Detected or Strongly Suspected

If SARS-CoV-2 is detected or if infection is strongly suspected in a potential SOT donor or candidate, transplant should be deferred, if possible (**BIII**). The optimal disease-free interval before transplantation is not known. The risks of viral transmission should be balanced against the risks to the candidate, such as progression of the underlying disease and risk of mortality if the candidate does not receive the transplant. This decision should be continually reassessed as conditions evolve. For HCT and cellular therapy candidates, current guidelines recommend deferring transplants or immunotherapy procedures, including peripheral blood stem cell mobilization, bone marrow harvest, T cell collection, and conditioning/lymphodepletion in recipients who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 or who have clinical symptoms that are consistent with infection. Final decisions should be made on a case-by-case basis while weighing the risks of delaying or altering therapy for the underlying disease.

### **Transplant Recipients with COVID-19**

SOT recipients who are receiving immunosuppressive therapy should be considered to be at increased risk for severe COVID-19.<sup>1,4</sup> A national survey of 88 U.S. transplant centers conducted between March 24 and 31, 2020, reported that 148 SOT recipients received a diagnosis of COVID-19 infection (69.6% were kidney recipients, 15.5% were liver recipients, 8.8% were heart recipients, and 6.1% were lung recipients).<sup>5</sup> COVID-19 was mild in 54% of recipients and moderate in 21% of recipients, and 25% of recipients were critically ill. Modification of immunosuppressive therapy during COVID-19 and the use of investigational therapies for treatment of COVID-19 varied widely among recipients. Initial reports of transplant recipients who were hospitalized with COVID-19 suggest mortality rates of up to 28%.<sup>6-9</sup>

### **Risk of Graft Rejection**

There have been no published reports of graft rejection in SOT recipients who received a diagnosis of COVID-19, although this may be due to a limited ability to perform biopsies. Acute cellular rejection should not be presumed in SOT recipients without biopsy confirmation in individuals with or without COVID-19. Similarly, immunosuppressive therapy should be initiated in recipients with or without COVID-19 who have rejection confirmed by a biopsy.<sup>1</sup>

There is a lack of data on the incidence and clinical characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in <u>HCT</u> and <u>cellular therapy recipients</u>. Experience with other respiratory viruses suggests that this population is at a high risk for severe disease, including increased rates of lower respiratory tract infection and mortality.<sup>10</sup> Factors that may determine clinical severity include degree of cytopenia, time since transplant, intensity of the conditioning regimen, graft source, degree of mismatch, and the need for further immunosuppression to manage graft-versus-host disease. For other respiratory viruses, HCT recipients often exhibit prolonged viral shedding,<sup>11-14</sup> which can have implications for infection prevention and for the timing of potential interventions.

### Treatment of COVID-19 in Transplant Recipients

Currently, no drug has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of COVID-19, although preliminary data suggest that the investigational antiviral drug remdesivir can be used in those with severe disease. Remdesivir is available for use in these patients under the FDA's Emergency Use Authorization.<sup>15</sup>

Preliminary data from a large randomized controlled trial have shown that a short course of dexamethasone (6 mg once daily for up to 10 days) can improve survival in patients with COVID-19 who are mechanically ventilated or who require supplemental oxygen.<sup>16</sup> At this time, the risks and benefits of using dexamethasone in transplant recipients with COVID-19 who are receiving immunosuppressive therapy, which may include corticosteroids, are unknown.

The Panel's recommendations for the use of remdesivir and dexamethasone in patients with COVID-19 can be found in the <u>Remdesivir</u> and <u>Corticosteroids</u> sections.

A number of other investigational agents and drugs that are approved by the FDA for other indications are being evaluated for the treatment of COVID-19 (e.g., <u>antiviral therapies</u>, <u>COVID-19 convalescent</u> <u>plasma</u>) and its associated complications (e.g., <u>immunomodulators</u>, <u>antithrombotic agents</u>). In general, the considerations when treating COVID-19 are the same for transplant recipients as for the general population. When possible, treatment should be given as part of a clinical trial. The safety and efficacy of investigational agents and drugs that have been approved by the FDA for other indications are not well defined in transplant recipients. Moreover, it is unknown whether concomitant use of immunosuppressive agents to prevent allograft rejection in the setting of COVID-19 affects treatment outcome.

The use of antiviral or immune-based therapies for the treatment of COVID-19 can present additional challenges in transplant patients. Clinicians should pay special attention to the potential for drug-drug interactions and overlapping toxicities with concomitant medications, such as immunosuppressants that are used to prevent allograft rejection (e.g., corticosteroids, mycophenolate, and calcineurin inhibitors such as tacrolimus and cyclosporine), antimicrobials that are used to prevent opportunistic infections, and other medications. Dose modifications may be necessary for drugs that are used to treat COVID-19 in transplant recipients with pre-existing organ dysfunction. Adjustments to the immunosuppressive regimen should be individualized based on disease severity, the specific immunosuppressants used, the type of transplant, the time since transplantation, the drug concentration, and the risk of graft rejection.<sup>7</sup> Clinicians who are treating COVID-19 in transplant patients should consult with a transplant specialist before adjusting immunosuppressive medication (AIII).

Certain investigational or off-label therapeutics (e.g., remdesivir, tocilizumab) are associated with elevated levels of transaminases. For liver transplant recipients, the AASLD does not view abnormal liver biochemistries as a contraindication to using investigational or off-label therapeutics, although certain elevation thresholds may exclude patients from trials of some investigational agents.<sup>17</sup> Close monitoring of liver biochemistries is warranted in patients with COVID-19, especially when they are receiving agents with a known risk of hepatotoxicity.

Calcineurin inhibitors, which are commonly used to prevent allograft rejection, have a narrow therapeutic index. Medications that inhibit or induce cytochrome P450 enzymes or P-glycoprotein may put patients who receive calcineurin inhibitors at risk of clinically significant drug-drug interactions, increasing the need for therapeutic drug monitoring and the need to assess for signs of toxicity or rejection.<sup>18</sup> Similarly, transplant patients may be at a higher risk of adverse effects, particularly when their concomitant medications have overlapping toxicities. Specific concerns about the use of potential antiviral medications and immune-based therapy for COVID-19 in transplant patients are noted below. See Table <u>3b</u> for additional details.

## Table 4. Special Concerns for Drugs That Are BeingEvaluated for COVID-19 Treatment in Transplant Patients

### Last Updated: July 17, 2020

Drugs That Are Being Evaluated for COVID-19 Treatment	Concerns in Transplant Patients	
Azithromycin	Hepatotoxicity (cholestatic hepatitis, rare)	
	<ul> <li>Additive effect with other drugs that prolong the QTc interval.</li> </ul>	
Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine	Moderate inhibition of CYP2D6.	
	<ul> <li>Inhibition of P-gp may increase levels of calcineurin inhibitors and mTOR inhibitors.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Additive effect with other drugs that prolong the QTc interval.</li> </ul>	
Dexamethasone	Moderate CYP3A4 inducer	
	<ul> <li>Potential for additional immunosuppression and increased risk of OIs.</li> </ul>	
HIV Protease Inhibitors	• RTV and other PIs are strong inhibitors of CYP3A4. Coadministration will increase concentrations of tacrolimus, cyclosporine, everolimus, sirolimus, and prednisone.	
	• TDM and dose adjustment of immunosuppressant is necessary. Monitor for calcineurin inhibitor-associated toxicities.	
Interleukin-6 Inhibitors	• Use of IL-6 inhibitors may lead to increased metabolism of drugs that are CYP substrates. Effects on CYP may persist for weeks after therapy.	
	• AEs include neutropenia and an increase in transaminases. See <u>Table 3b</u> .	
Remdesivir	• Strong P-gp inducers (e.g., rifampin) may reduce RDV exposure. Coadministration <b>is not recommended</b> .	
	<ul> <li>Increase in levels of serum transaminases.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Accumulation of drug vehicle cyclodextrin in patients with kidney dysfunction.</li> </ul>	
Ribavirin	<ul> <li>Significant toxicities, including anemia, bradycardia, and an increase in serum transaminases levels.</li> </ul>	

**Key:** AE = adverse effects; CYP = cytochrome P450; IL = interleukin; mTOR = mechanistic target of rapamycin; OI = opportunistic infection; P-gp = P-glycoprotein; PI = protease inhibitor; RDV = remdesivir; RTV= ritonavir; TDM = therapeutic drug monitoring

### References

- Fix OK, Hameed B, Fontana RJ, et al. Clinical best practice advice for hepatology and liver transplant providers during the COVID-19 pandemic: AASLD Expert Panel consensus statement. *Hepatology*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32298473</u>.
- 2. American Society of Transplantation. COVID-19 resources for transplant community. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/contact-us/</u>. Accessed June 26, 2020.
- 3. American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy. ASTCT interim patient guidelines April 20, 2020. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.astct.org/viewdocument/astct-interim-patient-guidelines-ap?CommunityKey=d3949d84-3440-45f4-8142-90ea05adb0e5&tab=librarydocuments. Accessed July 2, 2020</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): groups at higher risk for severe illness. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/groupsat-higher-risk.html</u>. Accessed June 1, 2020.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

- 5. Boyarsky BJ, Po-Yu Chiang T, Werbel WA, et al. Early impact of COVID-19 on transplant center practices and policies in the United States. *Am J Transplant*. 2020;20(7):1809-1818. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32282982</u>.
- 6. Akalin E, Azzi Y, Bartash R, et al. COVID-19 and kidney transplantation. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382(25):2475-2477. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32329975</u>.
- Pereira MR, Mohan S, Cohen DJ, et al. COVID-19 in solid organ transplant recipients: Initial report from the US epicenter. *Am J Transplant*. 2020;20(7):1800-1808. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32330343</u>.
- 8. Alberici F, Delbarba E, Manenti C, et al. A single center observational study of the clinical characteristics and short-term outcome of 20 kidney transplant patients admitted for SARS-CoV2 pneumonia. *Kidney Int.* 2020;97(6):1083-1088. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32354634</u>.
- Montagud-Marrahi E, Cofan F, Torregrosa JV, et al. Preliminary data on outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection in a Spanish single center cohort of kidney recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32368838</u>.
- 10. Ison MG, Hirsch HH. Community-acquired respiratory viruses in transplant patients: diversity, impact, unmet clinical needs. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2019;32(4). Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31511250">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31511250</a>.
- Ogimi C, Xie H, Leisenring WM, et al. Initial high viral load is associated with prolonged shedding of human rhinovirus in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2018;24(10):2160-2163. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30009982</u>.
- Ogimi C, Greninger AL, Waghmare AA, et al. Prolonged shedding of human coronavirus in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: risk factors and viral genome evolution. *J Infect Dis*. 2017;216(2):203-209. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28838146</u>.
- Milano F, Campbell AP, Guthrie KA, et al. Human rhinovirus and coronavirus detection among allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. *Blood*. 2010;115(10):2088-2094. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20042728</u>.
- Choi SM, Boudreault AA, Xie H, Englund JA, Corey L, Boeckh M. Differences in clinical outcomes after 2009 influenza A/H1N1 and seasonal influenza among hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Blood*. 2011;117(19):5050-5056. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21372154</u>.
- 15. Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19—preliminary report. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32445440</u>.
- Horby P, Shen Lim W, Emberson J, et al. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary report. *medRxiv*. 2020;Preprint. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/</u> <u>content/10.1101/2020.06.22.20137273v1</u>.
- 17. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Clinical insights for hepatology and liver transplant providers during the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.aasld.org/sites/default/files/2020-04/AASLD-COVID19-ClinicalInsights-4.07.2020-Final.pdf</u>. Accessed: June 26, 2020.
- Elens L, Langman LJ, Hesselink DA, et al. Pharmacologic treatment of transplant recipients infected with SARS-CoV-2: considerations regarding therapeutic drug monitoring and drug-drug interactions. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2020;42(3):360-368. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32304488</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

### Special Considerations in People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus

Last Updated: October 9, 2020

#### **Summary Recommendations**

#### Prevention and Diagnosis of COVID-19

• The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel recommends using the same approach for the prevention and diagnosis of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in people with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) as in people without HIV (AIII).

#### **Management of COVID-19**

- Recommendations for the triage, management, and treatment of COVID-19 in people with HIV are the same as those for the general population (AIII).
- In people with advanced HIV and suspected or documented COVID-19, HIV-associated opportunistic infections (OIs) should also be considered in the differential diagnosis of febrile illness (AIII).
- When starting treatment for COVID-19 in a patient with HIV, clinicians should pay careful attention to potential drug-drug interactions and overlapping toxicities among COVID-19 treatments, antiretroviral (ARV) medications, antimicrobial therapies, and other medications (AIII).
- People with HIV should be offered the opportunity to participate in clinical trials of vaccines and potential treatments for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

#### **Management of HIV**

- People with HIV who develop COVID-19, including those who require hospitalization, should continue their antiretroviral therapy (ART) and OI prophylaxis whenever possible (AIII).
- Clinicians treating COVID-19 in people with HIV should consult with an HIV specialist before adjusting or switching ARV medications (AIII).
- An ART regimen should not be switched or adjusted (i.e., by adding ARVs to the regimen) for the purpose of preventing or treating SARS-CoV-2 infection (AIII).
- For people who present with COVID-19 and a new diagnosis of HIV, clinicians should consult an HIV specialist to determine the optimal time to initiate ART (see text for more detailed discussion).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies; III = Expert opinion

### Introduction

Approximately 1.2 million persons in the United States are living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Most of these individuals are in care, and many are on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and have well-controlled disease.<sup>1</sup> Similar to COVID-19, HIV disproportionately affects racial and ethnic minorities and persons of lower socioeconomic status in the United States;<sup>2</sup> these demographic groups also appear to have a higher risk for worse outcomes with COVID-19. Information on HIV and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) coinfection is evolving rapidly. The sections below outline the current state of knowledge regarding the prevention and diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in people with HIV, treatment and clinical outcomes in people with HIV who develop COVID-19, and management of HIV during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to these Guidelines, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents has developed the Interim Guidance for COVID-19 and Persons with HIV.

Downloaded from <a href="https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/">https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</a> on 10/17/2020

### Prevention of COVID-19 in People With HIV

The COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel (the Panel) recommends using the same approach in advising persons with HIV on the strategies to prevent acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 infection as used for people without HIV (AIII). There is currently no clear evidence that any antiretroviral (ARV) medications can prevent the acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

### Diagnostic and Laboratory Testing for COVID-19 in People With HIV

### Diagnosis of COVID-19 in People With HIV

The Panel recommends using the same approach for diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in people with HIV as in those without HIV (see <u>SARS-CoV-2 Testing</u>) (AIII). There is currently no evidence that the performance characteristics of nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT) for diagnosis of acute SARS-CoV-2 infection differ in people with and without HIV. The Panel **recommends against** the use of serologic testing as the sole basis for diagnosis of acute SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, if diagnostic serologic testing is performed, the results should be interpreted with caution, especially in patients with HIV because cross-reactivity between antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and HIV has been reported.<sup>3</sup>

### Correlation of CD4 Count in People With HIV and COVID-19

The normal range of CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts in healthy adults is about 500 to 1,600 cells/ mm<sup>3</sup>. Persons with HIV and CD4 count of  $\geq$ 500 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> have similar cellular immune function to persons without HIV. In people with HIV, a CD4 count <200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> meets the definition for AIDS. For patients on ART, the hallmark of treatment success is plasma HIV RNA below the level of detection by a PCR assay. Lymphopenia is a common laboratory finding in patients with COVID-19; in patients with HIV, clinicians should note that CD4 counts obtained during acute COVID-19 may not accurately reflect the patient's HIV disease stage.

There have been some reports of persons with advanced HIV who have presented with COVID-19 and another coinfection, including *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia.<sup>4,5</sup> In patients with advanced HIV with suspected or confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, clinicians should consider a broader differential diagnosis for clinical symptoms and consider consultation with an HIV specialist (AIII).

### **Clinical Presentation of COVID-19 in People With HIV**

It is currently not known whether the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection or the rate of progression to symptomatic disease is higher in persons with HIV. Approximately 50% of persons with HIV in the United States are aged >50 years and many have comorbidities that are associated with more severe illness with COVID-19, including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, tobacco use disorder, chronic lung disease, chronic liver disease, and cancer.<sup>6</sup>

There are several case reports and case series that describe the clinical presentation of COVID-19 in persons with HIV.<sup>7-17</sup> These studies indicate that the clinical presentation of COVID-19 is similar in persons with and without HIV. Most of the published reports describe populations in which most of the individuals with HIV are on ART and have virologic suppression. Consequently, the current understanding of the impact of COVID-19 in persons with advanced HIV with low CD4 counts or those with persistent HIV viremia is limited.

### Management of COVID-19 in People With HIV

Recommendations for the triage and management of COVID-19 in people with HIV are the same as those for the general population (AIII).

The treatment of COVID-19 in persons with HIV is the same as that for persons without HIV (AIII). When starting treatment for COVID-19 in patients with HIV, clinicians should pay careful attention to potential drug-drug interactions and overlapping toxicities among COVID-19 treatments, ARV medications, antimicrobial therapies, and other medications (AIII). Remdesivir should be used as recommended in the Remdesivir section of these Guidelines. There are no significant drug-drug interactions expected between remdesivir and ARV drugs. Dexamethasone should also be used as recommended in the <u>Corticosteroids</u> section of these Guidelines. Dexamethasone is an inducer of hepatic enzymes and could potentially lower levels of certain coadministered ARV drugs. However, this interaction is not expected to be clinically significant based on the short duration of dexamethasone therapy (up to 10 days) in the RECOVERY trial. Although some ARV drugs are being studied for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19, no agents have been shown to be effective.

People with HIV should be offered the opportunity to participate in clinical trials of vaccines and potential treatments for COVID-19. A variety of immunomodulatory therapies are prescribed empirically or administered as part of a clinical trial to treat severe COVID-19 disease. Data about whether these medications are safe to use in patients with HIV are lacking. If a medication is proven to reduce the mortality of patients with COVID-19 in the general population, it should also be used to treat COVID-19 in patients with HIV, unless data indicate that the medication is not safe or effective in this population.

### Management of HIV in People With SARS-CoV-2/HIV Coinfection

Below are some general considerations regarding the management of HIV in people with SARS-CoV-2/ HIV coinfection.

- ART and opportunistic infection prophylaxis should be continued in a patient with HIV who develops COVID-19, including in those who require hospitalization, whenever possible (AIII). ARV treatment interruption may lead to rebound viremia, and in some cases, emergence of drug resistance. If the ARV drugs are not on the hospital's formulary, administer medications from the patient's home supplies (if available).
- Clinicians treating COVID-19 in people with HIV should consult with an HIV specialist before adjusting or switching a patient's ARV medications. An ART regimen should not be switched or adjusted (i.e., by adding ARVs to the regimen) for the purpose of preventing or treating SARS-CoV-2 infection (AIII). Many drugs, including some ARV agents (e.g., lopinavir/ritonavir, boosted darunavir, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine), have been or are being evaluated in clinical trials or are prescribed for off-label use for the treatment or prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection. To date, lopinavir/ritonavir and darunavir/ritonavir have not been found to be effective (see <u>Antiviral Therapy</u>).<sup>18,19</sup> Two retrospective studies suggest an effect of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine in preventing SARS-CoV-2 acquisition or hospitalization or death associated with COVID-19;<sup>8,20</sup> however, the significance of these findings is unclear as neither study adequately controlled for confounding variables such as age and comorbidities.
- For patients who are taking an investigational ARV medication as part of their HIV regimen, arrangements should be made with the investigational study team to continue the medication, if possible.
- For critically ill patients who require tube feeding, some ARV medications are available in liquid formulations and some, but not all, ARV pills may be crushed. Clinicians should consult an HIV specialist and/or pharmacist to assess the best way for a patient with a feeding tube to continue an effective ARV regimen. Information may be available in the drug product label or in <u>this</u> <u>document</u>.
- For people who present with COVID-19 and have either a new diagnosis of HIV or a history of

HIV but are not taking ART, the optimal time to start or restart ART is currently unknown. For people with HIV who have not initiated ART or who have been off therapy for >2 weeks before presenting with COVID-19, the Panel recommends consultation with an HIV specialist regarding initiation or re-initiation of ART as soon as clinically feasible. If ART is started, maintaining treatment and linking patients to HIV care upon hospital discharge is critical. If an HIV specialist is not available, clinical consultation is available through the National Clinical Consultation Center warmline, Monday through Friday, 9 am to 8 pm EST.

### **Clinical Outcomes of COVID-19 in People With HIV**

No significant differences in clinical outcomes have been noted in several small case series from Europe and the United States.<sup>7,9-11,13-17</sup> Data from the Veterans Aging Cohort Study were analyzed to compare outcomes in 253 mostly male participants with HIV and COVID-19 who were matched with 504 participants with only COVID-19.<sup>12</sup> In this comparison, there was no difference in COVID-19-related hospitalization, intensive care unit admission, intubation, or death in patients with or without HIV. In contrast, worse outcomes, including increased COVID-19 mortality rates, in people with HIV have been reported in cohort studies from the United States, the United Kingdom, and South Africa.<sup>20-23</sup> In a multicenter cohort study of 286 patients with HIV and COVID-19 in the United States, lower CD4 count (i.e., <200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>), despite virologic suppression, was associated with a higher risk for poor outcomes.<sup>23</sup>

## Special Considerations in Children and Pregnant Women With HIV Who Develop COVID-19

Currently, there is limited information about pregnancy and maternal outcomes in women with HIV who have COVID-19 and in children with HIV and COVID-19. Readers are referred to sections in these Guidelines on the management of COVID-19 in pregnancy and in children, and to the <u>HHS Interim</u> <u>Guidance for COVID-19 and Persons with HIV</u>.

### References

- 1. Harris NS, Johnson AS, Huang YA, et al. Vital signs: status of human immunodeficiency virus testing, viral suppression, and HIV preexposure prophylaxis—United States, 2013–2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2019;68(48):1117-1123. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31805031</u>.
- Meyerowitz EA, Kim AY, Ard KL, et al. Disproportionate burden of coronavirus disease 2019 among racial minorities and those in congregate settings among a large cohort of people with HIV. *AIDS*. 2020;34(12):1781-1787. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32604138</u>.
- 3. Food and Drug Administration. New York SARS-CoV-2 real-time reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR diagnostic panel. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/135847/download</u>. Accessed September 8, 2020.
- 4. Coleman H, Snell LB, Simons R, Douthwaite ST, Lee MJ. Coronavirus disease 2019 and Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia: a diagnostic dilemma in HIV. *AIDS*. 2020;34(8):1258-1260. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32501852</u>.
- 5. Blanco JL, Ambrosioni J, Garcia F, et al. COVID-19 in patients with HIV: clinical case series. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e314-e316. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32304642</u>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV surveillance report: estimated HIV incidence and prevalence in the United States 2014-2018. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/ cdc-hiv-surveillance-supplemental-report-vol-25-1.pdf</u>. Accessed: September 8, 2020.
- 7. Byrd KM, Beckwith CG, Garland JM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and HIV coinfection: clinical experience from Rhode Island, United States. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2020;23(7):e25573. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32657527</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

- Del Amo J, Polo R, Moreno S, et al. Incidence and Severity of COVID-19 in HIV-Positive Persons Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32589451</u>.
- Gervasoni C, Meraviglia P, Riva A, et al. Clinical features and outcomes of HIV patients with coronavirus disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.</u> <u>gov/pubmed/32407467</u>.
- Harter G, Spinner CD, Roider J, et al. COVID-19 in people living with human immunodeficiency virus: a case series of 33 patients. *Infection*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.</u> gov/pubmed/32394344.
- Karmen-Tuohy S, Carlucci PM, Zervou FN, et al. Outcomes Among HIV-Positive Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2020;85(1):6-10. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32568770</u>.
- 12. Park LS, Rentsch CT, Sigel K, et al. COVID-19 in the largest U.S. cohort. Presented at: 23rd International AIDS Conference. 2020. Virtual.
- Patel VV, Felsen UR, Fisher M, et al. Clinical outcomes by HIV serostatus, CD4 count, and viral suppression among people hospitalized with COVID-19 in the Bronx, New York. Presented at: 23rd International AIDS Conference. 2020. Virtual.
- 14. Shalev N, Scherer M, LaSota ED, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in people living with HIV hospitalized for COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020; Published online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32472138</u>.
- 15. Sigel K, Swartz T, Golden E, et al. COVID-19 and people with HIV infection: outcomes for hospitalized patients in New York City. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020; Publsihed online ahead of print. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32594164</u>.
- Stoeckle K, Johnston CD, Jannat-Khah DP, et al. COVID-19 in hospitalized adults with HIV. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2020;7(8). Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32864388</u>.
- Vizcarra P, Perez-Elias MJ, Quereda C, et al. Description of COVID-19 in HIV-infected individuals: a singlecentre, prospective cohort. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(8):e554-e564. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/32473657</u>.
- Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A trial of lopinavir-ritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe COVID-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(19):1787-1799. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32187464</u>.
- 19. Chen J, Xia L, Liu L, et al. Antiviral activity and safety of darunavir/cobicistat for the treatment of COVID-19. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020;7(7):ofaa241. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32671131</u>.
- 20. Davies MA. HIV and risk of COVID-19 death: a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32637972</u>.
- 21. Bhaskaran K, Rentsch CT, MacKenna B, et al. HIV infection and COVID-19 death: population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.07.20169490v1</u>.
- 22. Geretti A, Stockdale A, Kelly S, et al. Outcomes of COVID-19 related hospitalisation among people with HIV in the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol UK Protocol: prospective observational study. *medRxiv*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.07.20170449v1</u>.
- Dandachi D, Geiger G, Montgomery MW, et al. Characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes in a multicenter registry of patients with HIV and coronavirus disease-19. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <u>https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32905581</u>.

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

# Appendix A, Table 1. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel Members

Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Name	Affiliation	
Co-Chairs		
Roy M. Gulick, MD, MPH	Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY	
H. Clifford Lane, MD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Henry Masur, MD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Executive Secretary		
Alice K. Pau, PharmD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Members		
Judith Aberg, MD	Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY	
Adaora Adimora, MD, MPH	University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC	
Jason Baker, MD, MS	Hennepin Healthcare/University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN	
Lisa Baumann Kreuziger, MD, MS	Versiti/Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI	
Roger Bedimo, MD, MS	University of Texas Southwestern/Veterans Affairs North Texas Health Care System, Dallas, TX	
Pamela S. Belperio, PharmD	Department of Veterans Affairs, Los Angeles, CA	
Stephen V. Cantrill, MD	Denver Health, Denver, CO	
Ann C. Collier, MD	University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA	
Craig Coopersmith, MD	Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA	
Eric Daar, MD	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA	
Susan L. Davis, PharmD	Wayne State University School of Pharmacy, Detroit, MI	
Amy L. Dzierba, PharmD	New York-Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY	
Laura Evans, MD, MSc	University of Washington, Seattle, WA	
John J. Gallagher, DNP, RN	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA	
Rajesh Gandhi, MD	Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA	
David V. Glidden, PhD	University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA	
Birgit Grund, PhD	University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN	
Erica J. Hardy, MD, MMSc	Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI	
Carl Hinkson, MSRC	Providence Health & Services, Everett, WA	
Brenna L. Hughes, MD, MSc	Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC	
Steven Johnson, MD	University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO	
Marla J. Keller, MD	Albert Einstein College of Medicine/Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY	
Arthur Kim, MD	Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA	
Jeffrey L. Lennox, MD	Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA	
Mitchell M. Levy, MD	Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI	
Gregory Martin, MD, MSc	Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA	
Susanna Naggie, MD, MHS	Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC	
Andrew T. Pavia, MD	University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT	
Nitin Seam, MD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

Name	Affiliation	
Members, continued		
Steven Q. Simpson, MD	University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS	
Susan Swindells, MBBS	University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE	
Pablo Tebas, MD	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA	
Phyllis Tien, MD, MSc	University of California, San Francisco/San Francisco VA Healthcare System, San Francisco, CA	
Alpana A. Waghmare, MD	Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA	
Kevin C. Wilson, MD	Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA	
Jinoos Yazdany, MD, MPH	University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA	
Community Member		
Danielle M. Campbell, MPH	University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	
Carly Harrison	LupusChat, New York, NY	
Ex-Officio Members, U.S. Government	Representatives	
Timothy Burgess, MD	Department of Defense, Bethesda, MD	
Joseph Francis, MD, MPH	Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC	
Virginia Sheikh, MD, MHS	Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD	
Timothy M. Uyeki, MD, MPH	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA	
Robert Walker, MD	Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority, Washington, DC	
U.S. Government Support Team		
Laura Bosque Ortiz, BS	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
John T. Brooks, MD	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA	
Richard T. Davey, Jr., MD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Laurie K. Doepel, BA	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Robert W. Eisinger, PhD	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Alison Han, MD (Co-Team Coordinator)	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Elizabeth S. Higgs, MD, DTM&H, MIA	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Martha C. Nason, PhD (Biostatistic Support)	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Kanal Singh, MD, MPH (Co-Team Coordinator)	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Assistant Executive Secretaries		
Page Crew, PharmD, MPH	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	
Safia Kuriakose, PharmD	Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., in support of NIAID, Frederick, MD	
Andrea M. Lerner, MD, MS	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD	

Downloaded from <a href="https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/">https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</a> on 10/17/2020

### Appendix A, Table 2. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel Financial Disclosure for Companies Related to COVID-19 Treatment or Diagnostics

Last Updated: October 9, 2020

Reporting Period: October 1, 2019, to September 30, 2020

Panel Member	Financial Disclosure		
	Company	Relationship	
Judith Aberg, MD	Atea Pharmaceuticals	Research Support	
	Emergent BioSolutions	Research Support	
	Gilead Sciences	Research Support	
	Pfizer	Research Support	
	Regeneron	Research Support	
Adaora Adimora, MD, MPH	Gilead Sciences	Research Support	
	Merck & Co.	Advisory Board, Consultant	
Jason Baker, MD, MS	Gilead Sciences	Research Support	
	Humanigen	Research Support	
Lisa Baumann Kreuziger, MD, MS	3M	Stockholder, Spouse Is Employee	
	Quercegen Pharmaceuticals	Advisory Board for Nonapproved Medications	
	Versiti	Employee	
Roger Bedimo, MD, MS	Gilead Sciences	Advisory Board	
	Merck & Co.	Advisory Board	
	ViiV Healthcare	Advisory Board, Research Support	
Pamela S. Belperio, PharmD	None	N/A	
Laura Bosque Ortiz, BS	None	N/A	
John T. Brooks, MD	None	N/A	
Timothy Burgess, MD	None	N/A	
Danielle M. Campbell, MPH	ViiV Healthcare	Summit Attendee	
Stephen V. Cantrill, MD	None	N/A	
Ann C. Collier, MD	None	N/A	
Craig Coopersmith, MD	None	N/A	
Page Crew, PharmD, MPH	None	N/A	
Eric Daar, MD	Genentech	Consultant	
	Gilead Sciences	Consultant, Research Support	
	Merck & Co.	Consultant, Research Support	
	ViiV Healthcare	Research Support	
Richard T. Davey, Jr., MD	None	N/A	
Susan L. Davis, PharmD	Merck & Co.	Honoraria	
Laurie K. Doepel, BA	None	N/A	
Amy L. Dzierba, PharmD	None	N/A	
Robert W. Eisinger, PhD	None	N/A	
Laura Evans, MD, MSc	None	N/A	

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

222

	Financial Disclosure		
Panel Member	Company	Relationship	
Joseph Francis, MD, MPH	None	N/A	
John J. Gallagher, DNP, RN	Medtronic	Consultant	
Rajesh Gandhi, MD	None	N/A	
David V. Glidden, PhD	Gilead Sciences	Consultant	
	Merck & Co.	Advisory Board	
Birgit Grund, PhD	None	N/A	
Roy M. Gulick, MD, MPH	None	N/A	
Alison Han, MD	None	N/A	
Erica J. Hardy, MD, MMSc	None	N/A	
Carly Harrison	AstraZeneca	Advisory Board	
	Aurinia Pharmaceuticals	Advisory Board, Stockholder	
Elizabeth S. Higgs, MD, DTM&H, MIA	None	N/A	
Carl Hinkson, MSRC	None	N/A	
Brenna L. Hughes, MD, MSc	Merck & Co.	Advisory Board	
Steven Johnson, MD	ViiV Healthcare	Advisory Board	
Marla J. Keller, MD	None	N/A	
Arthur Kim, MD	None	N/A	
Safia Kuriakose, PharmD	None	N/A	
H. Clifford Lane, MD	None	N/A	
Jeffrey L. Lennox, MD	None	N/A	
Andrea M. Lerner, MD, MS	None	N/A	
Mitchell M. Levy, MD	Inotrem	Research Support	
Gregory Martin, MD, MSc	Beckman Coulter	Consultant	
	Genentech	Data and Safety Monitoring Board Chair/ Member	
	Regeneron	Consultant	
Henry Masur, MD	None	N/A	
Susanna Naggie, MD, MHS	AbbVie	Research Support	
	Gilead Sciences	Research Support	
	Vir Biotechnology	Advisory Board, Stockholder	
Martha C. Nason, PhD	Bristol-Myers Squibb Company	Stockholder	
	Medtronic	Stockholder	
Alice K. Pau, PharmD	None	N/A	
Andrew T. Pavia, MD	GlaxoSmithKline	Consultant (related to influenza)	
Nitin Seam, MD	None	N/A	
Virginia Sheikh, MD, MHS	None	N/A	
Steven Q. Simpson, MD	None	N/A	
Kanal Singh, MD, MPH	None	N/A	
Susan Swindells, MBBS	ViiV Healthcare	Research Support	
Pablo Tebas, MD	Inovio Pharmaceuticals	Research Support	
Phyllis Tien, MD, MSc	Merck & Co.	Research Support	
Timothy M. Uyeki, MD, MPH	None	N/A	

COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines

Panel Member	Financial Disclosure	
	Company	Relationship
Alpana A. Waghmare, MD	AlloVir	Research Support
	Ansun BioPharma	Research Support
	Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co.	Advisory Board
Robert Walker, MD	None	N/A
Kevin C. Wilson, MD	None	N/A
Jinoos Yazdany, MD, MPH	AstraZeneca	Consultant, Research Support
	Eli Lilly and Company	Consultant
	Pfizer	Consultant

Downloaded from <a href="https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/">https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</a> on 10/17/2020